



**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2013**

JUNE 19, 2013

Brett Haan

Deloitte Consulting LLP
1919 N. Lynn Street
Arlington, VA 22209
T: 571.814.7380
bhaan@deloitte.com

Kamesh Mullapudi

Deloitte Consulting LLP
1919 N. Lynn Street
Arlington, VA 22209
T: 571.814.7650
kmullapudi@deloitte.com

Robert B. Kelly

Squire Sanders (US) LLP
1200 19th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
T: 202.626.6216
F: 202.626.6780
robert.kelly@squiresanders.com

Douglas L. Povich

Squire Sanders (US) LLP
8000 Towers Crescent Dr., 14th Floor
Tysons Corner, VA 22182
T: 703.720.7888
F: 703.720.7801
douglas.povich@squiresanders.com

Alan J. (Joe) Boyer

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC
2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133
Lafayette, CO 80026
T: 303.444.1480
F: 888.361.0603
JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVERVIEW	1
I. PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	6
A. Program Wide Snapshot	6
1. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations.....	6
2. Reconfiguration Implementation	8
B. Recent Developments	10
1. Certifications of Completion of Band Reconfiguration	10
2. Reconfiguration of Mexican Border Licensees	11
3. Requests for Waiver of Rebanding Completion Deadline	13
4. Vacated Channels and NPSPAC Clearing	13
II. QUARTERLY UPDATE.....	16
A. Update by Stage and Wave	16
1. Stage 1 (Channels 1-120).....	16
2. Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band).....	17
3. Canadian Border Regions	22
4. Puerto Rico	28
5. ESMR Band Licensees	30
6. U.S. Virgin Islands	30
7. Change Notices and Amendments	30
B. Program Financial Information	31
1. Reconfiguration Expenditures	31
2. Letter of Credit	33
3. External Audit.....	33
4. Transition Administrator.....	34
5. Closing Process and Reconfiguration Completion Certifications.....	34
C. Specialized Support Functions.....	37
1. Stakeholder Inquiries	38
2. TA-Produced Materials, TA's Website, and Outreach Activities.....	38
3. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review	38
III. APPENDICES.....	39
APPENDIX 1A	Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

APPENDIX 1B	Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 1: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 2	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, the Expansion Band, NPSPAC Channels, the Southeast ESMR Band, and the ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013 and Maps of Channels 1-120 Clearing, Expansion Band Reconfiguration Status, NPSPAC Channels Cleared, and Southeast ESMR Band Reconfiguration Status
APPENDIX 3A	Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 3B	Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 2: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 3C	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 4	Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 5	Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 6	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 1, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 7	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 2, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 8	Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 9	Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013
APPENDIX 10	800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through March 31, 2013

OVERVIEW


The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended March 31, 2013. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,¹ the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.²

The band reconfiguration program in non-border areas has consisted of two stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120)³ in Stage 1 and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum in Stage 2. There are two phases of reconfiguration for each of these stages – the planning and negotiation phase that results in a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“FRA”) between the licensee and Sprint Nextel and the implementation phase that consists of the physical retuning of the radio system.

Reconfiguration Progress Overview

Chart 1 shows the percentage of FRAs approved by the TA, the percentage of physical retunes that are complete, and the percentage of FRAs for which closing is complete for each stage of the reconfiguration program as of March 31, 2013.

Chart 1: Status of Negotiations, Physical Retuning, and Closing



¹ Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, *Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); *Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration*, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Second Report and Order*, 23 FCC Rcd 7605 (2008); *Third Report and Order and Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, 25 FCC Rcd 4443 (2010) (“*Third Report and Order*”); *Fourth Report and Order*, 26 FCC Rcd 1937 (2011); *Fifth Report and Order*, 28 FCC Rcd 4085 (2013) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

² See 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3).

³ The references herein to Channels 1-120 should be understood to reflect the pre-rebanding channel designations of the 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz band segment. Post-rebanding, this band segment is the NPSPAC band designated as Channels 1-230.

Nearly all licensees in the program, except for those along the U.S.-Mexico border, have completed FRA negotiations. Physical retuning was complete for 91 percent of FRAs, except for those along the U.S.-Mexico border, as of March 31, 2013. There continues to be a gap between licensees completing physical retuning and completing their closing activities, especially for non-border Stage 2 licensees.

Non-border Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band) licensees retuned, reflashed, or replaced approximately 23,000 radios (first touches) in the first quarter, raising the total number to approximately 1,495,000 radios as of March 31, 2013. Physical retuning was complete for 88 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs as of March 31, 2013.

Canadian border licensees primarily are engaged in implementation activities. Physical retuning was complete for 96 percent of Canadian border Stage 1 (non-Public Safety) FRAs as of March 31, 2013. Canadian border Stage 2 (NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC Public Safety) licensees retuned, reflashed, or replaced approximately 43,000 radios (first touches) in the first quarter, raising the total number to approximately 155,000 radios as of March 31, 2013. Physical retuning was complete for 49.6 percent of Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs as of March 31, 2013.

The TA conducted Implementation Planning Sessions (“IPS or sessions”) in NPSPAC Region 43: Washington on January 31, 2013 and in NPSPAC Region 21: Michigan on March 6, 2013. At the sessions, the TA worked with licensees, vendors, and Sprint Nextel to develop a consolidated implementation schedule for each region that identifies key milestones and dependencies associated with reconfiguration implementation activities.

Canadian border licensees should continue to move forward expeditiously with their implementation activities. Licensees should make every effort to complete their implementation tasks on schedule. This is particularly important for licensees that have a downstream impact on other licensees, such as by blocking another licensee’s replacement frequencies or because the first touch of their subscriber units needs to be completed before an interoperable licensee can retune its infrastructure. A delay in the completion of an implementation task by a licensee with a downstream impact can have a cascading effect and cause delays for other dependent licensees and, in some cases, for an entire region. The timely completion of implementation tasks will enable dependent licensees to proceed with their reconfiguration activities and is in the interest of all licensees.

Reconfiguration of Mexican Border Licensees

On April 1, 2013, the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (“PSHSB”) released a *Fifth Report and Order* that adopted a reconfigured channel plan for the 800 MHz band along the U.S.-Mexico border.⁴ The PSHSB adopted a channel plan for U.S. licensees operating within the Sharing Zone (*i.e.*, within 110 kilometers of the U.S.-Mexico border) and channel plans for licensees operating in the portions of the NPSPAC regions bordering Mexico

⁴ See *Fifth Report and Order*.

that are outside the Sharing Zone (collectively, “Mexican border licensees”).⁵ It eliminated the channel center offsets in the Sharing Zone and instructed the TA to designate post-rebanding replacement channels with standard channel centers. The PSHSB established a 30-month transition period for licensees to complete rebanding in the NPSPAC regions bordering Mexico that will begin on August 23, 2013.

The *Fifth Report and Order* established two stages for the reconfiguration of Mexican border licensees. Stage 1 includes non-public safety licensees (*e.g.*, Business, Industrial, and Land Transportation (“B/ILT”) licensees and Specialized Mobile Radio (“SMR”) licensees) and public safety licenses on pool channels. Stage 2 is comprised of NPSPAC licensees.

The PSHSB set forth timelines and procedures for planning, negotiations, mediation, and implementation of reconfiguration for Mexican border licensees. **Any licensee that intends to negotiate a Planning Funding Agreement (“PFA”) with Sprint Nextel must submit a Request for Planning Funding (“RFPF”) on or before August 23, 2013.** A licensee that previously entered into a PFA with Sprint Nextel and needs to amend it to complete the planning process must submit a Change Notice on or before August 23, 2013. After submitting an RFPF or a Change Notice, the licensee and Sprint Nextel will negotiate a PFA or a PFA Amendment. After the TA approves the PFA or the PFA Amendment, the licensee will have 90 to 110 days for planning, depending on number of radios in its system. Once planning is complete and a cost estimate is submitted to Sprint Nextel, the licensee and Sprint Nextel will negotiate an FRA.

As directed by the PSHSB, the TA is developing a detailed reconfiguration timetable with milestones for completing each stage of the reconfiguration process.⁶ The reconfiguration timetable will set out the specific steps required in each NPSPAC region for the implementation of the relocation of licensees in Stages 1 and 2. The TA will file the reconfiguration timetable with the FCC by August 23, 2013.

The TA has been developing replacement frequency proposals for Mexican border licensees. More than 200 licensees, which are licensed for more than 900 call signs and more than 10,000 frequencies, will be included in this segment of 800 MHz band reconfiguration. The TA expects to send Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”), which list a licensee’s current frequencies designated for reconfiguration and provide proposed replacement frequencies, to most licensees by August 23, 2013. Licensees should review and evaluate their FPRs early in their planning process. If a licensee finds issues with its proposed replacement frequencies (*i.e.*, frequencies that the licensee believes are not comparable, issues with combiner spacing, or issues with Vehicular Repeater Systems), it should promptly inform the TA or, if the licensee has submitted a cost estimate, Sprint Nextel.

In the *Fifth Report and Order*, the PSHSB encouraged licensees along the U.S.-Mexico border to begin preparing for reconfiguration *prior to* the start of the 30-month transition period.

⁵ The affected regions are NPSPAC Region 3: Arizona, NPSPAC Region 5: Southern California, NPSPAC Region 29: New Mexico, NPSPAC Region 50: Texas - El Paso, and NPSPAC Region 53: Texas - San Antonio.

⁶ See *Fifth Report and Order*, 28 FCC Rcd at 4102 ¶ 55.

Mexican border licensees should take action to prepare and plan for reconfiguration. Frequencies at locations further away from the U.S.-Mexico border outside the Sharing Zone, such as the Los Angeles and Phoenix areas that will not be affected by Mexican locations that need to clear frequencies, can expect to be the first to reconfigure. Licensees in these areas especially should start to prepare and plan. Licensees should review information on the TA's website (<http://www.800TA.org>) regarding the reconfiguration process, including the Reconfiguration Handbook, and familiarize themselves with the steps of reconfiguration and the TA's policies and procedures. Licensees should respond promptly to TA communications and requests for information from the TA.

The FCC has encouraged Mexican border licensees to engage in planning and negotiation activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs.⁷ The TA encourages licensees to get an early start on their planning activities. Licensees should submit a Point of Contact Form to the TA, review and update their license information in the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, and identify and contact vendors and consultants to assist with reconfiguration. Licensees may be able to perform the following non-frequency-dependent planning activities prior to receiving replacement frequencies: conduct subscriber unit inventory, conduct infrastructure inventory, engage in non-frequency-specific engineering and implementation planning, and define their interoperability environment.

If a licensee requires funding to conduct planning activities, it should submit an RFPF and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel. Licensees that already have a PFA and need to amend it to complete the planning process should submit a Change Notice and negotiate a PFA Amendment. Licensees may submit an RFPF or a Change Notice prior to receiving replacement frequencies. During the planning process, licensees should evaluate and identify their interdependencies and interoperability with other licensees and develop plans for maintaining interoperability and mutual aid operations during the reconfiguration of their systems.

The TA is conducting outreach with Mexican border licensees to educate and inform them about the reconfiguration process. The TA held outreach meetings with Public Safety licensees in NPSPAC Region 5: Southern California and NPSPAC Region 3: Arizona in May 2013. The TA presented information regarding the phases and activities in the reconfiguration process, the new band plans, preparing for reconfiguration, and conducting planning activities. TA representatives answered licensees' questions about reconfiguration. The TA plans to conduct an outreach meeting with Public Safety licensees in Texas on June 27, 2013. In addition, the TA conducted Webinars (online training seminars) for Public Safety and non-Public Safety licensees on June 10, 2013 and June 11, 2013 during which it presented similar information to that presented at the outreach meetings. The TA's presentations from the outreach meetings and Webinars are, or will be, posted on the TA's website.

⁷ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends Voluntary 800 MHz Rebanding Negotiation Period for Wave 4 Border Area NPSPAC and Non-NPSPAC Licensees Along the U.S.-Mexico Border Pending Establishment of Negotiation Timetable," *Public Notice*, 27 FCC Rcd 7312, 7313 (2012) ("*Mexican Border Public Notice*").

Closing Process

Licensees must expeditiously complete Actual Cost Reconciliation (“ACR”) and other closing process activities⁸ in accordance with the timeframes established in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet.⁹ Failure to do so can result in significant program delays, misplaced or lost actual cost support documentation, and other issues that could substantially impact amounts owed by or due to Sprint Nextel.

Equipment reconciliation is part of the overall closing process. Licensees should consult their FRA closely and ensure they have complied with all requirements to return equipment that are provided for in the FRA, such as returning replaced equipment to Sprint Nextel or Motorola. If a licensee has not complied, it may be responsible, among other things, for paying the costs of the replacement equipment it received or for returning the replacement equipment.

Recognizing that licensee personnel responsible for completing physical reconfiguration are not always the same personnel that are responsible for completing ACR and closing PFAs and FRAs, the TA encourages licensees to involve the appropriate personnel early in the process. Licensees should document costs as they are incurred and not wait until after the completion of planning or physical retuning to begin the required documentation of costs. If licensees are unable to appropriately document costs (as specified in the TA’s published guidance), the result may be either delayed payments to licensees or refunds due from licensees to Sprint Nextel. Delaying closing increases a licensee’s risk of not getting paid. Furthermore, delays in completing the closing process extend the period during which a licensee may be subject to audit and is required to retain documents related to reconfiguration.

To assist licensees in the closing of FRAs and address delays in the closing process, the TA is conducting closing outreach efforts with licensees significantly impacted by these delays. The TA has contacted 174 licensees during these efforts, with 49 percent of the licensee’s contracts making progress in the closing process. Of the 174 licensees contacted, the TA has assisted in 36 deals reaching the closed FRA milestone as of March 31, 2013. The TA continues to monitor the closing process and will track a licensee’s reconfiguration until it has completed the closing process and submitted an FRA Completion Certification.

⁸ Closing process activities include modification of FCC licenses, equipment reconciliation, ACR, and the preparation, execution, and delivery of the closing documents, including an FRA Completion Certification.

⁹ The Fact Sheet is available at http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/ACR_Fact_Sheet.pdf.

I. PROGRAM-WIDE SNAPSHOT AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

This section provides a program-wide snapshot that summarizes the status of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration program. It describes two measures of program progress: Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement negotiations and reconfiguration implementation. It also discusses recent developments in the program, identifies program-wide issues, and, where applicable, describes specific actions that the FCC and the TA have taken to address issues identified to date.


A. Program Wide Snapshot

1. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Negotiations

The TA generally measures the progress of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement negotiations in two ways: (1) the number of *FRAs* that have been negotiated and agreed to between licensees and Sprint Nextel and submitted to the TA; and (2) the number of *call signs* included in those FRAs.

An FRA specifies the reconfiguration activities and related costs for each licensee as well as the frequencies to be cleared. The bar chart below depicts the number of non-border FRAs that have been negotiated and submitted to the TA for Stage 1 (Channels 1-120 and Southeast ESMR channels) licensees and Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band) licensees.

Chart 2: Non-border FRAs Submitted to the TA as of March 31, 2013¹⁰




- Stage 1: 99.7 percent of non-border FRAs have been submitted to the TA
- Stage 2: 99.9 percent of non-border FRAs have been submitted to the TA

¹⁰ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the number of expected FRAs. The estimate of total FRAs expected does not include FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas for Canada and Mexico.

Chart 3 shows the number of Canadian Border Region FRAs that have been negotiated and submitted to the TA for Stage 1 (non-Public Safety) licensees and Stage 2 (NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC Public Safety) licensees.

Chart 3: Canadian Border FRAs Submitted to the TA as of March 31, 2013¹¹



- Stage 1: 100 percent of Canadian border FRAs have been submitted to the TA
- Stage 2: 99 percent of Canadian border FRAs have been submitted to the TA

Chart 4 shows the number of call signs with frequencies in the Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC bands included in FRAs submitted to the TA.

¹¹ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the number of expected FRAs. Subscriber Equipment Deployment (“SED”) agreements are counted as submitted FRAs in this chart.

Chart 4: Call Signs in Submitted FRAs by Band¹²




Chart 4 includes non-border call signs and call signs within the Canadian and Mexican border regions. The number of outstanding Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC call signs not yet in FRAs reflects the material number of call signs to be reconfigured that are located in, or affected by, the Mexican border areas.

2. Reconfiguration Implementation


The TA generally measures the status of reconfiguration implementation, or physical retuning, in two ways: (1) the number of *FRAs* for which physical retuning is complete; and (2) the number of *call signs* that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees.¹³

Chart 5 depicts the number of non-border FRAs for Stages 1 and 2 licensees for which Sprint Nextel has reported that physical retuning is complete.

¹² Data is based on the FCC's ULS database. This chart does not include Expansion Band call signs.

¹³ As used in the context of implementation, the completion of physical retuning means the individual licensee has retuned its infrastructure to operate on its replacement frequencies and has cleared its old frequencies. The licensee may need to complete a second (or subsequent) touch of its subscriber units to remove the pre-rebanding channels. Cleared means that the licensee has retuned its base stations to its new channel assignments and commenced system operations on the new channels. Maps 2 and 3 and Appendix 2 have information on clearing by call sign.

Chart 5: Non-border FRAs with Physical Retuning Complete as of March 31, 2013




With physical retuning accomplished for 99 percent of non-border Stage 1 FRAs, Channels 1-120 clearing is nearly complete. Furthermore, 88 percent of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of March 31, 2013. The difference between the percentages of *call signs* and *FRAs* is attributable to the fact that the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas.

NPSPAC system reconfigurations often involve complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region and generally require more time and coordination than was needed for Stage 1 systems. As of March 31, 2013, the licensees in 88 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. Furthermore, 58 percent of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees as of March 31, 2013. The difference between the percentages of *call signs* and *FRAs* is attributable to the fact that the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas. The retuning of base stations to a licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels is a significant milestone because it means that the main goal of the reconfiguration process – separating Public Safety and commercial cellular operations – has been achieved.

Chart 6 shows the number of Canadian Border Region FRAs for Stages 1 and 2 licensees for which Sprint Nextel has reported that physical retuning is complete.

**Chart 6: Canadian Border FRAs with Physical Retuning Complete
as of March 31, 2013**



Physical retuning was complete for 96 percent of Canadian border Stage 1 FRAs as of March 31, 2013.

As with non-border NPSPAC licensees, reconfiguration of Canadian border Stage 2 licensees often involves complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region and generally requires more time and coordination than is needed for Stage 1 reconfiguration. Furthermore, certain Stage 1 licensees need to complete their physical retuning in order to clear replacement channels for NPSPAC licensees. As of March 31, 2013, the licensees in 49.6 percent of Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels.

B. Recent Developments

1. Certifications of Completion of Band Reconfiguration

The TA has certified that band reconfiguration is complete in 11 NPSPAC regions pursuant to the requirements in the *Report and Order* and the FCC's rules.¹⁴ The certifications mean that all licensees in these regions that were subject to reconfiguration have relocated to their new channels and modified their FCC licenses to delete their old frequencies or cancelled their licenses. This also includes modifications to Sprint Nextel's network and licenses to cease operations below the ESMR Band and modify or cancel all relevant licenses to remove any authority to operate below the ESMR Band. Furthermore, all of the frequencies in all 800 MHz

¹⁴ The regions are NPSPAC Region 2: Alaska, Region 7: Colorado, Region 11: Hawaii, Region 15: Iowa, Region 22: Minnesota, Region 26: Nebraska, Region 32: North Dakota, Region 38: South Dakota, Region 41: Utah, Region 45: Wisconsin, and Region 46: Wyoming.

sub-bands (*i.e.*, NPSPAC, Interleaved, Expansion, Guard, and ESMR Bands) in the regions that were subject to reconfiguration have been cleared.

The certifications noted that licensees may not have completed all tasks associated with rebanding. Licensees in the regions still may need to complete a second (or subsequent) touch of their subscriber units to remove the pre-rebanding channels, submit final reconfiguration cost documentation to Sprint Nextel and/or the TA, complete the ACR process, or close their FRAs in order for them to complete their individual reconfiguration activities.

On November 27, 2012, the FCC released a Public Notice announcing the completion of 800 MHz band reconfiguration in the 11 NPSPAC regions for which the TA filed certifications.¹⁵ It stated that the post-rebanding channel plan is now in effect in these regions and reminded licensees in these regions that have not completed all rebanding tasks (*e.g.*, submitting cost documentation, completing the ACR process, or closing their FRAs) that they must do so expeditiously. The FCC announced that the remaining Interleaved Band channels vacated by Sprint Nextel and channels in the Expansion Band and Guard Band in these 11 regions would be available for licensing on January 17, 2013.

2. Reconfiguration of Mexican Border Licensees

On June 8, 2012, the FCC announced that the United States and Mexico signed an amended Protocol for sharing spectrum in the 800 MHz band along the U.S.-Mexico border, which paves the way for completion of reconfiguration by licensees operating along the U.S.-Mexico border.¹⁶ On April 1, 2013, the PSHSB released a *Fifth Report and Order* that adopted a reconfigured channel plan for the 800 MHz band along the U.S.-Mexico border.¹⁷ The PSHSB adopted a channel plan for U.S. licensees operating within the Sharing Zone (*i.e.*, within 110 kilometers of the U.S.-Mexico border) and channel plans for licensees operating in the portions of the NPSPAC regions bordering Mexico that are outside the Sharing Zone.¹⁸ It eliminated the channel center offsets in the Sharing Zone and instructed the TA to designate post-rebanding replacement channels with standard channel centers. The PSHSB established a 30-month transition period for licensees to complete rebanding in the NPSPAC regions bordering Mexico that will begin on August 23, 2013.

The *Fifth Report and Order* established two stages for the reconfiguration of Mexican border licensees. Stage 1 includes non-public safety licensees (*e.g.*, B/ILT licensees and SMR

¹⁵ See “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau and Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Announce the Completion of 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration in Certain NPSPAC Regions,” *Public Notice*, 27 FCC Rcd 14775 (2012).

¹⁶ See “FCC Announces Two Spectrum-Sharing Agreements with Mexico Enabling Advanced Public Safety and Commercial Communications in the Mexico Border Area,” *News Release* (June 8, 2012).

¹⁷ See *Fifth Report and Order*.

¹⁸ The affected regions are NPSPAC Region 3: Arizona, NPSPAC Region 5: Southern California, NPSPAC Region 29: New Mexico, NPSPAC Region 50: Texas - El Paso, and NPSPAC Region 53: Texas - San Antonio.

licensees) and public safety licenses on pool channels. Stage 2 is comprised of NPSPAC licensees.

The PSHSB set forth timelines and procedures for planning, negotiations, mediation, and implementation of reconfiguration for Mexican border licensees. Any licensee that intends to negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel must submit an RFPF on or before August 23, 2013. A licensee that previously entered into a PFA with Sprint Nextel and needs to amend it to complete the planning process must submit a Change Notice on or before August 23, 2013. After submitting an RFPF or a Change Notice, the licensee and Sprint Nextel will negotiate a PFA or a PFA Amendment. After the TA approves the PFA or PFA Amendment, the licensee will have 90 to 110 days for planning, depending on number of radios in its system. Once planning is complete and a cost estimate is submitted to Sprint Nextel, the licensee and Sprint Nextel will negotiate an FRA.

As directed by the PSHSB, the TA is developing a detailed reconfiguration timetable with milestones for completing each stage of the reconfiguration process.¹⁹ The reconfiguration timetable will set out the specific steps required in each NPSPAC region for the implementation of the relocation of licensees in Stages 1 and 2. The TA will file the reconfiguration timetable with the FCC by August 23, 2013.

The TA has been developing replacement frequency proposals for Mexican border licensees. More than 200 licensees, which are licensed for more than 900 call signs and more than 10,000 frequencies, will be included in this segment of 800 MHz band reconfiguration.

In the *Fifth Report and Order*, the PSHSB encouraged licensees along the U.S.-Mexico border to begin preparing for reconfiguration *prior to* the start of the 30-month transition period. Mexican border licensees should take action to prepare and plan for reconfiguration. The FCC has encouraged Mexican border licensees to engage in planning and negotiation activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs.²⁰ The TA encourages licensees with complex systems in particular to get an early start on their planning activities. Licensees should submit a Point of Contact Form to the TA, review and update their license information in the FCC's ULS database, and identify and contact vendors and consultants to assist with reconfiguration. Licensees may be able to perform the following non-frequency-dependent planning activities prior to receiving replacement frequencies: conduct subscriber unit inventory, conduct infrastructure inventory, engage in non-frequency-specific engineering and implementation planning, and define their interoperability environment. If a licensee requires funding to conduct planning activities, it should submit an RFPF and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel. Licensees that already have a PFA and need to amend it to complete the planning process should submit a Change Notice and negotiate a PFA Amendment. Licensees may submit an RFPF or a Change Notice prior to receiving replacement frequencies. In addition, the TA encourages licensees to review information on the TA's website (<http://www.800TA.org>) regarding the reconfiguration process.

¹⁹ See *Fifth Report and Order*, 28 FCC Rcd at 4102 ¶ 55.

²⁰ See *Mexican Border Public Notice*, 27 FCC Rcd at 7313.

Pursuant to the *Fifth Report and Order*, the filing freeze on new non-rebanding-related 800 MHz applications along the U.S.-Mexico border remains in effect. The freeze applies to the NPSPAC regions bordering Mexico and to stations located within 70 miles of the borders of these NPSPAC regions.

As of March 31, 2013, 16 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$6.6 million, were approved by the TA for Mexican border licensees. In addition, as of March 31, 2013, the TA had approved SED agreements and FRAs with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$11.5 million for Mexican border licensees.²¹

3. Requests for Waiver of Rebanding Completion Deadline

Licensees with an executed FRA that did not complete physical reconfiguration by the waiver date previously granted by the PSHSB were required to request additional time by filing a supplemental request for waiver of the rebanding completion deadline. Licensees were required to detail their progress to date and propose milestone dates for completing each major reconfiguration step (e.g., subscriber unit deployment, infrastructure retuning, system cut-over, and post-cutover modifications) that had not been completed. Affected licensees filed supplemental requests for waiver with the PSHSB and were directed to provide copies of the filings to the TA and Sprint Nextel. During the first quarter of 2013, the TA received 34 supplemental requests for waiver that were filed with the PSHSB by non-border licensees, 10 that were filed by Canadian Border Region licensees, and five that were filed by Puerto Rico licensees.²²

4. Vacated Channels and NPSPAC Clearing

The FCC tasked the TA with monitoring the NPSPAC clearing process in its October 30, 2008 Order.²³ Sprint Nextel cleared channels in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz band in non-border NPSPAC regions, and this spectrum was made available to Public Safety licensees, beginning on January 28, 2009.²⁴ Based on additional clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band in certain NPSPAC regions, channels in the 809.5-815/854.5-860 MHz band in certain NPSPAC regions were relinquished by Sprint Nextel and made available to Public Safety

²¹ The agreements do not include frequency-dependent activities.

²² The TA has created a webpage that provides information on the requests for waiver received by the TA. The link to this page can be found on the TA's website at <http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/tools.asp>.

²³ See *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands*, Order, 23 FCC Rcd 15966 ¶ 18 (2008) (“*October 2008 Interleaved Order*”).

²⁴ See “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Application and Licensing Procedures for Channels Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809-809.5/854-854.5 MHz Band,” *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 18343 (2008).

licensees starting on December 2, 2009 and additional channels were made available on December 16, 2010.²⁵ Additional locations were made available on June 1, 2011.²⁶

The FCC's *October 2008 Interleaved Order* also required Sprint Nextel to relinquish its non-border spectrum in the Interleaved Band (809-815/854-860 MHz) by March 31, 2010. Although Sprint Nextel requested and was granted waivers of the deadline for vacating the Interleaved Band in 21 NPSPAC regions initially²⁷ and later in nine NPSPAC regions,²⁸ the deadlines for Sprint Nextel to vacate the Interleaved Band have passed. Sprint Nextel was required to relinquish its non-border spectrum in the Interleaved Band as of March 31, 2012.²⁹ The FCC began accepting applications for licensing of the remaining channels in the 809.5-815/854.5-860 MHz band that have been relinquished by Sprint Nextel in certain NPSPAC regions on January 17, 2013.³⁰

As NPSPAC licensees modify their licenses to clear channels in the old NPSPAC band and reach certain clearing benchmarks in regions, Sprint Nextel will vacate additional Expansion Band and Guard Band spectrum in those regions. The TA is monitoring the clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band. During the first quarter, 10 NPSPAC regions showed increased clearing,³¹ although no region moved to a higher stage. Map 1 contains information about the status of clearing of channels in the old NPSPAC band in each region.

²⁵ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Application and Licensing Procedures for Certain Channels Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809.5-815/854.5-860 MHz Band," *Public Notice*, 24 FCC Rcd 13236 (2009); see also "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Application and Licensing Procedures for Certain Channels Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809.5-815/854.4-860 MHz Band," *Public Notice*, 25 FCC Rcd 16038 (2010).

²⁶ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces the Opening of Filing Window for Additional Locations Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809.5-815/854.4-860 MHz Band Segment," *Public Notice*, 26 FCC Rcd 6639 (2011).

²⁷ See *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands*, *Order*, 25 FCC Rcd 3270 (2010) ("*March 31, 2010 Interleaved Order*").


²⁸ See *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Relinquishment By Sprint Nextel of Channels in the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands*, *Order*, 26 FCC Rcd 5004 (2011) ("*March 31, 2011 Interleaved Order*").

²⁹ Sprint Nextel may remain on Interleaved Band channels, but must vacate any channel on 60 days notice that a Public Safety licensee is prepared to commence operating on the channel. See *October 2008 Interleaved Order*, 23 FCC Rcd at 15974 ¶ 19.

³⁰ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Application and Licensing Procedures for Channels in Non-Border Regions Relinquished by Sprint Nextel Corporation in the 809.5-815/854.5-860 MHz Band," *Public Notice*, 27 FCC Rcd 14785 (2012) ("*November 2012 Interleaved Public Notice*").

³¹ Most notable among the 10 regions increasing their clearing percentage were Region 36: Western Pennsylvania with a 90 percent increase; Region 18: Louisiana with a 70 percent increase, and Region 37: South Carolina with a 43 percent increase. See Appendix 2 which contains tables detailing the progress in clearing NPSPAC Regions and frequencies.

Map 1: NPSPAC Clearing Progress as of March 31, 2013³²



Additional information is available in Appendix 2.

³² The percentages for the stages noted in Map 1 were modified by the FCC's *March 31, 2010 Interleaved Order* and the data in the map reflects those percentages and stages. Pursuant to that Order, the FCC's *March 31, 2011 Interleaved Order*, and the *November 2012 Interleaved Public Notice*, certain NPSPAC regions were classified as Stage 5 without regard to the percentage of actual clearing of NPSPAC channels. Appendix 2 contains a map that shows stages based on actual clearing of NPSPAC channels.

II. QUARTERLY UPDATE

This section highlights the key areas within each stage and provides financial and support information about the program.

A. Update by Stage and Wave

The status of implementation for Stage 1 systems has a direct effect on the pace of reconfiguration for Stage 2 systems. Stage 1 licensees must be relocated off their frequencies in the lower 1-120 channel portion of the 800 MHz band before Stage 2 NPSPAC licensees can move to their new frequencies in that portion of the 800 MHz band. This interdependency of reconfiguration progress between Stages 1 and 2 is consistent across all four waves.

1. Stage 1 (Channels 1-120)

a. Planning and Negotiations

As of March 31, 2013, 54 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$7.7 million, were approved by the TA for non-border Stage 1 licensees.

The negotiation of FRAs for non-border Stage 1 licensees is virtually completed. As of March 31, 2013, 924 FRAs (99 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 927 FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 1 licensees. The TA has approved 924 FRAs with a contract value, including amendments, totaling \$84.2 million. Appendix 1A provides additional information about the status of FRA negotiations for non-border Channels 1-120 licensees. In Stage 1, there were no mediation dockets (or “cases”) pending as of March 31, 2013.

b. Reconfiguration Implementation


As of March 31, 2013, Sprint Nextel reported that physical retuning is complete for 921 FRAs out of the 927 FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 1 licensees, which is 99 percent of non-border Channels 1-120 FRAs. Certain Public Safety licensees in Stage 1 have elected to retune their Stage 1 facilities along with their Stage 2 facilities.

As of March 31, 2013, 88 percent of the Stage 1 Channels 1-120 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees.³³ As of March 31, 2013, there were 43 NPSPAC regions in which 100 percent of the Channels 1-120 call signs were cleared.

Map 2 shows the progress of clearing Channels 1-120 to allow relocation of the NPSPAC band, with material progress in all areas of the country outside the areas affected by the Mexico border region.

³³ The difference between the percentages of call signs and FRAs is due to the FRA statistics not including agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas, with the majority of outstanding call signs being in border-affected areas as shown in Map 2.

Map 2: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of March 31, 2013³⁴



2. Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band)

a. Planning and Negotiations

In Stage 2, approximately half of the licensees sought planning funding and negotiated PFAs. As of March 31, 2013, 429 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$77.2 million, were approved by the TA for non-border Stage 2 licensees.³⁵

³⁴ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Some regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations noted on the map are based upon ULS data regarding Channels 1-120 licensing as of November 2004.

³⁵ The PFA numbers are lower than data reported in prior quarters because one PFA previously included in the numbers is now being reported as a PFA for a Canadian border licensee.

As shown in Table 1, as of March 31, 2013, 851 FRAs (99 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 852 FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 2 licensees. The TA has approved 850 FRAs with a contract value, including amendments, totaling \$792.1 million.

Table 1: Status of Reconfiguration for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band) Licensees as of March 31, 2013 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)³⁶

Wave	Stage 2 Anticipated FRAs*	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Assessed by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Assessed by TA
Wave 1	355	355	354	99%	298	84%	187	187	53%
Wave 2	225	225	225	100%	209	93%	119	119	53%
Wave 3	228	227	227	99%	198	87%	88	88	39%
Wave 4	44	44	44	100%	42	95%	22	21	48%
Total	852	851	850	99%	747	88%	416	415	49%

* This table does not include any estimate of FRAs that will include call signs in or affected by the FCC-defined border areas.

Table 2 provides Stage 2 status information in terms of the number of call signs that have achieved particular milestones.

³⁶ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2 and the number of FRAs with physical retunes reported as completed in column 6. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated). Given that some FRAs noted in the table are for licensees with mobile-only systems, there will be a difference between the number of FRAs and the number of FRAs reporting frequencies cleared.

**Table 2: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Expansion Band)
as of March 31, 2013 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)**

Wave	Stage 2 Call Signs*	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		# in FRAs Submitted to TA	# in FRAs Approved by TA	% in FRAs Approved by TA	# Reported as Cleared by Licensee	% Reported as Cleared by Licensee	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Assessed by TA	% with Completion Certifications Assessed by TA
Wave 1	2229	2219	2219	99%	1466	66%	734	722	32%
Wave 2	940	938	938	99%	879	94%	222	220	23%
Wave 3	1301	1238	1238	95%	777	60%	273	249	19%
Wave 4	1975	1430	1430	72%	641	32%	326	325	16%
Total	6445	5825	5825	90%	3763	58%	1555	1516	24%

* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas.

(i) **Wave 1**

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration waves. As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2013, 99 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA. As of March 31, 2013, all of the 338 mediation dockets opened for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees had been resolved.

(ii) **Wave 2**

As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2013, 100 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA. As of March 31, 2013, all of the 235 mediation dockets opened for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees had been resolved.

(iii) **Wave 3**

Besides NPSPAC licensees, Wave 3, Stage 2 includes certain licensees with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the licensee's request.³⁷ As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2013, approximately 99 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 3, Stage 2 mediation period began on May 1, 2007. As of March 31, 2013, of the 272 mediation dockets opened for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees, 271 mediation dockets had been resolved. The one remaining licensee was unable to negotiate an FRA with Sprint Nextel and the matter was referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

³⁷ Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted in June 2006 had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2.

(iv) **Wave 4**

As shown in Table 1 above, as of March 31, 2013, 100 percent of the non-border area FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA. The FRAs for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Canada and Mexico are not included in the FRA statistics for Wave 4. As of March 31, 2013, all of the 66 mediation dockets opened for non-border area Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees had been resolved.

b. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

Non-border Stage 2 licensees continue to make progress with their implementation activities.

(i) **Implementation Planning Sessions**

One of the TA's methods for facilitating implementation activities has been to conduct regional Implementation Planning Sessions. These sessions bring together Public Safety licensees, their equipment vendors, technical consultants, and Sprint Nextel to develop consolidated implementation schedules. The FCC requires licensees that have not completed reconfiguration to participate in IPS in their region, if offered.³⁸

During the sessions, the TA works with licensees to develop implementation schedules for the regions that identify key milestones and dependencies associated with reconfiguration implementation activities. The sessions help licensees adjust implementation schedules to deal with complicated interconnections and interdependencies of shared networks – particularly setting dates for retuning mutual aid channels used by neighboring municipalities. IPS have also been helpful in enabling parties to reach an understanding on the timing of clearing and surrendering frequencies for those licensees that are ready to transition to the new channels.

The TA has completed IPS for non-border licensees. The TA held 45 IPS for non-border licensees in 31 NPSPAC regions, which were attended by 611 licensees, operating approximately 1.4 million radios.³⁹ Licensees that have participated in IPS should continue to move forward with and complete their implementation activities in accordance with the regional schedule developed at the session.

³⁸ See Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band; Supplemental Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Rebanding Deadline, *Order*, 24 FCC Rcd 7761, 7765 ¶ 9 (2009); see also “FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding,” *Public Notice*, 22 FCC Rcd 17227, 17230 (2007) (stating that NPSPAC licensees “are expected to participate” in IPS).

³⁹ The TA conducted 19 IPS in Wave 1 NPSPAC regions attended by 202 licensees, 12 IPS in Wave 2 NPSPAC regions attended by 185 licensees, and 14 IPS in Wave 3 NPSPAC regions attended by 224 licensees.

(ii) **Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment**

A key component of reconfiguration implementation is the retuning, reflashing, and/or replacement of subscriber equipment. Non-border Stage 2 licensees retuned, reflashed, or replaced approximately 23,000 radios (first touches) in the first quarter of 2013, raising the total number to approximately 1,495,000 radios (first touches) as of March 31, 2013.

(iii) **Infrastructure Retuning and System Cutover**


Another significant milestone in the implementation process is the retuning of base stations to the licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels. During the first quarter of 2013, there were an additional 17 non-border Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees reported their physical retunes as complete. Of the 852 FRAs expected for non-border Stage 2, there were 747 FRAs as of March 31, 2013 for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels.⁴⁰ This does not include licensees with post-cutover subscriber unit second touches or other technical work. As of March 31, 2013, physical retuning was complete for 88 percent of non-border Stage 2 FRAs (an increase from 86 percent as of December 31, 2012).

As of March 31, 2013, 58 percent of Stage 2 call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees, which includes call signs in the border areas. The difference between the numbers by percentages of call signs and FRAs is again due to the fact that the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include border area call signs.

Map 3 graphically depicts NPSPAC reconfiguration progress, as of March 31, 2013, by licensed NPSPAC locations. Progress on Expansion Band clearing is detailed in Appendix 2.

⁴⁰ The FRA totals for non-border Stage 2 include 27 FRAs for agencies that are users on another licensee's radio system. These agencies have no channels to clear and therefore will not achieve the milestone used by Sprint Nextel and the TA to measure the completion of physical retuning for purposes of these metrics.

Map 3: NPSPAC Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of March 31, 2013⁴¹



3. Canadian Border Regions

The 30-month transition period for reconfiguration of licensees in the U.S.-Canada border regions began on October 14, 2008.⁴² The TA's Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions ("Implementation Plan") established two stages for the reconfiguration of Canadian border licensees.⁴³ Stage 1

⁴¹ This map shows frequency clearing as reported by the licensee to Sprint Nextel. Licensees generally report clearing before they file license modifications to delete cleared frequencies. Map 1 reflects clearing based on ULS licensing data, which is the basis for determining the release of additional Interleaved Band, Expansion Band, and Guard Band spectrum by Sprint Nextel.

⁴² See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that the 30-Month Transition Period for 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration in Regions Along the U.S.-Canada Border will Commence on October 14, 2008," *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 14877 (2008) ("Canadian Border Public Notice").

⁴³ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, "Implementation Plan and Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in the U.S.-Canada Border Regions," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Oct. 1, 2008), *available at*

includes non-Public Safety licensees, such as B/ILT and SMR licensees. Stage 2 is comprised of both NPSPAC and non-NPSPAC Public Safety licensees. The Canadian border reconfiguration also includes clearing of channels in the 854-860 MHz range for Public Safety use and reconfiguration of frequencies in the 861-865 MHz range to separate ESMR systems into the higher portion of the band. The exact frequencies being reconfigured vary in each FCC-defined Canadian Border Region. The TA continued its efforts to support Canadian border region reconfiguration during the first quarter by using the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in negotiating FRAs, by addressing frequency issues raised by licensees, and by conducting Implementation Planning Sessions in Washington and Michigan to develop a consolidated implementation schedule for each region.

On April 12, 2013, the PSHSB released a Public Notice in which it extended the filing freeze on new non-rebanding-related 800 MHz applications in four NPSPAC regions along the U.S.-Canada border.⁴⁴ The freeze, which was scheduled to end on April 15, 2013, was extended until October 15, 2013. The freeze applies to NPSPAC Region 21: Michigan, Region 33: Ohio, Region 43: Washington, and Region 55: Western Upstate New York and to stations located within 70 miles of the borders of these NPSPAC regions. The PSHSB lifted the freeze in NPSPAC Region 30: Eastern Upstate New York and Region 36: Western Pennsylvania as of April 12, 2013.

Canadian Border Region licensees were required to complete reconfiguration by April 14, 2011. Licensee requests for waiver of this deadline were discussed in Section I.B.3. of this report.

a. **Frequency Proposals**

The TA's frequency planning activities in the Canadian border-affected area in the first quarter of 2013 primarily focused on modifying replacement frequencies to relieve blocking by other licensees delayed in clearing replacement frequencies and providing replacement frequencies for STAs granted after the initial frequency planning was completed. Additional information about Frequency Proposal Reports is provided in Appendix 5.

b. **Planning**

As of March 31, 2013, 68 PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$15.7 million, were approved by the TA for licensees in or adjacent to the Canadian Border Regions. After reaching agreement on a PFA, licensees and their vendors conduct planning activities and prepare a cost estimate for their reconfiguration.

http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/Implementation_Plan_CBR_10.01.08.pdf, approved by Canadian Border Public Notice.

⁴⁴ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends 800 MHz Application Freeze for Certain Wave 4 Border Area NPSPAC and Non-NPSPAC Licensees Along the U.S.-Canada Border," *Public Notice*, 28 FCC Rcd 4675 (2013).

c. **Negotiations**

After a licensee submits a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel, the licensee and Sprint Nextel have 30 days for FRA negotiations. If they are unable to negotiate an FRA within the 30-day period, the parties participate in mediation for 20 days. Table 3 provides information about the status of FRA negotiations and approval for Canadian border licensees.

**Table 3: Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Licensees as of March 31, 2013
(milestones achieved by number of FRAs)⁴⁵**

Stage	Anticipated FRAs	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Assessed by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Assessed by TA
Stage 1	75	75	75	100%	72	96%	60	60	80%
Stage 2	117	116	116	99%	58	49.6%	34	34	29%
Total	192	191	191	99%	130	68%	94	94	49%

Appendices 1B and 3B provide additional information about the status of FRA negotiations for Canadian border licensees.

(i) **Stage 1**

Most Canadian border Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRAs. Seven Stage 1 licensees negotiated PFAs.

The negotiation and mediation period for Canadian border region Stage 1 licensees without a PFA began on January 12, 2009. As of March 31, 2013, all of the 129 mediation dockets opened for Stage 1 licensees had been resolved. As of March 31, 2013, all of the 75 FRAs anticipated for Canadian border region Stage 1 licensees were submitted to the TA.⁴⁶ The TA has approved 75 Canadian Border Stage 1 FRAs with a contract value, including amendments, totaling \$11.9 million.

⁴⁵ SED agreements are counted as submitted FRAs in this chart. Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2 and the number of FRAs with physical retunes reported as completed in column 6. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated).

⁴⁶ SED agreements are counted as submitted FRAs in this number.

(ii) **Stage 2**

Approximately half of the Canadian border Stage 2 licensees submitted an RFPF and negotiated a PFA.

For Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees that do not require a PFA, the negotiation and mediation period began on April 15, 2009. After submitting a cost estimate, a licensee and Sprint Nextel had 30 days to negotiate an FRA under the monitoring of a TA mediator. If, at the end of the 30-day period, there was no agreement on an FRA, the licensee and Sprint Nextel participated in mediation for 20 days.

As of March 31, 2013, of the 156 mediation dockets opened for Stage 2 licensees, 155 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 153 resolved as of December 31, 2012). The licensee in one mediation had reached agreement with Sprint Nextel regarding, but had not yet executed, an FRA.

As of March 31, 2013, 116 FRAs (99 percent) were submitted to the TA out of the 117 FRAs anticipated for Canadian border region Stage 2 licensees. The TA has approved 116 FRAs with a contract value, including amendments, totaling \$128.9 million.

d. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

Canadian border licensees continue to make progress with their implementation activities.

(i) **Stage 1**

As of March 31, 2013, there were 72 Canadian border Stage 1 FRAs for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. As of March 31, 2013, physical retuning was complete for 96 percent of Canadian border Stage 1 FRAs. Map 4 shows the progress of Canadian border Stage 1 licensees.


Map 4: Canadian Border Region Stage 1 Clearing Progress

800 MHz Reconfiguration Progress

(c) 2013, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
04/30/13

Canadian Border Regions, Stage 1 Site-specific Call Signs

Clearing Progress and Call Sign location as of 03/31/13 (Q1 2013)



(ii) Stage 2

Reconfiguration of Stage 2 Public Safety licensees, often with complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region, requires more time and coordination than is needed for Stage 1 reconfiguration. Furthermore, certain Stage 1 licensees need to complete their physical retuning in order to clear replacement channels for Stage 2 licensees. Implementation in the Canadian Border Regions has an added layer of complexity compared to the non-border areas because there are Public Safety licensees that have extensive numbers of reconfiguring frequencies that block the replacement channels of other Public Safety licensees, as well as channels that may, in turn, be blocked by other reconfiguring Public Safety licensees. This is a function of the Canadian Border Region band plan and requires the licensees to closely coordinate their infrastructure reconfigurations.⁴⁷

⁴⁷ The blocking licensees are reconfiguring frequencies in the Channels 1-120 range, but may also have NPSPAC channels they are reconfiguring in the same timeframe. In the pre-reconfiguration Canadian border band plan, Channels 1-120 included heavily used specific pool allocations for Public Safety. In non-border areas, Channels 1-

During the first quarter, the TA conducted an IPS in NPSPAC Region 43: Washington on January 31, 2013 and an IPS in NPSPAC Region 21: Michigan on March 6, 2013. Implementation in these regions is complicated due to several factors, including extensive interoperability and blocking. At the sessions, the TA provided detailed frequency and distance data for licensees about these blocking situations and discussed implementation sequencing and solutions to address them. The TA worked with licensees, vendors, and Sprint Nextel to develop a consolidated implementation schedule for the region that identifies key milestones and dependencies associated with reconfiguration implementation activities.

To date, the TA has held four IPS for Canadian border licensees in three NPSPAC regions, which were attended by 57 licensees, operating approximately 278,000 radios. Licensees that have participated in IPS should continue to move forward with and complete their implementation activities in accordance with the regional schedule developed at the session.

A key component of reconfiguration implementation is the retuning, reflashing, and/or replacement of subscriber equipment. Canadian border Stage 2 licensees retuned, reflashed, or replaced approximately 43,000 radios (first touches) in the first quarter, raising the total number to approximately 155,000 radios (first touches) as of March 31, 2013.

Another significant milestone in the implementation process is the retuning of base stations to the licensee's new channel assignments and commencement of system operations on the new channels. During the first quarter, there were four additional Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs for which the licensee reported its physical retune as complete. As of March 31, 2013, there were 58 Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs for which licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. This does not include licensees with post-cutover subscriber unit second touches or other technical work. As of March 31, 2013, physical retuning was complete for 49.6 percent of Canadian border Stage 2 FRAs. Map 5 shows the progress of Canadian border Stage 2 licensees.

120 were General Category and the number of Public Safety licensees were, in general, much fewer and represented smaller systems.


Map 5: Canadian Border Region Stage 2 Clearing Progress

800 MHz Reconfiguration Progress

(c) 2013, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
04/30/13

Canadian Border Regions, Stage 2 Site-specific Call Signs

Clearing Progress and Call Sign location as of 03/31/13 (Q1 2013)



4. Puerto Rico

The 18-month transition period for reconfiguration of licensees in Puerto Rico began on September 20, 2010.⁴⁸ The PSHSB's *Third Report and Order* established three stages for reconfiguration in Puerto Rico. Stage 1 is the relocation of non-Public Safety licensees in Channels 1-120. In Stage 2, NPSPAC licensees relocate 15 MHz lower to the new NPSPAC band; high-site incumbent licensees relocate from the ESMR Band to the Interleaved Band; and Economic Area ("EA") and site-based ESMR licensees that are part of an EA Election relocate from the Interleaved, Expansion, and Guard Bands into the ESMR Band. There are two EA licensees that made elections to move frequencies into the ESMR Band. Stage 3 may include the relocation of the licenses of Preferred Communication Systems, Inc. and Preferred Acquisitions, Inc. ("Preferred") and, if necessary, *pro rata* apportionment of ESMR spectrum in accordance with the PSHSB's directions in the *Third Report and Order*. Pursuant to the FCC's direction,

⁴⁸ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that the 18-Month Transition Period for 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration in Puerto Rico (Region 47) will Commence on September 20, 2010," *Public Notice*, 25 FCC Rcd 13110, 13113 (2010).

Preferred's reconfiguration is deferred pending the resolution of a pending show cause proceeding and Preferred's request for waiver of its 800 MHz construction obligations.⁴⁹

The TA's Implementation Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in Puerto Rico ("Implementation Timetable") set forth the timeframe and sequence for the reconfiguration of Puerto Rico licensees.⁵⁰ It established the timelines for affected licensees to file Guard Band Elections, submit cost estimates, negotiate FRAs, and complete implementation.

Puerto Rico licensees were required to complete reconfiguration by March 19, 2012. Licensee requests for waiver of this deadline were discussed in Section I.B.3. of this report.

a. **Negotiations**

As of March 31, 2013, two PFAs, with a total contract value, including amendments, of \$0.2 million, were approved by the TA for Puerto Rico licensees that must be reconfigured from 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz.

All of the NPSPAC licensees in Puerto Rico have negotiated and submitted FRAs to the TA. Appendix 3A contains information about FRAs for NPSPAC licensees in Puerto Rico.

The 90-day mandatory negotiation period for the remaining high-site non-Public Safety incumbent licensees in Puerto Rico that must be reconfigured from the 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz portion of the band began on September 20, 2010 and the mediation period began on December 21, 2010. As of March 31, 2013, all of the eight mediation dockets opened for Puerto Rico licensees had been resolved.

As of March 31, 2013, seven FRAs (100 percent) were submitted to the TA out of the seven FRAs anticipated for Puerto Rico licensees that must be reconfigured from 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz. The TA has approved seven FRAs with a contract value, including amendments, totaling approximately \$1.0 million. Appendices 2 and 3C provide additional information.

b. **Reconfiguration Implementation**

As of March 31, 2013, there were three FRAs for which Puerto Rico licensees that must be reconfigured from 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. As of March 31, 2013, physical retuning was complete for 43 percent of these FRAs.

As of March 31, 2013, there were six FRAs for which Puerto Rico NPSPAC licensees had completed their physical retunes and transitioned to their new channels. As of March 31, 2013, physical retuning was complete for 100 percent of Puerto Rico NPSPAC FRAs.

⁴⁹ See *Third Report and Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 4447 ¶ 12.

⁵⁰ See 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, "Implementation Timetable for the Reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band in Puerto Rico," WT Docket No. 02-55, at 12 (filed Aug. 20, 2010).

5. ESMR Band Licensees

There are some non-border high-site licensees located in the ESMR Band (817-821/862-866 MHz) that are required to relocate to frequencies in the non-ESMR band below 816/861 MHz. The TA placed these licensees on the same schedule for FRA negotiations and mediation as the Puerto Rico licensees. As of March 31, 2013, all of the five mediation dockets opened for ESMR Band licensees had been resolved. As of March 31, 2013, the one FRA anticipated for an ESMR Band licensee was submitted to and approved by the TA. As of March 31, 2013, the licensee in this FRA had completed its physical retune. Appendix 3C provides additional information.

6. U.S. Virgin Islands

The 12-month transition period for completion of rebanding in the U.S. Virgin Islands (“USVI”) began on March 21, 2011. The PSHSB’s *Fourth Report and Order* and February 18, 2011 Public Notice established timelines for planning, negotiation, and implementation for USVI licensees.⁵¹

a. Negotiations

As of March 31, 2013, the one FRA anticipated for a USVI licensee that must be reconfigured from 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz was submitted to and approved by the TA. Appendix 3C provides additional information. As of March 31, 2013, the one mediation docket opened for the USVI had been resolved.

b. Reconfiguration Implementation

Channels 1-120 have been cleared of incumbent site-specific licensees and there are no NPSPAC licensees to retune in the USVI. The PSHSB required high-site licensees relocating out of the 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz portion of the band to complete reconfiguration by September 20, 2011 and all USVI licensees to complete reconfiguration by March 20, 2012. As of March 31, 2013, there was one FRA for which a USVI licensee that must be reconfigured from 816.5-821/861.5-866 MHz had completed its physical retune and transitioned to its new channels. As of March 31, 2013, physical retuning was complete for 100 percent of these FRAs.

7. Change Notices and Amendments

When a licensee encounters an emergency or unforeseeable need to change the scope or cost of its planning or reconfiguration implementation activities after it has signed its TA-approved PFA or FRA, it must submit a Change Notice to Sprint Nextel and the TA. Change Notices generally seek modifications to the services and equipment provided for in the PFA or FRA and the associated costs. The TA encourages licensees to use the Change Notice Form

⁵¹ See *Fourth Report and Order*; see “Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces that the 12-Month Transition Period for 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration in the United States Virgin Islands (Region 48) will Commence on March 21, 2011,” *Public Notice*, 26 FCC Rcd 1948 (2011).

available on the TA's website when submitting a Change Notice.⁵² Licensees and Sprint Nextel negotiate the Change Notice and, in most cases, submit an amendment to the PFA or FRA to the TA for review and approval.

During the first quarter of 2013, licensees submitted 92 Change Notices. Some Change Notices are resolved quickly, but others can take time to negotiate and result in an amendment. In some cases, the TA uses the mediation process to assist licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreement on Change Notices.

Most Change Notices result in an amendment to the licensee's PFA or FRA. During the first quarter of 2013, licensees and Sprint Nextel negotiated and submitted to the TA six PFA amendments and 56 FRA amendments.

B. Program Financial Information

This section provides information for the first quarter of 2013 regarding reconfiguration expenditures, the Letter of Credit, the external audit, the TA's fees and expenses, and the closing process and reconfiguration Completion Certifications.

1. Reconfiguration Expenditures

a. 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs

As of March 31, 2013, Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees had executed FRAs and PFAs pursuant to TA-approved cost estimates, as adjusted to reflect TA-approved actual costs, totaling approximately \$1,115.6 million, and Sprint Nextel had paid approximately \$819.0 million of this amount as both advance payments and for work completed to date. This compares to \$1,096.3 million in executed FRAs and PFAs and \$788.9 million paid as of December 31, 2012.

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of March 31, 2013, it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$1,705.2 million in costs for: (1) payments made to incumbent licensees or their vendors in accordance with TA-approved agreements executed by incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel; and (2) replacement equipment costs, including costs related to the development of software for certain incumbent licensee subscriber equipment that enables such subscriber equipment to be retuned rather than replaced and costs related to replacement equipment to be provided to incumbent licensees by Sprint Nextel (collectively "800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel had requested that the TA assess approximately \$856.3 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs (submitted as of March 31, 2013) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are creditable against any payment Sprint Nextel may be obligated to make to the United States Treasury at the completion of reconfiguration ("Creditable Costs"). The status of these costs is as follows:

⁵² The form is available at http://www.800TA.org/content/resources/Change_Notice_Form.doc.

- \$795.9 million is determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$60.3 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel or incumbent licensees to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$848.9 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs, which consists primarily of payments for replacement radios and other equipment, to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

b. **Sprint Nextel Costs**

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of March 31, 2013, it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$346.2 million in costs to support: (1) incumbent licensee negotiations; (2) systems development costs for tracking and reporting of program activity, (3) Sprint Nextel's program and financial management activities; (4) other program costs; and (5) reconfiguration of Sprint Nextel's systems in the 800 MHz band (collectively "Sprint Nextel Costs"). The Sprint Nextel Costs exclude certain amounts incurred related to Sprint Nextel's internal network costs that Sprint Nextel may submit for credit at a later date ("To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel has requested that the TA assess approximately \$282.4 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs (submitted as of March 31, 2013) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are Creditable Costs. The TA has performed a review of approximately \$270.0 million in costs (submitted as of December 31, 2011). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$209.7 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$60.3 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$63.7 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs or any of the To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

c. **1.9 GHz Clearing Costs**

On October 5, 2011, Sprint Nextel filed with the FCC its Schedule of Costs Expended in Connection with Clearance of the 1.9 GHz Band for the 10 months ended October 31, 2010 and for the inception-to-date period from January 1, 2005 to October 31, 2010, in which it reported approximately \$730.0 million in costs expended to clear the 1.9 GHz band.⁵³ Subsequently, Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of March 31, 2013, it had incurred approximately

⁵³ See Sprint Nextel Corporation, "Schedule of Costs Expended in Connection with Clearance of the 1.9 GHz Band," at 2, *attached to* Letter from Trey Hanbury, Sprint Nextel Corporation, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Oct. 5, 2011).

\$610.4 million in costs, on a cash basis, associated with reconfiguration of the 1.9 GHz band from the inception-to-date period January 1, 2005 to March 31, 2013, which is net of the approximately \$135.0 million that Sprint Nextel received as reimbursement from the Mobile Satellite Service (“MSS”) licensees for their *pro rata* portion of the costs to clear the 1.9 GHz spectrum.⁵⁴ These costs are reported for informational purposes only. The TA does not conduct an analysis of these costs.

d. **Estimated Total Reconfiguration Expenditures**

On May 6, 2013, Sprint Nextel filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013 and disclosed that its total payments directly attributable to its performance under the *Report and Order*, from the inception of the program through March 31, 2013, are approximately \$3.2 billion, of which \$45 million was incurred during the three-month period ended March 31, 2013.⁵⁵

2. **Letter of Credit**

For the quarter ended March 31, 2013, Sprint Nextel made its required payments to licensees and vendors. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letter of Credit through March 31, 2013. Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that, as of March 31, 2013, it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$254.4 million in Letter of Credit Fees.

The TA has continued to monitor the amount of the Letter of Credit in view of Sprint Nextel’s programmatic obligations. On March 29, 2013, the TA recommended that the Letter of Credit be further reduced by \$8.5 million based on costs paid through December 31, 2012. The FCC concurred with the TA’s request on March 29, 2013, and the Letter of Credit was reduced from \$858.5 million to \$850.0 million.⁵⁶

3. **External Audit**

In the first quarter of 2013, CohnReznick, the external auditors selected by the TA, continued the annual audit of program expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2012 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2012 (“the 2012 Audit”). As discussed previously, certain 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs and Sprint Nextel Costs have not been submitted by Sprint Nextel to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit. Accordingly, these costs may be included in a subsequent period audit.

⁵⁴ See Sprint Nextel Corporation, Annual Report (Form 10-K), at F-29 (filed Feb. 27, 2012), available at <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/101830/000010183012000015/sprint201110-k.htm>.

⁵⁵ See Sprint Nextel Corporation, Quarterly Report (Form 10-Q), at 18 (filed May 6, 2013), available at <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/101830/000010183013000020/sprintq1201310-q.htm>.

⁵⁶ Pursuant to the *Report and Order*, the balance of the Letter of Credit may not fall below \$850 million. See *Report and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd at 15125 ¶ 331. On January 22, 2013, Sprint Nextel filed a Petition for Declaratory Ruling requesting, *inter alia*, that the FCC reduce the required minimum amount of the Letter of Credit from \$850 million to \$457 million. See Sprint Nextel, “Petition for Declaratory Ruling,” WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 22, 2013).

4. Transition Administrator

a. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 were approximately \$6.49 million in fees and \$0.19 million in expenses, for a total of approximately \$6.68 million, which is \$0.29 million less than the forecast for the first quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 10. TA staffing as of March 31, 2013 consisted of 49 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs").

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending June 30, 2013 are estimated at \$7.2 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$7.4 million.

b. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that Deloitte Consulting LLP, a subsidiary of Deloitte LLP, received \$0.16 million from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended March 31, 2013; other member firms of Deloitte LLP received \$0.10 million in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended March 31, 2013.⁵⁷

5. Closing Process and Reconfiguration Completion Certifications

While the completion of planning activities or physical reconfiguration activities is an important achievement, licensees and Sprint Nextel must complete all closing process activities in order to complete reconfiguration and perform all contractual requirements in the PFA or FRA. The closing process ensures that the parties have met all of their obligations to each other. For FRAs, the closing process consists of the following activities:

1. License Modification – The modification of the licensee's FCC licenses to delete old frequencies.
2. Equipment Reconciliation – The true up of loaned, replaced, and replacement equipment.
3. Actual Cost Reconciliation – The true up of cost support documentation with amounts paid or to be paid by Sprint Nextel.
4. Closing – The preparation, execution, and delivery of the closing documents, including an FRA Completion Certification.

⁵⁷ See "Independence Management Plan for 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.4)," at 4, attached to Letter from Robert B. Kelly, Squire, Sanders & Dempsey (US) LLP, to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, FCC, WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Apr. 21, 2011). As used in this context, other member firms of Deloitte LLP means Deloitte & Touche LLP, Deloitte Financial Advisory Services LLP, or Deloitte Tax LLP, each a subsidiary of Deloitte LLP. Please see <http://www.deloitte.com/us/about> for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte LLP and its subsidiaries.

Licensees should consult their FRA closely and ensure they have complied with all requirements to return equipment that are provided for in the FRA, such as returning replaced equipment to Sprint Nextel or Motorola. If a licensee has not complied, it may be responsible, among other things, for paying the costs of the replacement equipment it received or for returning the replacement equipment.

During the ACR process, a licensee provides Sprint Nextel with supporting documentation for the actual costs incurred for its planning or reconfiguration, and Sprint Nextel validates the costs incurred and performs a true-up of the actual costs as compared to the estimated costs in the PFA or FRA. The result is an ACR Statement documenting the actual costs incurred and payments made to the licensee, including final amounts due from or to the parties. Licensees owed additional funds by Sprint Nextel will generally not be paid those amounts until the ACR statements have been executed by both the licensee and Sprint Nextel.

Once the ACR Statement is complete, Sprint Nextel sends the licensee closing documents, including a Completion Certification. A licensee is required by its PFA or FRA to execute and return the closing documents to Sprint Nextel. It is important for licensees and Sprint Nextel to complete these activities in a timely manner. Failure to conduct closing activities in a timely manner can result in significant program delays, lost or misplaced actual cost support documentation, and other issues that could substantially impact amounts owed from or due to Sprint Nextel.

In the Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet, which is posted on the TA's website, the TA provides specific timeframes by which licensees and Sprint Nextel should complete ACR and other closing activities. To minimize the effort and times associated with the closing process, licensees should also review the following TA guidance:

- The Change Notice Process Fact Sheet;
- The Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy; and
- The Reconfiguration Completion Checklist.

Each of these documents is available on the TA's website at <http://www.800TA.org>. In addition, recordings of Webinars (online training seminars) regarding closing process topics, such as ACR and Reconfiguration Completion, to assist licensees in understanding the key activities in the process may be found on the TA's website at <http://www.800TA.org/content/ipswebinars>.

As outlined in Table 4 below, there continue to be delays between the time licensees complete physical reconfiguration activities and the closing of the FRA.⁵⁸ For those FRAs that have closed as of March 31, 2013, the closing process has taken an average of 12.5 months to

⁵⁸ The completion of all physical reconfiguration activities includes the completion of physical retuning and, where applicable, completion of the "second touch." The completion of physical retuning means the individual licensee has retuned its infrastructure to operate on its replacement frequencies and has cleared its old frequencies. Completion of the "second touch" means that the licensee, if provided for in the FRA, has completed a second (or subsequent) touch of its subscriber units to remove the pre-rebanding channels.

complete following the completion of physical reconfiguration activities, compared to an average of 12.7 months through December 31, 2012. For those licensees that have completed physical reconfiguration activities but have not closed the FRA as of March 31, 2013, an average of 25.4 months has elapsed since the completion of physical reconfiguration activities compared to an average of 24.3 months as of December 31, 2012.

Table 4: FRAs in the Closing Process by Pending Activity⁵⁹

Length of Time Pending Activity Open							
Pending FRA Activity to be Completed	Quantity of FRAs	Less than 3 Months	3 to 6 Months	6 to 9 Months	9 to 12 Months	12 Months or Longer	% Greater than or Equal to 12 Months
Equipment Reconciliation	55	3	10	5	10	27	20.3%
License Modification	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%
Actual Cost Reconciliation Process*	251	32	32	45	39	103	77.4%
Closing	35	15	13	3	1	3	2.3%
Total FRAs Pending in Closing Process	341						
Closed FRAs**	1,395						
Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration activities are complete***	1,736						

* Includes 14 FRAs that are also pending License Modification.

** Includes 4 FRAs that are pending TA review of the TA Completion Certifications.

*** Includes 19 FRAs without infrastructure to reconfigure that have closed or are pending closing.

A PFA Completion Certification indicates that the work required to plan for the licensee's reconfiguration has been completed and the licensee and Sprint Nextel have agreed on the amount paid. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, eight PFA Completion Certifications were submitted to the TA, increasing the total submitted over the life of the program to 450.⁶⁰ Of these, the TA reviewed and found all 450 PFA Completion Certifications sufficient, subject to post-close audit adjustments. Of the 569 PFAs that have been executed between licensees and Sprint Nextel, approximately 21.0 percent of executed PFAs remain open as of March 31, 2013. Appendix 8 contains a summary of PFAs that have closed, and Appendix 9 contains a listing of PFAs that have not closed as of the end of the first quarter.

The FRA Completion Certification certifies that the licensee has relinquished its original 800 MHz frequencies and reconfigured its facilities to operate on replacement frequencies; that all reconfiguration work required has been satisfactorily completed; and that the licensee and Sprint Nextel have agreed on the amount paid by Sprint Nextel for such work. A licensee's

⁵⁹ This table is created from data provided by Sprint Nextel and collected by the TA directly from licensees.

⁶⁰ Of these, 54 were for Stage 1 licensees and 396 were for Stage 2 licensees.

reconfiguration is not complete until this certification has been executed and delivered by the licensee to Sprint Nextel. During the first quarter of 2013, 19 FRA Completion Certifications were submitted to the TA, increasing the total submitted over the life of the program to 1,393.⁶¹ Of these, the TA reviewed and found 18 FRA Completion Certifications sufficient, subject to post-close audit adjustments, with the remaining one under review as of March 31, 2013. Of the 1,978 FRAs that have been executed between licensees and Sprint Nextel, approximately 29.6 percent of executed FRAs remain open as of March 31, 2013. Appendix 8 contains a summary of FRAs that have closed. Appendix 9 contains a listing of FRAs for which physical reconfiguration activities are complete but the FRA has not closed as of the end of the first quarter.

The TA reviewed the amounts expended on activities for the above-referenced PFAs and FRAs, pending any results of the TA's post-close review or external audit, to determine (1) that the amounts paid by Sprint Nextel do not exceed TA-approved contract amounts, and (2) that the reconciliation ("true-up") of any additional amount due to the licensee or its vendor or any refund due Sprint Nextel, as determined by Sprint Nextel and the licensee, is properly reflected in the 800 MHz accounting records and is consistent with payments made.⁶²

As noted above, there continue to be significant delays in the closing of those FRAs that have completed physical reconfiguration activities, but have not yet closed. To assist licensees in the closing of these FRAs, the TA is conducting closing outreach efforts with licensees significantly impacted by these delays. The TA has contacted 174 licensees during these efforts, with 49 percent of the licensee's contracts making progress in the closing process. Of the 174 licensees contacted, the TA has assisted in 36 deals reaching the closed FRA milestone as of March 31, 2013. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process, and make inquiries of licensees and Sprint Nextel, to facilitate adherence to the timelines and guidance. In the event that a licensee does not respond to the TA's outreach efforts, the licensee may be referred to the PSHSB for further contact.

C. Specialized Support Functions

This section outlines additional information about TA activities that support the program, such as the Contact Center, the website, outreach, and FRA review.

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes, and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance ("Stakeholder Outreach"), Webinars (online training seminars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

⁶¹ Of these, 942 were for Stage 1 licensees and 451 were for Stage 2 licensees.

⁶² The TA's review is limited to costs expended by non-Sprint Nextel 800 MHz licensees in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The TA has not reviewed the costs associated with replacement equipment in the FRAs. As noted, *infra*, the TA is awaiting Sprint Nextel's submission of replacement radio costs.

1. Stakeholder Inquiries

The TA maintains a “Contact Center” to receive and process questions and requests for information regarding reconfiguration and the TA’s activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. During the first quarter, the TA received a total of 4,551 inquiries to the Contact Center (1,653 in January 2013; 1,431 in February 2013; and 1,467 in March 2013).

Several parties have contacted the TA regarding possible investment opportunities in 800 MHz band spectrum following reconfiguration. The TA has no role in the allocation of frequencies following the completion of the 800 MHz band reconfiguration. The TA does not provide advice on investments, acquisitions, or usage of licenses in the 800 MHz band. Parties interested in such matters may wish to consult the FCC’s rules and regulations and visit the FCC’s website at <http://transition.fcc.gov/pshs/public-safety-spectrum/800-MHz/> and http://wireless.fcc.gov/index.htm?job=wt_investment_scams.

2. TA-Produced Materials, TA’s Website, and Outreach Activities

The TA’s website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. During the first quarter, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA’s website (www.800TA.org).

- Website Updates – The TA posted updates to the FCC Guidance section regarding the PSHSB’s *Fifth Report and Order*, updates to the Frequently Asked Questions (“FAQs”) section regarding Mexican border reconfiguration, and updates to the Mexican Border Region page.
- Cost Metrics – The TA published monthly updates to the FRA Cost Metrics, which are a set of metrics comprised of licensee reconfiguration implementation costs and rates, based on system size.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

3. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

The TA continues to meet its service-level goals for reviewing FRAs.⁶³ Detailed information about the time required by the TA to review and approve Stages 1 and 2 FRAs submitted to the TA is available in Appendices 6 and 7.

⁶³ The TA’s service-level targets for FRA review are review of 80 percent of FRAs within 5 business days, 95 percent within 10 business days, and 100 percent within 15 business days.

III. APPENDICES

Appendix 1A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	355	354	354	354	353
6	42	42	42	42	42
7	17	17	17	17	17
8	38	38	38	38	38
9	1	1	1	1	1
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	21	21	21	21	21
14	12	12	12	12	12
15	1	1	1	1	1
19	25	25	25	25	25
20	22	22	22	22	22
22	1	1	1	1	1
27	27	27	27	27	27
28	25	25	25	25	25
35	16	16	16	16	16
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	18	18	18	18	17
45	8	8	8	8	8
54	21	20	20	20	20
Multiregion	44	44	44	44	44
Wave 2	206	206	206	206	206
4	11	11	11	11	11
12	6	6	6	6	6
15	6	6	6	6	6
16	12	12	12	12	12
17	13	13	13	13	13
22	31	31	31	31	31
24	16	16	16	16	16
25	5	5	5	5	5
26	4	4	4	4	4
29	1	1	1	1	1
32	1	1	1	1	1
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	2	2	2	2	2
39	25	25	25	25	25
40	12	12	12	12	12
41	1	1	1	1	1
44	2	2	2	2	2
49	3	3	3	3	3
51	14	14	14	14	14
52	8	8	8	8	8
Multiregion	31	31	31	31	31

Appendix 1A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	251	250	249	249	248
1	15	15	15	15	15
9	85	85	85	85	85
10	50	50	50	50	50
18	16	16	16	16	16
23	17	17	17	17	17
31	23	23	23	23	23
37	9	8	8	8	7
47	6	6	5	5	5
48	3	3	3	3	3
Multiregion	27	27	27	27	27
Wave 4	115	115	115	115	114
2	4	4	4	4	4
3	25	25	25	25	25
5	3	3	3	3	3
10	1	1	1	1	1
18	14	14	14	14	14
21	1	1	1	1	1
23	1	1	1	1	1
29	10	10	10	10	10
30	5	5	5	5	5
31	1	1	1	1	1
33	10	10	10	10	10
36	5	5	5	5	5
43	5	5	5	5	5
50	8	8	8	8	8
53	6	6	6	6	6
54	4	4	4	4	4
63	2	2	2	2	1
Multiregion	10	10	10	10	10
TOTAL	927	925	924	924	921

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 1B

Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 1: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 1 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)				
2	2	2	2	2	2	2
12	1	1	1	1	1	1
19	8	8	8	8	8	8
21	6	6	6	6	6	6
22	3	3	3	3	3	3
25	2	2	2	2	2	2
30	4	4	4	4	4	4
32	4	4	4	4	4	4
33	15	15	15	15	15	15
36	2	2	2	2	2	2
43	15	15	15	15	15	14
54	1	1	1	1	1	1
55	3	3	3	3	3	3
Multiregion	9	9	9	9	9	7
TOTAL	75	75	75	75	75	72

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	791	790	790	791	791	791	791	790	786	790	787
6	CA - North	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96
11	Hawaii	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	73	72	72	73	73	73	73	72	71	73	73
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	65
27	Nevada	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67
35	Oregon	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
42	Virginia	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	49	51	49
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Wave 2	Subtotal	469	469	469	469	469	469	469	469	469	469	469
4	Arkansas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
12	Idaho	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
22	Minnesota	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
25	Montana	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
32	North Dakota	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
34	Oklahoma	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
38	South Dakota	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
51	TX - Houston	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 3	Subtotal	511	511	511	511	511	511	511	510	509	510	505
1	Alabama	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
9	Florida	216	216	216	216	216	216	216	215	215	216	213
10	Georgia	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	49
18	Louisiana	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	78	78	76
23	Mississippi	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
31	North Carolina	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67
37	South Carolina	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
47	Puerto Rico	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
48	US Virgin Islands	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Wave 4	Subtotal	824	704	704	714	714	592	592	563	524	513	507
2	Alaska	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
3	Arizona*	70	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51
5	CA - South*	92	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	6	6
21	Michigan	58	55	55	58	58	47	47	50	34	25	25
29	New Mexico*	25	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
30	NY - Albany	91	90	90	91	91	90	90	90	85	86	86
33	Ohio	105	101	101	105	105	102	102	75	61	60	56
36	Pennsylvania	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
43	Washington	147	147	147	147	147	47	47	43	39	37	35
50	TX - El Paso*	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
54	MI portion of Chicago	9	9	9	9	9	6	6	6	6	5	5
55	New York - Buffalo	168	164	164	166	166	162	162	162	162	162	162
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2595	2474	2474	2485	2485	2363	2363	2332	2288	2282	2268

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												

Notes:

* Data includes call signs in the U.S.-Mexico border region. Data may change depending upon the border area band plan.

a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.

b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.


c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.

d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.

e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.

f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
 Percentage of Channels 1-120 Call Signs Reported Cleared, by NPSPAC Region as of March 31, 2013
 (displayed as 1st quarter percentage/4th quarter percentage)




Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	300	300	300	300	300	289	289	203	159	214	147
6	CA - North	89	89	89	89	89	82	82	12	12	44	23
7	Colorado	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	35	35	35	35
11	Hawaii	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
13	Illinois	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	3	11	3
14	Indiana	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	12	24	8
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	10	10	8	6
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	2
27	Nevada	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3
28	NJ, PA, DE	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	3
35	Oregon	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
41	Utah	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
42	Virginia	23	23	23	23	23	20	20	20	16	20	10
45	Wisconsin	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
54	Chicago	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	23	24	20
Wave 2	Subtotal	175	175	175	175	175	175	175	175	173	173	107
4	Arkansas	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	44	11
12	Idaho	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
16	Kansas	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
17	Kentucky	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	12
22	Minnesota	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
24	Missouri	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
25	Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
32	North Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	19
40	TX - Dallas	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	20	5
44	West Virginia	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
46	Wyoming	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
49	TX - Austin	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	4
51	TX - Houston	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3
52	TX - Lubbock	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	200	199	199	199	199	199	199	196	155	175	85
1	Alabama	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	17	21	13
9	Florida	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	41	60	24
10	Georgia	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	24	14
18	Louisiana	16	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	11	11	2
23	Mississippi	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	15	16	10
31	North Carolina	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	20	17	22	10
37	South Carolina	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	20	12
47	Puerto Rico	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	272	181	181	183	183	165	165	121	118	122	103
2	Alaska	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	Arizona*	21	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
5	CA - South*	80	24	24	24	24	18	18			5	2
21	Michigan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2
30	NY - Albany	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	61
33	Ohio	37	36	36	37	37	36	36	11	8	6	3
36	Pennsylvania	9	8	8	9	9	9	9	8	8	9	6
43	Washington	15	15	15	15	15	6	6	6	6	6	6
50	TX - El Paso*	6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	1
54	MI portion of Chicago	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3
55	New York - Buffalo	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		947	855	855	857	857	828	828	695	605	684	442

- Notes:
- * Data includes call signs in the U.S.-Mexico border region. Data may change depending upon the border area band plan.
 - a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
 - b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
 - c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Expansion Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
 - d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
 - e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
 - f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	1929	1919	1919	1919	1919	1859	1859	1814	1307	1582	1574
6	CA - North	138	133	133	133	133	106	106	68	68	75	75
7	Colorado	224	224	224	224	224	224	224	224	224	224	224
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	389	389	389	389	389	389	389	388	352	384	384
11	Hawaii	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
13	Illinois	139	139	139	139	139	135	135	139	8	134	134
14	Indiana	147	147	147	147	147	146	146	147	16	28	20
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	134	134	134	134	134	133	133	113	75	110	110
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	96	96	96	96	96	89	89	96	59	64	64
27	Nevada	38	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
28	NJ, PA, DE	216	216	216	216	216	212	212	215	178	172	172
35	Oregon	40	40	40	40	40	37	37	37	37	37	37
41	Utah	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146	146
42	Virginia	58	55	55	55	55	47	47	46	34	41	41
45	Wisconsin	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
54	Chicago	133	133	133	133	133	128	128	128	43	100	100
Wave 2	Subtotal	765	763	763	763	763	764	764	761	706	728	727
4	Arkansas	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
12	Idaho	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
16	Kansas	280	280	280	280	280	280	280	280	280	280	280
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	15	14	14	14
22	Minnesota	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
24	Missouri	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
25	Montana	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
26	Nebraska	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32
32	North Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	40	39	39	39	39	39	39	39	13	15	15
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	55	55	55
40	TX - Dallas	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	42	41	41
44	West Virginia	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9
46	Wyoming	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
49	TX - Austin	68	67	67	67	67	68	68	67	61	64	63
51	TX - Houston	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	19	37	37
52	TX - Lubbock	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	1101	1039	1039	1039	1039	1031	1009	782	622	761	745
1	Alabama	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	18	21	21
9	Florida	330	330	330	330	330	327	327	323	198	318	318
10	Georgia	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	80	80
18	Louisiana	74	74	74	74	74	70	70	72	65	67	66
23	Mississippi	24	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	17	17	17
31	North Carolina	369	309	309	309	309	309	287	61	59	82	82
37	South Carolina	177	177	177	177	177	176	176	177	170	165	155
47	Puerto Rico	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	11	6
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	1703	1264	1264	1247	1247	735	732	549	523	536	534
2	Alaska	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	Arizona*	99	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	CA - South*	389	89	89	64	64	19	19	3	3	3	3
21	Michigan	340	331	331	338	338	83	83	12	6	9	8
29	New Mexico*	9	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	6
30	NY - Albany	189	189	189	189	189	189	189	189	189	189	188
33	Ohio	179	170	170	171	171	145	145	58	39	48	48
36	Pennsylvania	231	231	231	231	231	231	231	231	231	230	230
43	Washington	179	176	176	176	176	17	14	5	5	7	7
50	TX - El Paso*	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
53	TX - San Antonio*	34	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
54	MI portion of Chicago	24	24	24	24	24	1	1	1	1	1	1
55	New York - Buffalo	25	25	25	25	25	22	22	22	22	22	22
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		5498	4985	4985	4968	4968	4389	4364	3906	3158	3607	3580

- Notes:
- * Data includes call signs in the U.S.-Mexico border region. Data may change depending upon the border area band plan.
 - a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
 - b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
 - c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 866-869 MHz range, and those with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
 - d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
 - e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
 - f. As of the second quarter of 2007, the NSPAC call sign data includes STA NPSPAC call signs and call signs without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations).
 - g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of NPSPAC Channels
Cleared, Per Region, Per Wave as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Cleared NPSPAC Channels (25 kHz equivalents)*	Percentage Clear	Percentage Encumbered	Relinquishment Stage Attained	Category in FCC's 3/31/2010 and 3/31/2011 Orders and 11/27/2012 Public Notice**
1	Alabama	3	72	60	40	5	1
2	Alaska	4	120	100	0	6	0
3	Arizona	4	3	2.5	97.5	1	3
4	Arkansas	2	120	100	0	6	0
5	California - South	4	0	0	100	1	3
6	California - North	1	67	55.83	44.17	5	1
7	Colorado	1	120	100	0	6	0
8	New York - Metropolitan	1	98	81.67	18.33	5	1
9	Florida	3	57	47.5	52.5	5	1
10	Georgia	3	96	80	20	5	0
11	Hawaii	1	120	100	0	6	0
12	Idaho	2	120	100	0	6	0
13	Illinois	1	115	95.83	4.17	5	0
14	Indiana	1	3	2.5	97.5	5	1
15	Iowa	2	120	100	0	6	0
16	Kansas	2	120	100	0	6	1
17	Kentucky	2	108	90	10	5	0
18	Louisiana	3	107	89.17	10.83	5	1
19	Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut	1	53	44.17	55.83	5	1
20	Maryland; Washington, DC; Virginia - Northern	1	58	48.33	51.67	5	1
21	Michigan	4	0	0	100	1	3
22	Minnesota	2	120	100	0	6	0
23	Mississippi	3	84	70	30	5	0
24	Missouri	2	120	100	0	6	0
25	Montana	2	120	100	0	6	0
26	Nebraska	2	120	100	0	6	0
27	Nevada	1	117	97.5	2.5	5	1
28	New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware	1	3	2.5	97.5	5	1
29	New Mexico	4	96	80	20	5	0
30	New York - Albany	4	109	90.83	9.17	5	3
31	North Carolina	3	0	0	100	5	1
32	North Dakota	2	120	100	0	6	0
33	Ohio	4	0	0	100	1	3
34	Oklahoma	2	59	49.17	50.83	5	1
35	Oregon	1	116	96.67	3.33	5	0
36	Pennsylvania	4	114	95	5	5	1
37	South Carolina	3	52	43.33	56.67	5	1
38	South Dakota	2	120	100	0	6	0
39	Tennessee	2	115	95.83	4.17	5	1
40	Texas - Dallas	2	105	87.5	12.5	5	1
41	Utah	1	120	100	0	6	0
42	Virginia	1	47	39.17	60.83	5	1
43	Washington	4	0	0	100	1	3
44	West Virginia	2	107	89.17	10.83	5	0
45	Wisconsin	1	120	100	0	6	0
46	Wyoming	2	120	100	0	6	0
47	Puerto Rico	3	41	34.17	65.83	5	0
48	U.S. Virgin Islands	3	120	100	0	6	0

Appendix 2
Status of NPSPAC Channels
Cleared, Per Region, Per Wave as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Wave	Cleared NPSPAC Channels (25 kHz equivalents)*	Percentage Clear	Percentage Encumbered	Relinquishment Stage Attained	Category in FCC's 3/31/2010 and 3/31/2011 Orders and 11/27/2012 Public Notice**
49	Texas - Austin	2	3	2.5	97.5	5	1
50	Texas - El Paso	4	109	90.83	9.17	5	3
51	Texas - Houston	2	114	95	5	5	1
52	Texas - Lubbock	2	115	95.83	4.17	5	0
53	Texas - San Antonio	4	45	37.5	62.5	2	3
54	Chicago - Metropolitan	1	8	6.67	93.33	5	1
55	New York - Buffalo	4	114	95	5	5	3
61	Gulf of Mexico	4	120	100	0	6	N/A
62	Marianas	4	120	100	0	6	N/A
63	Guam	4	120	100	0	6	N/A
64	American Samoa	4	120	100	0	6	N/A


* There are 120 25 kHz channel equivalents in the 821-824/866-869 MHz band. For a 25 kHz channel to be cleared, the center NPSPAC channel as well as the adjacent offset channels must be cleared. For instance, to clear 821/866.0625, 821/866.0500 and 821/866.0750 must also be cleared.

** 0 = Vacate per DA 10-576; 1 = Vacate per DA 11-585 or DA 12-1839; 2 = Vacate in Stages; 3 = Border; N/A = not addressed in DA 10-576 or DA 11-585 (pseudo PSR number by TA)

NPSPAC Band (866-869 MHz) Clearing Progress
25 kHz Channel Equivalents
ULS Data as of 03/31/2013
STAGES BASED ONLY ON ACTUAL NPSPAC CLEARING

NPSPAC Clearing Stages, By NPSPAC Region
Clearing Based on FCC ULS Data

- Stage 1 - 0 to 10%
- Stage 2 - 10 to 50%
- Stage 3 - 50 to 75%
- Stage 4 - 75 to 90%
- Stage 5 - 90 to 100% **
- Stage 6 - 100%




Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5
39	Tennessee	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5
Wave 3	Subtotal	239	239	239	239	239	239	236	234	201	212	166
1	Alabama	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	43	46	37
9	Florida	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	16	32	14
10	Georgia	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	76	71	59
18	Louisiana	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
23	Mississippi	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	51	47	47	41
31	North Carolina	7	7	7	7	7	7	4	2	2	2	2
37	South Carolina	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	9	8
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		246	246	246	246	246	246	243	241	208	219	171

Notes:

- Data for the Southeast ESMR Band call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Southeast ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/2013	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/2013 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/2013 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	Arkansas	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Wave 3	Subtotal	41	40	40	40	40	13	12	37	10	7	6
47	Puerto Rico	36	35	35	35	35	8	7	35	8	2	1
48	US Virgin Islands	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	5	5
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		44	43	43	43	43	16	15	40	13	10	9

Notes:

- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 3A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	355	355	355	354	298
6	36	36	36	36	35
7	7	7	7	7	7
8	45	45	45	45	44
11	1	1	1	1	1
13	8	8	8	8	7
14	17	17	17	17	17
19	52	52	52	52	51
20	32	32	32	31	15
27	3	3	3	3	3
28	17	17	17	17	13
35	4	4	4	4	4
41	9	9	9	9	3
42	23	23	23	23	14
45	4	4	4	4	4
54	37	37	37	37	33
Multiregion	60	60	60	60	47
Wave 2	225	225	225	225	209
4	9	9	9	9	9
15	15	15	15	15	15
16	20	20	20	20	20
17	16	16	16	16	16
22	12	12	12	12	12
24	13	13	13	13	13
26	16	16	16	16	16
34	8	8	8	8	6
39	22	22	22	22	20
40	28	28	28	28	26
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	1	1	1	1	1
49	12	12	12	12	8
51	9	9	9	9	8
52	2	2	2	2	2
Multiregion	41	41	41	41	36

Appendix 3A

Status of Reconfiguration for Non-Border Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	228	228	227	227	198
1	15	15	15	15	12
9	51	51	51	51	43
10	36	36	36	36	35
18	28	28	28	28	24
23	14	14	13	13	11
31	26	26	26	26	21
37	12	12	12	12	9
47	6	6	6	6	6
Multiregion	40	40	40	40	37
Wave 4	44	44	44	44	42
2	1	1	1	1	1
5	3	3	3	3	3
8	1	1	1	1	1
29	6	6	6	6	5
30	3	3	3	3	3
33	7	7	7	7	6
36	3	3	3	3	3
43	2	2	2	2	2
44	1	1	1	1	1
50	2	2	2	2	2
53	5	5	5	5	5
54	2	2	2	2	2
Multiregion	8	8	8	8	8
TOTAL	852	852	851	850	747

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 3B

Status of Reconfiguration for Canadian Border Region Licensees in Stage 2: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	Number of Stage 2 FRAs*	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee*	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)				
19	1	1	1	1	1	0
21	23	23	23	23	23	7
22	1	1	1	1	1	1
25	1	1	1	1	1	1
30	7	7	7	7	7	7
33	36	36	36	36	36	18
36	2	2	2	2	2	2
43	19	19	19	19	19	9
55	10	10	10	9	9	8
Multiregion	17	17	17	17	17	5
TOTAL	117	117	117	116	116	58

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 3C

Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	Number of ESMR FRAs	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement*	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed*
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 2	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1
Wave 3	8	8	8	8	4
47	7	7	7	7	3
48	1	1	1	1	1
TOTAL	9	9	9	9	5

* Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	KNNQ425	860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WNQL400	860.4875, 860.7125, 860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRG698	860.7125
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRR956	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WNDX449	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW513	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW653	860.7375
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Mobile, County of	AL	WQHB877	857.7625, 858.2375, 858.4375
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJI661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVR873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Lake Havasu City, City of	AZ	WPXB342	860.4375, 860.9625
Paradise Valley, Town of	AZ	WNMW364	860.2375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPNW554	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWR968	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWS651	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWS758	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWT692	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWX741	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPWY575	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXD838	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXE694	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXE706	860.9875
City of Palm Springs	CA	WNZH770	860.7125
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM865	860.2375
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Elk Grove Unified School District	CA	WPJY405	860.7875, 860.8125
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Long Beach, City of	CA	KNCR530	860.2375
Los Angeles, County of	CA	KNER447	860.2625
Los Angeles, County of	CA	WPDV636	860.2625
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY330	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY335	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Pleasant Hill, City of	CA	WNMP521	860.4375
Sacramento City Unified School District	CA	WNHX890	860.4625
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPWV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB563	860.2500, 860.4750
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB565	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB566	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB567	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB568	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB575	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB576	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB578	860.2250, 860.9500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPMC676	860.2500, 860.4250
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNW306	860.2875, 860.8125, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNZ337	860.2875, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPYQ990	860.8125
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WQCW497	860.8125
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS412	860.9625
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Ventura, County of	CA	WPGV544	860.4625
Ventura, County of	CA	WPHY525	860.4625, 860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Baca, County of	CO	WPNP223	860.2125
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Connecticut, State of	CT	WPPF755	860.4875
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
City of West Palm Beach	FL	KNER586	860.7125
City of West Palm Beach	FL	WNKD520	860.2125
Clay, County of	FL	WPDC613	860.4375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNLK955	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNSK615	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9625
Indian River, County of	FL	WNNM770	860.4875, 860.9875
Indian River, County of	FL	WQHR977	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
Reedy Creek Improvement District	FL	WPTU288	860.2625
Saint Lucie, County of	FL	WPDV207	860.2375, 860.4625
The School Board of Broward County, Florida	FL	KNJJ560	860.9375, 860.9625
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
DeKalb County Police Department	GA	KNAN828	858.2625
Guam, Government of	GU	WPDA554	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPCG201	860.2625
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPTY944	860.9875
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
Story, County of	IA	WPQI296	860.4375
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125
Boise, City of	ID	WPJH857	860.9375
Emmett Independent School District	ID	WPYY420	860.8875
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPUD400	860.7875
City Colleges of Chicago	IL	WNMA681	860.2375
Decatur, City of	IL	WNKZ536	860.2625, 860.4625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Illinois, State of	IL	WQCT712	860.9375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Jefferson, County of	IL	WPTX994	860.4375
La Salle County of	IL	WPUK993	860.4875
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNNS478	860.7375
Marion County ETSB	IL	KNNT505	860.9875
Normal, Town of	IL	WPIX239	860.4875
Ogle County Sheriff's Office	IL	WQCV211	860.7125
Peoria County Sheriffs Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Springfield, City of	IL	WQAZ465	860.4875
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDU229	860.2125
Tippecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Garden City, City of	KS	WPMI551	860.4375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
Kentucky, Commonwealth of	KY	WQCP214	860.2625
Madison, County of	KY	WNVN963	860.4875, 860.7375
Powderly, City of	KY	WQCD705	860.4375
Richmond, City of	KY	WQDE403	860.2625
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPMA320	860.7125
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPSQ740	860.7125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Iberia, Parish of	LA	WPQY650	860.7625

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Lafourche, Parish of	LA	WPRX834	860.9375
Lafourche, Parish of	LA	WQMI546	860.9375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII532	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII533	860.2375, 860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII534	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII535	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII536	860.4375, 860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNMA687	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE601	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE605	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE609	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE617	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE629	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE633	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE641	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE657	860.2375, 860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE661	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHF287	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHG955	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIB392	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR915	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR919	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR923	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPJ1711	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPKD955	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMI999	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMQ475	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPNS672	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE847	860.2125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE848	860.9375
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Minden, City of	LA	WPWJ531	860.4875
New Orleans Convention Center	LA	WPJS469	860.2375
New Orleans Regional Transit Authority	LA	WPAP726	860.2125, 860.4375
New Orleans, City of	LA	WNCD880	860.7875, 860.8125
Orleans Levee District	LA	WNQC758	860.2625
Orleans Parish School Board	LA	KNJU690	860.7125
Sterlington, Town of	LA	WPNZ848	860.7375
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM765	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM766	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM767	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM768	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM769	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM770	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM771	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNLM772	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Baltimore, County of	MD	WNQK948	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPLU397	860.2375, 860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPQX905	860.2375, 860.7375
Howard, County of	MD	WPXJ396	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Somerset, County of	MD	WPWR884	860.9625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Forest Hills Public Schools	MI	WPUA724	860.7625
Forest Hills Public Schools	MI	WQNG464	860.7625
Grand Rapids Public Schools	MI	WPIP999	860.2125, 860.9875
Lansing Board of Water & Light	MI	WPYH599	860.3125
Walker, City of	MI	WPVP312	860.4375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Clay, County of	MN	WPLS888	860.7125
Dakota, County of	MN	WPEP246	860.7375
Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit	MN	WPQH695	860.4375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG265	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG266	815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYS897	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY636	815.2125, 815.2375, 815.4375, 815.7125, 815.7375, 815.9375, 815.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY637	860.2375, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF219	815.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF220	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDG878	860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ490	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7625, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ508	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDR523	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.4625, 815.4875, 815.7375, 815.7625, 815.9375, 815.9625, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHF462	860.2375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHK535	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Moorhead, City of	MN	WPHY859	860.4625
Blue Springs, City of	MO	WNDG561	860.4875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJI572	860.2125
Saint Joseph, City of	MO	WPDC582	860.4875
State of Missouri, Department of Corrections	MO	WPUK277	860.9375
Bolivar County E911	MS	WPXA863	860.2375
City of Columbus	MS	WPNS534	858.2125
De Soto, County of	MS	WPCC361	860.4875, 860.7625
Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority	MS	WQDD668	857.9875
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Mississippi, University of	MS	WPJQ621	860.7375
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
Vicksburg, City of	MS	KNNH519	857.7125, 858.2625
Asheville, City of	NC	WNXR226	860.7625, 860.9875
Guilford, County of	NC	WPEM250	860.7625
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WQGP564	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WNRU500	860.4625, 860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM257	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM264	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPJQ536	860.2625, 860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN567	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN591	860.7375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN594	860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPMB804	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX341	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX343	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOZ292	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPPB719	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPRJ405	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPSM605	n/a*
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPYC603	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WQCQ971	860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WQCS908	860.9375
Fargo, City of	ND	KNNT448	860.2125

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER503	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER504	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPPY921	860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPSZ331	860.3375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPTA210	860.3375
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPKD444	860.4875
Atlantic City, City of	NJ	WPRS952	860.7625
Camden, City of	NJ	WNWG655	860.9875
Camden, City of	NJ	WQAF461	860.9875
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey Department of Correction	NJ	KNJH415	860.2625
New Jersey Department of Correction	NJ	KNJH416	860.2625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
NJ Transit Bus Operations	NJ	KNHC692	860.3875
NJ Transit Bus Operations	NJ	WQGA303	860.3875
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WNZW684	n/a*
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WPUW259	860.4375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WNZB252	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKB391	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ524	860.2125, 860.7125, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ526	860.4625, 860.7375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD805	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD806	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQZ376	860.2125, 860.4375
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY226	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY297	860.2375, 860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPST690	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPTR307	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WPZZ385	860.2375
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV628	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV634	860.2125
University and Community College System	NV	WPWK236	860.4625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Albany County Airport Authority	NY	WPBD381	860.7625
Albany, County of	NY	WPTC680	860.7375
Chemung, County of	NY	WPDT243	860.2625
City of Albany	NY	WPWW868	860.2125, 860.4375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
Colonie, Town of	NY	WNRN976	860.2625
Colonie, Town of	NY	WPJS475	860.2375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Niskayuna, Town of	NY	WPKY419	860.9875
Rockland, County of	NY	WPED556	860.7250
Troy, City of	NY	WPPC682	860.9375
Columbus, City of	OH	WNRJ541	860.2125, 860.4875, 860.9375, 860.9875
Forest Park, City of	OH	WNJH360	860.9875
Grove City, City of	OH	WPBY922	860.2375
Ohio, State of	OH	WQPR358	860.5875
Southwest Ohio Regional Transit Authority	OH	WPGY687	860.4875, 860.9375
City of Norman	OK	WPPC871	860.7625, 860.9625
Edmond, City of	OK	WPJT968	860.9375
Lincoln, County of	OK	WPVM206	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	KNBU457	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP614	860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP618	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ850	860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ878	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN465	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN466	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN467	860.2625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR618	860.2125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR886	860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR887	860.7625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR889	860.9375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR890	860.2375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFZ462	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQGF211	860.4375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLH676	860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY685	860.7625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY686	860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY687	860.2125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY688	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY689	860.2375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY690	860.2625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY691	860.9375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQLY692	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQNE779	860.2625, 860.4875
Shawnee, City of	OK	WNWV280	860.4625
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.9625
City of Portland	OR	WNVL283	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WNZY850	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WPFQ300	860.8125, 860.8625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WNSK475	860.2375, 860.7375
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES432	860.2375, 860.7375
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES436	860.2375, 860.7375
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	KNJH332	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WNWC473	860.2125, 860.4875
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WPJG234	860.2125, 860.4875
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375
Carlisle, Borough of	PA	KNNK871	860.2375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	KNNM504	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9875
Chester, County of	PA	WPAJ870	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPAJ871	860.2625, 860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPCN956	860.2375
Chester, County of	PA	WPCN957	860.2375
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC513	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC515	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC705	860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC709	860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPHC717	860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPHK779	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPJR834	860.2625
Chester, County of	PA	WPKX415	860.4625, 860.9625
Chester, County of	PA	WPMJ468	860.4375
Chester, County of	PA	WPWE515	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPWI590	860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYE456	860.2375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYG324	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875
Chester, County of	PA	WPYH558	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Cumberland County, Pennsylvania Department of Emergency Preparedness	PA	WQAB589	860.4375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.2375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.4625
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.9875
Lower Allen, Township of	PA	WPAP650	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPYT624	860.7125, 860.9625
Philadelphia, City of	PA	WNGE384	860.7375
Philadelphia, City of	PA	WQLU480	860.8375
Philadelphia, City of	PA	WQMB258	860.7375
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPFQ220	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPWE776	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPZS814	860.9375
Westmoreland, County of	PA	WPZU942	860.9375
Whitehall, Borough of	PA	WPRF753	860.7125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNCX326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenville, County of	SC	WPKD619	860.7125
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WQNC839	860.4625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Bartlett, City of	TN	WNVF991	860.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson, City of	TN	WPEU965	860.2625, 860.7625
Jackson, City of	TN	WQBB501	860.9875
Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority	TN	WPUQ392	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WNRM943	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Obion, County of	TN	WPNS505	860.7125
Obion, County of	TN	WQCW614	860.9625
Shelby, County of	TN	WNQU968	860.2125
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPKH401	860.9375
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Austin, City of	TX	WNBZ704	860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYE613	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYU318	860.4375
City Public Service	TX	WNLI313	860.2875, 860.3375
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	WPTD745	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
San Antonio, City of	TX	WPBG238	860.3625
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9	TX	KSP328	860.9375
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875
Murray, City of	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPWH838	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPXK838	860.4375
Salt Lake City, City of	UT	KNJU695	860.7625, 860.9625
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Utah, County of	UT	WPZV887	860.2125, 860.9375
Arlington, County of	VA	KNIQ704	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Fairfax, County of	VA	KNIH412	860.2625
Fairfax, County of	VA	WNAJ365	860.2625
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP369	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP374	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2013

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Chelan County Public Utility District No 1	WA	WPKP377	860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
Clark, County of	WA	WQEQ542	860.9875
King, County of	WA	WQBZ725	860.4625, 860.9625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ860	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ862	860.2375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ865	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ866	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ867	860.4375
Valley Communications Center	WA	WQBD600	860.2625, 860.7125
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Sheboygan, County of	WI	WPTS436	860.2625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPF727	860.2375
Wisconsin, University of	WI	WPJH396	860.7875
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA470	860.2125

* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2013

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013							
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE ESMR Band	ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs					
Wave 1	Subtotal	791	300	1929	0	0	3020
6	CA - North	107	89	138	0	0	334
7	Colorado	27	15	224	0	0	266
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	36	389	0	0	521
11	Hawaii	55	5	28	0	0	88
13	Illinois	40	12	139	0	0	191
14	Indiana	27	34	147	0	0	208
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	73	24	134	0	0	231
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	5	96	0	0	167
27	Nevada	63	5	38	0	0	106
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	6	216	0	0	289
35	Oregon	48	1	40	0	0	89
41	Utah	21	3	146	0	0	170
42	Virginia	52	23	58	0	0	133
45	Wisconsin	13	10	3	0	0	26
54	Chicago	36	32	133	0	0	201
Wave 2	Subtotal	469	175	765	7	3	1419
4	Arkansas	38	45	95	0	3	181
12	Idaho	14	0	0	0	0	14
15	Iowa	16	16	7	0	0	39
16	Kansas	33	6	280	0	0	319
17	Kentucky	16	13	16	0	0	45
22	Minnesota	74	10	50	0	0	134
24	Missouri	37	11	19	0	0	67
25	Montana	19	0	1	0	0	20
26	Nebraska	10	4	32	0	0	46
32	North Dakota	6	0	0	0	0	6
34	Oklahoma	25	3	40	0	0	68
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	43	28	56	7	0	134
40	TX - Dallas	38	21	44	0	0	103
44	West Virginia	3	1	10	0	0	14
46	Wyoming	1	2	7	0	0	10
49	TX - Austin	11	9	68	0	0	88
51	TX - Houston	42	5	38	0	0	85
52	TX - Lubbock	42	1	2	0	0	45
Wave 3	Subtotal	511	200	1101	239	41	2092
1	Alabama	9	28	32	56	0	125
9	Florida	216	61	330	32	0	639
10	Georgia	49	29	82	76	0	236
18	Louisiana	79	16	74	5	0	174
23	Mississippi	23	18	24	51	0	116
31	North Carolina	67	23	369	7	0	466
37	South Carolina	37	23	177	12	0	249
47	Puerto Rico	18	2	13	0	36	69
48	US Virgin Islands	13	0	0	0	5	18

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2013

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013							
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE ESMR Band	ESMR Band	Total
		Number of Call Signs					
Wave 4	Subtotal	824	272	1703	0	0	2799
2	Alaska	22	1	1	0	0	24
3	Arizona*	70	21	99	0	0	190
5	CA - South*	92	80	389	0	0	561
21	Michigan	58	0	340	0	0	398
29	New Mexico*	25	5	9	0	0	39
30	NY - Albany	91	62	189	0	0	342
33	Ohio	105	37	179	0	0	321
36	Pennsylvania	11	9	231	0	0	251
43	Washington	147	15	179	0	0	341
50	TX - El Paso*	10	6	4	0	0	20
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	34	0	0	66
54	MI portion of Chicago	9	5	24	0	0	38
55	New York - Buffalo	168	15	25	0	0	208
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2595	947	5498	246	44	9330

* Public Safety Region includes call signs in the U.S.-Mexico border region. Data may change depending upon the border area band plan.

Appendix 5

Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information for the Canadian Border Regions, as of March 31, 2013

Current Population of Canadian Border Region Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013				
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Stage 1	Stage 2	Total
		Number of Call Signs		
Wave 1	Subtotal	15	5	20
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT	15	2	17
35	Oregon	0	3	3
Wave 2	Subtotal	51	3	54
12	Idaho	3	0	3
22	Minnesota	19	3	22
25	Montana	9	0	9
32	North Dakota	20	0	20
Wave 3	Subtotal	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	143	1204	1347
2	Alaska	21	0	21
21	Michigan	37	373	410
30	NY - Albany	11	23	34
33	Ohio	34	242	276
36	Pennsylvania	3	226	229
43	Washington	30	285	315
54	MI portion of Chicago	1	27	28
55	New York - Buffalo	6	28	34
Total for Waves 1-4		209	1212	1421

Note:

(a) For Non-Canadian Border PSR 15, a call sign has frequencies in both PSR 15 and PSR 22. The reconfiguration of the frequencies on this call sign in PSR 22 necessitated the reconfiguration of the same frequencies in PSR 15.

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2013

Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of March 31, 2013														
Status	Wave 1			Wave 2				Wave 3				Wave 4		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC
FPR Sent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	80.3%	64.8%	70.1%
Under Prior Contract	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Affected by Border Zone	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.7%	35.2%	29.0%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised or pending proposals	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%
FPRs in process	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Notes:

- a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.
- b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- c. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.
- d. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the FCC's October 5, 2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order.

Appendix 6

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 1, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	NPSPAC Region Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	287	52	15	0	0	354
	MultiRegion	29	11	4	0	0	44
6	Northern California	34	6	2	0	0	42
7	Colorado	16	0	1	0	0	17
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	30	7	1	0	0	38
9	Florida	1	0	0	0	0	1
11	Hawaii	8	0	1	0	0	9
13	Illinois	18	2	1	0	0	21
14	Indiana	10	1	1	0	0	12
15	Iowa	1	0	0	0	0	1
19	New England	23	2	0	0	0	25
20	DC, Maryland, Northern VA	16	6	0	0	0	22
22	Minnesota	1	0	0	0	0	1
27	Nevada	22	3	2	0	0	27
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	23	1	1	0	0	25
35	Oregon	15	1	0	0	0	16
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	14	4	0	0	0	18
45	Wisconsin	7	1	0	0	0	8
54	Southern Lake Michigan	14	5	1	0	0	20
Wave 2	Subtotal	167	36	2	1	0	206
	MultiRegion	22	8	1	0	0	31
4	Arkansas	8	3	0	0	0	11
12	Idaho	5	1	0	0	0	6
15	Iowa	4	2	0	0	0	6
16	Kansas	9	2	0	1	0	12
17	Kentucky	11	2	0	0	0	13
22	Minnesota	28	3	0	0	0	31
24	Missouri	12	4	0	0	0	16
25	Montana	4	0	1	0	0	5
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
29	New Mexico	1	0	0	0	0	1
32	North Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	2	0	0	0	0	2
39	Tennessee	22	3	0	0	0	25
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	2	0	0	0	12
41	Utah	1	0	0	0	0	1
44	West Virginia	1	1	0	0	0	2
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	2	1	0	0	0	3
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	13	1	0	0	0	14
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	8	0	0	0	0	8

Appendix 6

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 1, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	NPSPAC Region Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 3	Subtotal	224	20	5	0	0	249
	MultiRegion	24	2	1	0	0	27
1	Alabama	14	1	0	0	0	15
9	Florida	73	9	3	0	0	85
10	Georgia	46	4	0	0	0	50
18	Louisiana	15	1	0	0	0	16
23	Mississippi	16	1	0	0	0	17
31	North Carolina	22	1	0	0	0	23
37	South Carolina	6	1	1	0	0	8
47	Puerto Rico	5	0	0	0	0	5
48	US Virgin Islands	3	0	0	0	0	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	106	8	1	0	0	115
	MultiRegion	8	2	0	0	0	10
2	Alaska	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	Arizona	25	0	0	0	0	25
5	Southern California	3	0	0	0	0	3
10	Georgia	1	0	0	0	0	1
18	Louisiana	11	3	0	0	0	14
21	Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
23	Mississippi	1	0	0	0	0	1
29	New Mexico	10	0	0	0	0	10
30	Eastern Upstate NY	4	1	0	0	0	5
31	North Carolina	0	0	1	0	0	1
33	Ohio	10	0	0	0	0	10
36	Western Pennsylvania	5	0	0	0	0	5
43	Washington	5	0	0	0	0	5
50	Texas - West & Central (Midland Area)	8	0	0	0	0	8
53	Texas - Southern (San Antonio)	4	2	0	0	0	6
54	Southern Lake Michigan	4	0	0	0	0	4
63	Guam	2	0	0	0	0	2
Canadian Border	Subtotal	57	13	5	0	0	75
0	MultiRegion	6	1	2	0	0	9
2	Alaska	1	1	0	0	0	2
12	Idaho	1	0	0	0	0	1
19	New England	7	1	0	0	0	8
21	Michigan	4	2	0	0	0	6
22	Minnesota	3	0	0	0	0	3
25	Montana	1	1	0	0	0	2
30	Eastern Upstate NY	2	1	1	0	0	4
32	North Dakota	4	0	0	0	0	4
33	Ohio	12	3	0	0	0	15
36	Western Pennsylvania	2	0	0	0	0	2
43	Washington	11	3	1	0	0	15
54	Southern Lake Michigan	1	0	0	0	0	1
55	Western Upstate NY	2	0	1	0	0	3
Totals for Waves 1-4 and Canadian Border		841	129	28	1	0	999

Appendix 7

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 2,
Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	NPSPAC Region Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	278	64	12	0	0	354
	MultiRegion	36	18	6	0	0	60
6	Northern California	30	6	0	0	0	36
7	Colorado	7	0	0	0	0	7
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	38	5	2	0	0	45
11	Hawaii	0	0	1	0	0	1
13	Illinois	7	1	0	0	0	8
14	Indiana	15	2	0	0	0	17
19	New England	41	11	0	0	0	52
20	DC, Maryland, Northern VA	21	9	1	0	0	31
27	Nevada	3	0	0	0	0	3
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	16	1	0	0	0	17
35	Oregon	4	0	0	0	0	4
41	Utah	8	1	0	0	0	9
42	Virginia	20	2	1	0	0	23
45	Wisconsin	3	1	0	0	0	4
54	Southern Lake Michigan	29	7	1	0	0	37
Wave 2	Subtotal	189	32	4	0	0	225
	MultiRegion	31	10	0	0	0	41
4	Arkansas	9	0	0	0	0	9
15	Iowa	15	0	0	0	0	15
16	Kansas	18	2	0	0	0	20
17	Kentucky	13	3	0	0	0	16
22	Minnesota	12	0	0	0	0	12
24	Missouri	12	1	0	0	0	13
26	Nebraska	12	4	0	0	0	16
34	Oklahoma	7	1	0	0	0	8
39	Tennessee	20	1	1	0	0	22
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	24	4	0	0	0	28
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	0	0	1	0	0	1
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	9	2	1	0	0	12
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	4	4	1	0	0	9
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	2	0	0	0	0	2

Appendix 7

Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Non-Border and Canadian Border Region Stage 2,
Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2013

NPSPAC Region	NPSPAC Region Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 3	Subtotal	167	56	4	0	0	227
	MultiRegion	27	12	1	0	0	40
1	Alabama	10	4	1	0	0	15
9	Florida	39	11	1	0	0	51
10	Georgia	29	7	0	0	0	36
18	Louisiana	18	10	0	0	0	28
23	Mississippi	11	2	0	0	0	13
31	North Carolina	21	5	0	0	0	26
37	South Carolina	6	5	1	0	0	12
47	Puerto Rico	6	0	0	0	0	6
Wave 4	Subtotal	35	9	0	0	0	44
	MultiRegion	6	2	0	0	0	8
2	Alaska	0	1	0	0	0	1
5	Southern California	2	1	0	0	0	3
8	Metropolitan, NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	1	0	0	0	0	1
29	New Mexico	4	2	0	0	0	6
30	Eastern Upstate NY	3	0	0	0	0	3
33	Ohio	6	1	0	0	0	7
36	Western Pennsylvania	3	0	0	0	0	3
43	Washington	2	0	0	0	0	2
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
50	Texas - West & Central (Midland Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
53	Texas - Southern (San Antonio)	4	1	0	0	0	5
54	Southern Lake Michigan	2	0	0	0	0	2
Canadian Border	Subtotal	86	29	1	0	0	116
	MultiRegion	10	6	0	0	0	16
19	New England	1	0	0	0	0	1
21	Michigan	19	4	0	0	0	23
22	Minnesota	0	1	0	0	0	1
25	Montana	1	0	0	0	0	1
30	Eastern Upstate NY	6	1	0	0	0	7
33	Ohio	25	10	1	0	0	36
36	Western Pennsylvania	1	1	0	0	0	2
43	Washington	18	2	0	0	0	20
55	Western Upstate NY	5	4	0	0	0	9
Totals for Waves 1-4 and Canadian Border		755	190	21	0	0	966

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/05	
2	Oregon, State of	12/22/05	
3	Slater, Stuart R	12/23/05	
4	Transit Mix Concrete Co	1/24/06	
5	Wireless Market Source 2	1/26/06	
6	Firstview Communications 2	1/27/06	
7	RA Comm Inc	2/3/06	
8	Billiou Ranch Inc.	2/7/06	
9	Jokers, Lloyd L	2/7/06	
10	Lowery, Nelda	2/15/06	
11	Parrot Ranch Company	2/15/06	
12	Stock, Marcia	2/15/06	
13	Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc	2/16/06	
14	Coast Hotels and Casinos	2/27/06	
15	Kuypers, John	2/27/06	
16	Crader, R David	4/17/06	
17	Herby, Clinton	4/17/06	
18	Gold Star FS INC	4/18/06	
19	Vico Construction Company	4/19/06	
20	High Peak Communications, LLC	4/21/06	
21	Ruffin Gaming LLC	4/21/06	
22	Taylor, Eugene J.	4/21/06	
23	Boyar, Adam	4/25/06	
24	Plantings By the Sea	4/25/06	
25	Espinoza, Raul	4/27/06	
26	Marco Polo Rebanding	4/27/06	
27	Ohio Valley Gas	4/27/06	
28	Sunset Scavenger Corp	4/27/06	
29	Taylor, Dorothy L	4/27/06	
30	Binder Machinery Corporation	4/28/06	
31	Albany, City of	5/3/06	
32	Cunningham, Barbara	5/5/06	
33	Salisbury, Town of	5/9/06	
34	Steg, Bernard (2)	5/9/06	
35	Tooele, County Of	5/9/06	
36	Viking Land Mobile Communications, Inc. (2)	5/9/06	
37	Emery, Connie R	5/11/06	
38	Detweiler, Scott R.	5/15/06	
39	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	5/16/06	
40	Smith, Alton	5/18/06	
41	Myers, Natalie	5/19/06	
42	Gallagher Asphalt # 2	5/23/06	
43	Access Ag, Inc.	5/24/06	
44	West County Transportation Agency	5/25/06	
45	Chapin, Barbara A.	5/26/06	
46	Yamaoka Bros Inc	5/26/06	
47	Super Shuttle International 2	5/30/06	
48	Black, Daniel	6/1/06	
49	Emery, Robert M	6/2/06	
50	PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc.2	6/3/06	
51	Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald	6/6/06	
52	Cupertino Union School District	6/6/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
53	Bresnan Communications, LLC	6/9/06	
54	Communications Systems Specialist, Inc.	6/9/06	
55	Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation	6/14/06	
56	Patel, Shailesh N #3 (swap)	6/14/06	
57	Ferrari, Julia (Plote)	6/16/06	
58	Sobota, Carolyn	6/16/06	
59	Storer, Donald B.	6/21/06	
60	Underground Inc	6/21/06	
61	Henderson, Henry	6/26/06	
62	Perdue Farms Inc.	6/26/06	
63	Estate of Rolland Gonsalves	6/30/06	
64	Resorts USA Inc.	6/30/06	
65	Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc	7/5/06	
66	841 Bishop, LLC	7/6/06	
67	Brock, Harold: Samuel: Dorothy (Nevada)	7/6/06	
68	Las Vegas Paving	7/6/06	
69	Celco SND Comm Inc	7/7/06	
70	Casino Queen INC	7/10/06	
71	Wulf, Thomas	7/10/06	
72	Secom Communications 2	7/11/06	
73	Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc.	7/12/06	
74	Lely, Gerald	7/12/06	
75	Mammoth June Ski Resort, Inc.	7/12/06	
76	Triangle Wireless Inc	7/12/06	
77	Prentiss Properties (rebanding)	7/13/06	
78	Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizers	7/14/06	
79	F & D Enterprises Inc.	7/17/06	
80	Salvation Army Harrisburg	7/17/06	
81	Denver Radio Electronics & Technology	7/19/06	
82	Shledon Gwyneth A # 4 (swap)	7/20/06	
83	Clean Water Services	7/21/06	
84	Central Jersey Irrigation	7/24/06	
85	Rocky Mountain Motorists Inc.	7/24/06	
86	M S Concrete	7/26/06	
87	Radisson Hotel and Conference Center	7/26/06	
88	San Jose Unified School District	7/27/06	
89	Aluminium Company of America 1	7/28/06	
90	Easton, Town of	7/28/06	
91	Henry Nelch & Son Co	7/28/06	
92	Irving Materials	7/28/06	
93	A Teichert & Son Contract	8/1/06	
94	Blankenbechler, Steve	8/1/06	
95	Church of Jesus Christ of LDS	8/1/06	
96	Community Repeater Guidance CA	8/1/06	
97	Mandalay Corp	8/3/06	
98	Total Networks Communications Inc	8/3/06	
99	Jeffersonville, City of	8/4/06	
100	Lyon, Corey M	8/4/06	
101	Sangamon, County of (Courthouse)	8/4/06	
102	CU Radio Enterprises, Inc.	8/8/06	
103	CSI Communications d/b/a Day Wireless Systems	8/9/06	
104	Lectro Communications Inc.	8/9/06	
105	London Towncars Inc	8/9/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
106	Tilden, Robert	8/9/06	
107	E&J Gallo Winery	8/14/06	
108	Donald, C. Alger	8/15/06	
109	Hardy Plumbing , Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc	8/15/06	
110	Shearer Communications	8/15/06	
111	Steuben County 3	8/15/06	
112	Whitley County Consolidated Schools	8/16/06	
113	Cook Dupage Transportation	8/21/06	
114	Wheatridge, City of	8/21/06	
115	Boston Properties limited Partnership	8/24/06	
116	Excalibur Hotel Casino	8/28/06	
117	Shuluk, Greg	8/28/06	
118	Technology Associates, LLC	8/29/06	
119	The Boeing Company	8/29/06	
120	Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc	8/30/06	
121	Levi Strauss & Co	8/30/06	
122	Veach, Dorothy	8/30/06	
123	Fowlkes, Edddie	8/31/06	
124	Methvin, Madeline	9/5/06	
125	Denver Public Schools	9/6/06	
126	Waste Management Holdings Inc. (2)	9/6/06	
127	Granite Contruction Company	9/8/06	
128	Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data)	9/8/06	
129	Upper Merion, Township of	9/8/06	
130	Jung, Mark	9/11/06	
131	Ferma Corp	9/20/06	
132	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (2)	9/26/06	
133	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (4)	9/27/06	
134	Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc.	10/5/06	
135	Aeronautical Radio - NJ	10/6/06	
136	Stamford Fire Department	10/6/06	
137	NBC Telemundo License Company	10/9/06	
138	New Star Fresh Foods LLC	10/9/06	
139	Illinois, State of	10/12/06	
140	Crane, Bert	10/13/06	
141	Petry, Gay	10/17/06	
142	Wireless Connections LLC	10/17/06	
143	Ihilani Rebanding	10/20/06	
144	Wright Jr, Gary L	10/23/06	
145	Waterbury, City of	10/24/06	
146	Dorler Communications Company	11/1/06	
147	Conley, George	11/2/06	
148	Deere & Company	11/2/06	
149	TKT INC	11/2/06	
150	Morgan, County of (WV)	11/10/06	
151	Rock County, WI	11/13/06	
152	Globeground North America LLC	11/20/06	
153	Windham, Town of	11/20/06	
154	Duncan Gordon L	11/21/06	
155	Baker Rock Crushing Company	11/27/06	
156	Comcast of Connecticut, Inc.	11/27/06	
157	G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding	11/27/06	
158	Brooks, Janis	12/1/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
159	Mountaire Farms	12/5/06	
160	Mathews Ferderick and Chas	12/6/06	
161	Triple C Communications	12/6/06	
162	Wecom Inc.	12/6/06	
163	Mid - State Mobile Radio Inc.	12/8/06	
164	Hampden Communication Corp	12/12/06	
165	Weleccki, Alan M	12/28/06	
166	Connecticut State of , CCSU	1/8/07	
167	Hartford Hospital	1/19/07	
168	Mc Clatchy Newspaper Inc.	1/19/07	
169	Willard Agri Svc	1/19/07	
170	K & A Mutual Associates Inc.	1/23/07	
171	K5 Contruccion	1/24/07	
172	Dole Fresh Fruit	1/25/07	
173	New Haven, City of	1/25/07	
174	Wille Brother Company	1/25/07	
175	Baltimore Gas & Electric Company	1/26/07	
176	Emergency Radio Services Inc. 2	1/29/07	
177	KLL Wireless, INC	1/29/07	
178	Adler, Philip	2/1/07	
179	William A. Hazel	2/2/07	
180	Stoller Construction	2/5/07	
181	Frederick County, MD Board of Education	2/8/07	
182	R. W. Miller & Sons Inc	2/8/07	
183	Frederick County, MD	2/9/07	
184	Frontier Radio	2/12/07	
185	Aggregate Inc.	2/15/07	
186	Caterpillar	2/15/07	
187	Joseph J Albanese Inc	2/15/07	
188	Brenner, Jerry	2/21/07	
189	JTE Enterprises	2/21/07	
190	Insight Communications Midwest LLC	2/26/07	
191	Mary Ellen Brewer (Lundy)	2/26/07	
192	Nevada Ready Mix II	2/27/07	
193	Sonoma County, CA	3/1/07	
194	WP Company LLC	3/2/07	
195	Lockheed Martin Corporation	3/6/07	
196	Sprague, Town of	3/6/07	
197	Chaney Enterprises (2)	3/8/07	
198	County of Ocean New Jersey	3/15/07	
199	Glastonbury Police Department	3/15/07	
200	Praire Group Inc.	3/15/07	
201	Radon - Norcom	3/15/07	
202	Soundview Spectrum, LLC	3/15/07	
203	Hawaii, State of	3/16/07	
204	Metro Electronics	3/16/07	
205	Rhode Island, State of	3/20/07	
206	Meriden, City of	3/21/07	
207	XW LLC #2 (swap)	3/21/07	
208	Mirage Resorts Inc.	3/26/07	
209	Gerawan Farming (Re-band)	3/27/07	
210	Horizon Mobile Communications Inc.	3/29/07	
211	Trenton, City of	4/6/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
212	Montgomery Shuttle	4/11/07	
213	Central Bucks School District	4/13/07	
214	Motient (Deal I - L120)	4/17/07	
215	First Student, Inc.	4/19/07	
216	Motorola (2)	4/20/07	
217	Durham School services - CHI, IL	5/1/07	
218	Durham School services - PHI, PA	5/1/07	
219	Durham School Services - North CA	5/1/07	
220	Sun Cab Inc dba Nellis Cab Company	5/11/07	
221	PG & E (Re-Band)	5/15/07	
222	Pennington, Agnes	5/15/07	
223	Adams, Don Jr.	5/17/07	
224	Anderson, Glen	5/18/07	
225	Durham School Services - Decatur, IL	5/23/07	
226	Rheins, Joy 2	5/23/07	
227	Townsend Booth	5/23/07	
228	Hudson General -NY	5/24/07	
229	Colorado, State of (L-120)	5/30/07	
230	Vectren Corporation/ Indiana Gas Co. Inc.	5/31/07	
231	New York Communications Company Inc.	6/1/07	
232	O'Hare Midway Limousine Svcs, Inc.	6/4/07	
233	Cumberland, County of	6/5/07	
234	Reilly, Phillip	6/5/07	
235	Cohen, Eliahu	6/11/07	
236	Orosz, Joseph Rebanding	6/11/07	
237	Hernandez, David A	6/12/07	
238	Olde City Taxi Coach Association, Inc.	6/14/07	
239	RCMH Car Company, Inc. 2	6/14/07	
240	Ragan Communications, Inc #3 (swap)	6/22/07	
241	David Whiteside	6/26/07	
242	Ready Mixed Concrete Company	6/26/07	
243	Bethlehem, City of	6/27/07	
244	Cure Brothers	6/28/07	
245	Desilva Gates Const.	7/2/07	
246	Mora, Albert	7/2/07	
247	Academy Express	7/10/07	
248	Thelen Sand & Gravel Inc	7/10/07	
249	Illinois Power Company	7/12/07	
250	RSK World, Inc. Rebanding	7/17/07	
251	VCF Inc.	7/24/07	
252	John E Sola Estate	7/25/07	
253	ANAT, LLC Rebanding	8/31/07	
254	Egg Harbor, Township of	9/7/07	
255	Atlantic, County of	10/3/07	
256	Browning Ferris Industries, Inc. 2005	10/3/07	
257	Montgomery, County of 2005	10/24/07	
258	York Cable Televison	10/24/07	
259	Union, County of	10/25/07	
260	PCS Communications	11/19/07	
261	Craig Antenna Service 2	12/20/07	
262	Ray's Radio #2	1/11/08	
263	DuPage, County of	1/14/08	
264	Nevada Ready Mix	1/15/08	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
265	Johnson, County of	1/31/08	
266	MCM Construction # 2 Swap	2/20/08	
267	Township of Berkeley	3/10/08	
268	Murray City Power Department	3/13/08	
269	Redland Genstar Inc.	3/25/08	
270	Peltzer Farm Management, Inc.	3/31/08	
271	Harris Communications / A-1-A TA Inc.	4/14/08	
272	Hudson, County of	4/24/08	
273	Peco Energy Company	4/29/08	
274	Hale, Robert	5/6/08	
275	Chesterfield County, VA	5/9/08	
276	Laidlaw Transit	5/20/08	
277	Myers + Brown # 6	5/27/08	
278	United Communications Co	5/27/08	
279	Virginia Commonwealth of DMV	5/27/08	
280	Virginia, Commonwealth of - CNU	5/27/08	
281	Wireless US, LLC	6/10/08	
282	Concord Body Repair LLC	6/11/08	
283	Computer Car Inc.	6/30/08	
284	Anheuser Busch Wave 1	7/16/08	
285	Quicksilver Express Courier	8/8/08	
286	Ocean City, Town of	10/1/08	
287	United Illuminating Company	10/14/08	
288	Illinois Cooperative Association, INV #4	10/23/08	
289	Myers Brothers Inc	10/23/08	
290	State of Connecticut	12/4/08	
291	Helms Brothers	1/22/09	
292	Kuilima Resort Company Rebanding	1/22/09	
293	Seabrook Joint Owners - Rebanding	1/26/09	
294	Fresno Unified School District	2/2/09	
295	Ameren Services Company	2/16/09	
296	Regency Cab Inc.	3/5/09	
297	Roanoke, County of (VA)	4/7/09	
298	Chevron USA Inc	5/6/09	
299	MARYLAND, STATE OF- MDOT- MAA	5/6/09	
300	Charter, Tom or Perry DBA - T&P Farms	5/21/09	
301	Essex, County of	5/21/09	
302	Mashpee, Town of	5/21/09	
303	New Jersey Turnpike Authority	5/21/09	
304	Roy Street Enterprises Inc.	5/21/09	
305	Sandwich, Town of	5/21/09	
306	California State Auto Assn.	5/29/09	
307	G & P Communications	6/12/09	
308	Smith, Michael D	6/22/09	
309	Susquehanna Township	6/24/09	
310	Passaic, City of	6/29/09	
311	Fresno Mobile Radio	7/17/09	
312	Ledgemere- Maine	7/17/09	
313	Lectracom, Inc. 2	8/4/09	
314	FOB Inc.	9/1/09	
315	Norfolk, City of	9/1/09	
316	Manassas, City of	9/22/09	
317	Maryland, State of - Rebanding	9/22/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
318	Portland, City of	10/8/09	
319	WCCCA	10/12/09	
320	City Pic Corporation	10/20/09	
321	Tarco Inc.	10/28/09	
322	Bristol, County of (MA)	11/6/09	
323	Radio Services Inc	11/11/09	
324	Bourne, Town of	12/1/09	
325	Colmar Fire Company	1/6/10	
326	Mobile Telephone & Paging #2	3/8/10	
327	La Rue Communications	3/15/10	
328	New Jersey, State of	4/14/10	
329	Pataco, Nancy 2	5/11/10	
330	Cambridge, City of (MA)	6/25/10	
331	Industrial Communications Co	8/16/10	
332	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.	12/9/10	
333	Henrico County Public Schools VA	12/14/10	
334	Island SMR # 4 (re-band)	2/28/11	
335	Telebeepeer Continental US EA Swap	8/16/11	
336	Baltimore, County of	2/20/12	
337	Reading, City of (2)	4/12/12	
338	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	1/22/13	
339	Richmond, City of VA	1/29/13	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		339
Wave 2			
1	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	1/3/06	
2	Maplewood, City of	1/3/06	
3	Raft River Rural Electric Corp	1/6/06	
4	Zenk, John	1/10/06	
5	Lees Summit Board of Education	1/17/06	
6	Nebco Inc.	2/27/06	
7	Electronic Specialties, Inc	3/8/06	
8	Lyondell Citgo	3/27/06	
9	McMahon, Eric	4/15/06	
10	First Student, Inc.	4/17/06	
11	Fischer, Craig D	4/21/06	
12	Steier, Tim	4/24/06	
13	Metro Communications LLC	4/27/06	
14	Seba Bros Farms Inc	4/27/06	
15	Triple D Communications Inc	4/27/06	
16	Hewlett Packard Company Inc.	5/5/06	
17	Malavia, Jim	5/9/06	
18	Mid Tennessee third Mobile	5/9/06	
19	Battles Communications 2	5/15/06	
20	Cox Communications - Wichita, KS	5/15/06	
21	Minnesota, State of	5/15/06	
22	Radioland Inc.	5/15/06	
23	Smith, Eleanor (2)	5/18/06	
24	Best D & D	5/19/06	
25	Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs	5/24/06	
26	Epperson, Jimmy A.	5/25/06	
27	Graybill Electronics Inc.	5/26/06	
28	Myers, L E	6/2/06	
29	J Lee Milligan	6/6/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
30	Melba School District 136	6/6/06	
31	Roadrunner Transportation	6/6/06	
32	Boyar, Chris	6/9/06	
33	Holsum Bakers Inc DBA Caprock Communications	6/9/06	
34	Pine Bluff, City of, AR	6/16/06	
35	Kenton County Airport Board	6/19/06	
36	Minneapolis, City of	6/20/06	
37	Houston 900 Network	6/23/06	
38	Hopkins, Terry	6/27/06	
39	Balis, Gregory K	6/28/06	
40	Wood Communications 2	6/28/06	
41	Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust	6/29/06	
42	Scott Strouts DBA AMC Taxi	7/5/06	
43	Ashland Construction Communications	7/6/06	
44	Butler, Edward	7/6/06	
45	West Central Communications 2	7/12/06	
46	North Kansas City, City of (School District)	7/13/06	
47	TFM Comm Inc.	7/13/06	
48	Fred Weber Inc.	7/19/06	
49	Estate of Joseph C Thames	7/21/06	
50	Allied Services LLC	7/26/06	
51	Herby, John	7/27/06	
52	Alperowitz, Francine	7/28/06	
53	Leonardt, Bruno	8/1/06	
54	Champion Communications Services	8/3/06	
55	Johnson, Christian	8/3/06	
56	Frontier El Dorado Refining	8/4/06	
57	Telebeep Inc.	8/4/06	
58	Williamstown, City of	8/7/06	
59	21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding)	8/9/06	
60	Molitor Farms Inc	8/9/06	
61	Bellar Communications Co	8/14/06	
62	Ames Construction Inc.	8/15/06	
63	Davis Jr, Clifton	8/15/06	
64	Craighead, County of AR	8/16/06	
65	Herby, Martha A	8/16/06	
66	Stier Transportation Services Inc.	8/18/06	
67	Co Op Agriculture Center	8/24/06	
68	Miller, Cleo	8/24/06	
69	Minnesota Mobile Telephone	8/24/06	
70	City of Dakota Dunes	8/28/06	
71	Lehman Roberts	9/15/06	
72	Watonwan Farm Service	9/27/06	
73	Hearthstone Enterprises Inc	10/3/06	
74	Gateway Wireless Services 3	10/4/06	
75	AVR Inc.	10/6/06	
76	Airtel Wireless, LLC	10/12/06	
77	Michael Howell	10/12/06	
78	Action Radio & Communications INC	10/23/06	
79	Aeronautical Radio Inc	10/27/06	
80	Richardson, TX City of	10/30/06	
81	Hopkins, City of	10/31/06	
82	Crittenden County, AR	11/1/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
83	Schmidtke, Dave	11/1/06	
84	Midwest City	11/2/06	
85	Raabe, Richard	11/8/06	
86	C & W Comm (Steve Gill)	11/9/06	
87	John Knox Village	11/10/06	
88	Athens Utilities Board, TN	11/17/06	
89	C S Leasing	11/27/06	
90	Dallas Count, TX	11/27/06	
91	Aeronautical Radio - MS	11/29/06	
92	American Red Cross	12/1/06	
93	Eastman Chemical Company	12/1/06	
94	Union Carbide Corp	12/8/06	
95	Mustang, City of, OK	12/15/06	
96	Bismark, City of	12/27/06	
97	Chattanooga SMR Inc	1/3/07	
98	County Douglas - KS	1/3/07	
99	Griffin, Bryan	1/3/07	
100	Callahan Tower Joint Venture	1/5/07	
101	Curtis Well Servicing	1/10/07	
102	Corey Seppmann Well Drilling	1/17/07	
103	W G Block Co	1/17/07	
104	Xcel Energy Services Inc	1/18/07	
105	Brush, Peter	2/1/07	
106	Anchorage, City of	2/5/07	
107	Gem State	2/5/07	
108	Cemstone Products Company	2/7/07	
109	Snyder, Kenneth C.	2/8/07	
110	Sater, Gary N.	2/12/07	
111	Mattson Well Company	2/19/07	
112	Crescent, The	2/26/07	
113	Ebenhyoh, Daniel	2/26/07	
114	Electronic Engineering Co. 3	2/26/07	
115	Occidental Permian LTD	2/26/07	
116	Holes Inc	2/27/07	
117	Gerbos Bros Construction Co	2/28/07	
118	SP100 South 5th Street LLC & SP150 South Street	3/5/07	
119	SR Communications Associates	3/6/07	
120	Alpha Wireless Communications Inc.	3/13/07	
121	Wenner Farms	3/14/07	
122	Hennepin County	3/15/07	
123	Northwest Airlines	3/15/07	
124	Young, Chris: Paschall, Larry A	3/15/07	
125	Boulder AG	3/20/07	
126	Charleston Housing	3/22/07	
127	Green Isle Countryside Farms	3/28/07	
128	JP Jenkins, Inc.	3/28/07	
129	Comm Center /Phoenix Distributing	4/3/07	
130	Atofina Petrochemicals Inc	4/9/07	
131	W A Ellis Construction Company	4/9/07	
132	Sebastian, County of, AR	4/13/07	
133	G & D Communications	4/19/07	
134	Intermountain Communications of Southern Idaho Inc. #6	5/2/07	
135	Berry Hill, City of	5/14/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
136	Durham School Services - MO	5/14/07	
137	Raymer, Joe R	5/17/07	
138	Minnesota, State of - Dept. of Corrections	5/18/07	
139	Ford Communications	5/24/07	
140	JRJ Paving	5/24/07	
141	Leavenworth, County of, KS	6/4/07	
142	Chevron Phillips Chemical Co LP	6/7/07	
143	Main Street Partners	6/7/07	
144	Challenger Construction INC.	6/11/07	
145	Grant County, AR	6/11/07	
146	A Communications LLC	6/12/07	
147	Aldredge, RW:Kay, AP:Weishuhn G	7/2/07	
148	Hertz Rent A Car	7/2/07	
149	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	7/5/07	
150	MO ARK Communications Inc.	7/10/07	
151	Airport Fast Park	7/23/07	
152	Bass Enterprises Construction Company	7/23/07	
153	Central Communications & Electronics	7/26/07	
154	Bridgeport Tank Trucks	8/15/07	
155	Gladstone, City of	8/24/07	
156	Peacock, John F	8/24/07	
157	Bliss, Robert D	10/10/07	
158	Baptist Medical System	10/17/07	
159	Hillard, Harold 2	10/17/07	
160	Laidlaw (Wave 2 and 4)	10/18/07	
161	Capital Aggregates Inc	10/23/07	
162	Meek,Larry (Rebanding)	10/23/07	
163	City of Derby	11/16/07	
164	Septran, Inc.	12/12/07	
165	Grays Knob Coal Co	1/10/08	
166	Denton, City of	1/14/08	
167	Self Radio, Inc. and Self Brothers Oil Company	2/18/08	
168	Sedgwick, County of, KS	2/19/08	
169	Kansas, State of	3/10/08	
170	Entergy	3/14/08	
171	Mobil Oil Telcom LTD	3/21/08	
172	Mid American Energy Company	4/29/08	
173	Anheuser Busch	5/5/08	
174	Covington, City of	5/27/08	
175	Levake, Martin 2005	5/27/08	
176	WASTE CONNECTIONS OF TENNESSEE	6/10/08	
177	Quicksilver Express Courier	7/16/08	
178	Burkhardt, Phillip	7/17/08	
179	CenterPoint Energy Gas Transmission	8/7/08	
180	Pitcock and Williams	10/1/08	
181	First Digital Holdings LLC	10/20/08	
182	Conway, City of, AR	10/21/08	
183	Conduff, Archie	11/18/08	
184	ConocoPhillips Communications Inc.	12/19/08	
185	McClellan, Odis	5/21/09	
186	Williamson, Bruce (EA & Site License)	5/29/09	
187	Adler, Susan	7/16/09	
188	Duke Energy (rebanding)	7/17/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
189	Gulf Coast Electronics	7/17/09	
190	Rainbow Taxi Corp	7/28/09	
191	Hamilton, County of, TN	8/1/09	
192	Fayette, County of	9/1/09	
193	Cincinnati, City Of, OH	10/20/09	
194	Gossett, Ron and Barbara	11/9/09	
195	Enogex	11/10/09	
196	CenterPoint Energy, Inc.	12/30/09	
197	Morgan, William A	1/26/10	
198	Arlington ISD	1/28/10	
199	Houston ISD	2/18/10	
200	Brandywine	4/27/10	
201	Aquila Inc	5/17/10	
202	Hare, Gregory	10/21/10	
203	Cartwright Communication Technology, Inc.	11/23/10	
204	Western Communications ESMR EA Swap	1/21/11	
205	Allen Wireless Group II - Wave 2	11/9/11	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		205
Wave 3			
1	Cargill Juice North America, Inc.	1/3/06	
2	Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Nethouse Partnership	3/29/06	
3	North Communications of PR	5/10/06	
4	Curry and Company Plumbing	5/11/06	
5	Wilemon, Jerry W	5/17/06	
6	Highland Wireless Services, L.L.C.	5/23/06	
7	Boulware, Winston	6/20/06	
8	Rodd Electronics Contract	6/29/06	
9	Ben Hill Griffin	7/11/06	
10	Modern Communications of Greenville Mississippi	7/11/06	
11	Shreveport Communications	7/11/06	
12	Quadratics Inc.	7/14/06	
13	Bright House Networks, LLC	7/19/06	
14	Houston County of AL	7/21/06	
15	Fulk, Gray L.	7/26/06	
16	Lykes Brothers Inc	8/1/06	
17	Byrd, Alan P	8/3/06	
18	Ingram Grove Service Inc	8/9/06	
19	Tri Co Communications	8/10/06	
20	Gastonia, city of , NC	8/15/06	
21	Louisburg, Town of, NC	8/15/06	
22	Mears Destination Services	8/15/06	
23	Morganton, City of, NC	8/28/06	
24	Ashford, City of, AL	8/30/06	
25	Supershuttle International	9/6/06	
26	White, James	9/8/06	
27	AFLAC	9/15/06	
28	Waters Brothers Contracting	9/15/06	
29	Buddys Phone Patching Inc.	9/20/06	
30	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (3)	9/27/06	
31	Oxford, City of, NC	10/6/06	
32	Rabalais Jr, Ronald J	10/11/06	
33	BJM and Associated Inc	10/17/06	
34	CellSMR South Inc	10/17/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
35	Saint Joseph Hospital INC	10/17/06	
36	Wireless Technology Equipment Company Inc	10/27/06	
37	Two Way Communications, Inc. LA	11/2/06	
38	Woodard Communications Corporation	11/8/06	
39	Waste Services of Florida	11/17/06	
40	Everglades Communications Inc	11/20/06	
41	Charlotte, City of, NC	11/21/06	
42	Tampa Electric Company	11/21/06	
43	Action Community Center	11/27/06	
44	Washington, County of, FL	11/27/06	
45	Tallah, City of, LA	11/29/06	
46	Madison, Parish of, LA	11/30/06	
47	Vestavia Hills, City Of, AL	12/6/06	
48	CNF Transportation Inc.	12/8/06	
49	Patterson Communications & Electronics	12/11/06	
50	Tallapoosa, County Of, AL	12/11/06	
51	Haralson, County Of, GA	12/13/06	
52	Jack M Berry Inc.	12/13/06	
53	ARC TRANSIT INC	12/15/06	
54	Electronic Maintenance Company	12/19/06	
55	Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority, MS	12/27/06	
56	Perry, County of	12/27/06	
57	Florida, State of - Ranger Drainage District	12/28/06	
58	S C Department Of Mental Health, SC	1/3/07	
59	Columbus, City of, GA	1/17/07	
60	FLOYD HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT INC DBA FLOYD MEDICAL CENTER	1/17/07	
61	Horn Lake, City of, MS	1/18/07	
62	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA	1/30/07	
63	Louisiana Transit Company Inc.	1/30/07	
64	Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority	1/31/07	
65	Donn Barr - Virgin Islands	2/2/07	
66	North Carolina, State of - DOC	2/2/07	
67	Neighborly Care Network, Inc.	2/12/07	
68	Alpharetta, City of, GA	2/15/07	
69	Sugar Cane Growers	2/15/07	
70	United States Sugar Corporation	2/15/07	
71	Gaston, County of, NC	2/21/07	
72	Monroe - Southern	2/22/07	
73	M. E. Diversified Electronics, Inc	2/23/07	
74	Purser, Mark	2/26/07	
75	Florida Hospital	2/27/07	
76	LAWRENCEVILLE, CITY OF, GA	2/27/07	
77	Whitley's Communications Service	3/1/07	
78	Anderson, City Of, SC	3/2/07	
79	Sunstate Communications Inc	3/2/07	
80	Lantana, Town of, FL	3/5/07	
81	Micro Technology Southeast	3/5/07	
82	Re Max Agents Realty Inc	3/5/07	
83	SAINT PETERSBURG, CITY OF, FL	3/5/07	
84	Pineville, Town of, NC	3/12/07	
85	Federal Express Corporation	3/13/07	
86	Palm Beach, County of, FL	3/15/07	
87	High Tech Communications Services Inc	3/16/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
88	City of Wilson	3/20/07	
89	Shaw Industries Inc	3/21/07	
90	NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF	3/23/07	
91	Cameron, Parish Of, LA	3/26/07	
92	Richland, City of, MS	3/26/07	
93	Dothan City Schools	3/27/07	
94	Huntsville Radio Service	3/27/07	
95	Martha Herby - Virgin Islands	3/27/07	
96	Mississippi DOT	3/27/07	
97	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Companies	3/27/07	
98	Desoto, County of, FI	3/28/07	
99	Gainesville, City of, GA	3/30/07	
100	Roswell, City of, GA	4/2/07	
101	Communications Leasing Corp	4/3/07	
102	HARDRIVES OF DELRAY INC	4/6/07	
103	Alabama, University of	4/16/07	
104	Forest Park, City Of, GA	4/19/07	
105	SNELLVILLE, CITY OF, GA	4/19/07	
106	APM Terminals North America	5/14/07	
107	Sea Coast Communications Inc	5/14/07	
108	Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority	5/15/07	
109	Chatco Communications	5/17/07	
110	Lakeland, City Of, FL	5/17/07	
111	Consolidated Freightways Corporation	6/1/07	
112	Palm Springs, Village of, FL	6/19/07	
113	Columbus City of - Medical Center	7/2/07	
114	Decatur, City of, GA	7/10/07	
115	BRUNS & MC KENNA 2 INC	7/12/07	
116	North Sight Communications, INC.	7/12/07	
117	CONCRETE SUPPLY COMPANY	7/16/07	
118	GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA	7/18/07	
119	Chattaway, Paul S	7/25/07	
120	Vincent, Perry	7/25/07	
121	Carroll, County Of, GA	7/26/07	
122	Tower Communications, Inc	7/26/07	
123	MC DONALD CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION	7/31/07	
124	CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL	8/6/07	
125	Orange City, Of, FL	8/6/07	
126	SUMITOMO LIFE REALTY NY INC	8/6/07	
127	South Sales Communications Inc	8/6/07	
128	MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC	8/13/07	
129	Rutherford Electric Membership Corp	8/13/07	
130	Two Way Radio of Carolina	8/13/07	
131	C & S Communications INC	8/15/07	
132	Terrebonne Parish, LA	8/15/07	
133	OGLETHORPE POWER CORP	8/24/07	
134	Gunby Communications Inc.	9/4/07	
135	University of West Florida	9/4/07	
136	COLUMBUS, CITY OF, MS	9/5/07	
137	VoiceLink Communications, Inc.	9/7/07	
138	MIAMI SHORES, VILLAGE OF, FL	9/12/07	
139	Amoco Oil Company	9/13/07	
140	Miami Springs, City of, FL	9/14/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
141	ATLANTA, CITY OF, GA	9/18/07	
142	BCW INC	9/18/07	
143	Bushnell, City of FL / Bushnell Utility Company Inc	9/25/07	
144	COLLINS, ROLAND	10/3/07	
145	PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL	10/8/07	
146	GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL	10/16/07	
147	MEDLEY, TOWN OF, FL	10/16/07	
148	Carroll County Water Authority, GA	10/22/07	
149	Orange, County of, FL	10/23/07	
150	PALM BEACH, TOWN OF, FL	10/25/07	
151	Car Comm / End of Line	12/7/07	
152	Coastal SMR/ARC	12/20/07	
153	JACKSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY, MS	2/11/08	
154	GREATER ORLANDO AVIATION AUTHORITY, FL	2/27/08	
155	Wireless Services of Puerto Rico Inc	3/11/08	
156	Targa Midstream Services Limited Partnership	3/24/08	
157	DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL	4/2/08	
158	Richard Raabe - Virgin Islands & PR	4/7/08	
159	John Herby - VI	4/11/08	
160	Florida, State of - State Attorney	4/14/08	
161	LAUDERHILL, CITY OF, FL	4/14/08	
162	Monsanto Company	4/18/08	
163	TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA	4/18/08	
164	Fayette, County of, GA	4/22/08	
165	CHATHAM, COUNTY OF, GA	4/23/08	
166	Mac Bee Harvesting Inc	5/5/08	
167	LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS	5/9/08	
168	Clyde W Sell - PR	5/19/08	
169	MOSS POINT, CITY OF, MS	5/27/08	
170	Mead Coated Board Inc	6/10/08	
171	Wackenhut Corporation	6/10/08	
172	Exxon Communications Company	6/23/08	
173	Florida, State of - DOC	8/14/08	
174	MERIDIAN, CITY OF, MS	9/2/08	
175	Chevron USA Mississippi	10/2/08	
176	George W Garland - Virgin Islands & PR	10/16/08	
177	COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL	10/31/08	
178	Fulton, County of, GA	12/2/08	
179	MACON, CITY OF, GA	12/2/08	
180	FCCA LLC	12/15/08	
181	Jupiter Town, of, FL	1/30/09	
182	MIAMI, CITY OF, FL	2/17/09	
183	THOMAS, COUNTY OF, GA	2/17/09	
184	Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company	2/23/09	
185	Hillsborough, County Of, FL	3/23/09	
186	FLORIDA MOBILE TELECOM INC	3/24/09	
187	Mountain Park, City of, GA	3/30/09	
188	CONYERS, CITY OF, GA	5/21/09	
189	OPELOUSAS, CITY OF, LA	5/21/09	
190	CAPE CORAL, CITY OF, FL	6/1/09	
191	MEMORIAL MEDICAL CENTER INC	6/12/09	
192	RCS Communications EA Swap	6/15/09	
193	BROWARD, COUNTY OF, FL	7/1/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
194	PEACHTREE CITY, CITY OF, GA	7/8/09	
195	Solutia Reinstatement	7/28/09	
196	WINTER GARDEN CITRUS GROWERS ASSN	8/1/09	
197	Solutia	8/7/09	
198	Durham City of	8/18/09	
199	MIDFIELD, CITY OF, AL	9/8/09	
200	RUCKERT, BRUCE	10/2/09	
201	Miami-Dade, County of, FL	10/6/09	
202	CARY, TOWN OF, NC	11/3/09	
203	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, FL	11/10/09	
204	FedEx Atlanta Expansion Band	1/4/10	
205	Duke Energy Company - Rebanding	1/19/10	
206	Walton EMC Atlanta Expansion Band	2/18/10	
207	CORAL GABLES, CITY OF, FL	3/19/10	
208	BAPTIST HOSPITAL 1	4/6/10	
209	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA	4/27/10	
210	Florence-Lauderdale EMA, AL	5/13/10	
211	GEORGIA, STATE OF	5/25/10	
212	Ocala, City of, Fl. Ph 1	7/15/10	
213	Juno Beach, Town of, FL	7/16/10	
214	HERNANDO, COUNTY OF, FL	8/17/10	
215	Scana PH II EA swap	8/19/10	
216	Bigbie Electronics Inc	9/22/10	
217	ARINC Atlanta Expansion Band	11/8/10	
218	Carroll EMC Atlanta Expansion Band	11/16/10	
219	Santa Rosa, County of, FL	12/21/10	
220	MARTIN, COUNTY OF, FL	1/19/11	
221	Anheuser Busch Atlanta Expansion Band	8/16/11	
222	Palm Beach County School Police	10/5/11	
223	Allen Wireless Group	11/9/11	
224	Putnam, County of, FL	11/11/11	
225	Coweta Fayette EMC Atlanta Expansion Band	12/13/11	
226	WALTON, COUNTY OF, GA	2/24/12	
227	ROCKDALE, COUNTY OF, GA	3/5/12	
228	SCANA-REDI-equip only	6/4/12	
229	GREENSBORO, CITY OF, NC	8/30/12	
230	CITRUS, COUNTY OF, FL	9/19/12	
231	BOCA RATON, CITY OF, FL	9/27/12	
232	SANIBEL, CITY OF, FL	10/18/12	
233	BREVARD, COUNTY OF, FL	10/22/12	
234	SEMINOLE, COUNTY OF, FL	2/4/13	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		234
Wave 4			
1	J.R. Simplot Company	12/23/05	
2	Johnson, Harold L #3	3/27/06	
3	Keller, Mike L.	4/27/06	
4	Josh Klassen Inc.	6/1/06	
5	Wulf, Barbara J # 2 (swap)	6/2/06	
6	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding)	6/6/06	
7	Kronenfeld, Mark	7/12/06	
8	Kronenfeld, Kurt #2 (Swap)	7/25/06	
9	Schatzlein, David A. #2	8/1/06	
10	Sims, Vicki	9/15/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
11	Chingas, Joseph	9/18/06	
12	Kuhn, Dale F:Kuhn, Trenton DBA Kuhns Network	9/19/06	
13	Middlesex, Township of, PA	9/20/06	
14	Rizzo, Alice P.	11/9/06	
15	Rehoboth Mckinley Christian Hospital	12/6/06	
16	Batelle Memorial Institute Inc, WA	1/30/07	
17	Texas Communications Inc.	2/5/07	
18	Waste Management Holdings, Inc.	2/9/07	
19	Aksala Electronics Inc.	2/21/07	
20	BRAUCHLE, JACK W	2/27/07	
21	BLASDELL, JAMES A	3/5/07	
22	ABRAHAM, KYE A	3/9/07	
23	Pagel Partnership	3/20/07	
24	NEW PENN MOTOR EXPRESS	3/27/07	
25	SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER	3/27/07	
26	GLEN J PETERSON AND SHIRLEY L PETERSON REVOCABLE TRUST	4/12/07	
27	STELLER, JO ANN	4/12/07	
28	RON MIEDEMA CEMENT CONTRACTOR INC	4/30/07	
29	WELLMON, STEVE	4/30/07	
30	B&M Enterprises	5/14/07	
31	Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy	5/14/07	
32	SAMNADDA, TERRY	5/14/07	
33	PETRIE, GLENN	5/17/07	
34	Bumgarner, Jeffrey W	5/23/07	
35	Gerhard, Stephen	5/23/07	
36	SMITH, JOY L	5/25/07	
37	Abilene, City of, TX	6/14/07	
38	ARROW INDUSTRIES CORP	7/10/07	
39	SPRAGUE, JO A	8/6/07	
40	ELLIS COMPANY PARTNERSHIP	8/13/07	
41	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH	8/13/07	
42	New York, State of - State Police/Albany Police	8/13/07	
43	TUCKER, ALAN	8/13/07	
44	Capital Digitronics, Inc.	8/28/07	
45	KARY, LAVERNE E:KARY, EMIL J DBA KARY GROUP	9/14/07	
46	Geter, Kim:Geter, Regina	10/17/07	
47	WHITE MOUNTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INC	11/16/07	
48	GRAND TRAVERSE, COUNTY OF, MI	11/21/07	
49	OTTAWA, COUNTY OF, MI	12/3/07	
50	HUMBLE, GARY:LEEPER, DEBRA	12/7/07	
51	Skitronics EA Swap	12/20/07	
52	KENT, COUNTY OF, MI	1/7/08	
53	HAMILTON, CITY OF, OH	1/9/08	
54	Specialty Corporation--Gill	1/11/08	
55	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	3/10/08	
56	WYCKOFF FARMS INC	3/21/08	
57	PENN HILS, MUNICIPALITY OF, PA	3/25/08	
58	JEFFERSON LEVEE BOARD, LA	4/14/08	
59	Kenneth and Eva Held Trust #2 Reband 800	4/29/08	
60	CINCINNATI LIMOUSINE SERVICE INC	4/30/08	
61	Telebeeper Guam EA Swap	5/14/08	
62	BOLTHOUSE FARMS INC	5/20/08	
63	MORAINÉ MATERIALS CO INC	5/30/08	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
64	MESA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO 4, AZ	6/16/08	
65	Anheuser Busch Wave 4	7/16/08	
66	WESTMORELAND, COUNTY OF, PA	7/28/08	
67	CELLSMR LICENSE HOLDINGS LLC	7/30/08	
68	Durham School Services	8/1/08	
69	P & R Spectrum Resources, Inc.	8/8/08	
70	GRAND RAPIDS, CITY OF, MI	8/12/08	
71	CONWAY SR, ROBERT J	9/23/08	
72	Clark County, OR	10/30/08	
73	Santa Fe, City of	11/25/08	
74	DAYTON, CITY OF, OH	12/8/08	
75	Aeronautical Radio - Georgia	1/22/09	
76	BAIRD, MICHAEL M	5/14/09	
77	GUZZO, JOHN R	5/14/09	
78	TRITON COMMUNICATIONS OF TEXAS LLC	6/4/09	
79	COMMUNICATION PROFESSIONALS LTD	6/9/09	
80	Creative Airtime Services, LLC	6/15/09	
81	Rink, Francis	7/23/09	
82	Rink, William	7/23/09	
83	THIRD DISTRICT VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, LA	7/27/09	
84	Rink, Michael E	7/30/09	
85	KENNER, CITY OF, LA	8/25/09	
86	MIAMI, TOWNSHIP OF, OH	8/25/09	
87	Bibeau, Fernand	9/3/09	
88	AAA ARIZONA INC	10/27/09	
89	CITY OF PUBLIC SERVICE, TX	10/28/09	
90	TELEBEEPER OF NEW MEXICO INC	12/4/09	
91	DHL WORLDWIDE EXPRESS B.V.	12/14/09	
92	SAINT JAMES, PARISH OF, LA	1/19/10	
93	Kennedy Associates, Inc.	1/26/10	
94	Kneupper, Kevin	1/26/10	
95	Kneupper, Urban	1/26/10	
96	PAPATHEOFANIS, BILL J	1/26/10	
97	Salgot Rebanding	3/26/10	
98	UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LA	4/8/10	
99	Slidell, City of, LA	9/14/10	
100	Allen Wireless Group - SoCo Territory	3/1/11	
101	GOULD VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, LA	1/17/12	
102	MISSISSIPPI, STATE OF	2/28/12	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		102
Canadian Border Region			
1	Atlantic Communications, Inc	10/23/09	
2	Wibe, Gary	11/25/09	
3	Saddle Butte Towers	12/17/09	
4	S D Warren Company	12/30/09	
5	Great Lakes Construction Company	1/19/10	
6	Waste Management Holdings Inc	1/19/10	
7	Communications Source Inc	2/18/10	
8	LONGABERGER COMPANY Rebanding	2/24/10	
9	Ruhlin Co	3/4/10	
10	Port Orchard Sand and Gravel	3/10/10	
11	Trunking Plus Inc	3/16/10	
12	Cadman, Inc	3/24/10	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
13	Tatarka, Robert	3/25/10	
14	American Electric Power	3/30/10	
15	Crestwood School District, OH	3/31/10	
16	Ravenna School System, OH	3/31/10	
17	Bush Electronics Inc	4/6/10	
18	Shuttle Express Inc	4/9/10	
19	City Centre Associates	4/14/10	
20	Occidental Chemical Corporation	5/6/10	
21	Rumford Paper Company	5/6/10	
22	Ratchenski, Walter	5/14/10	
23	Midwest Energy Cooperative	6/7/10	
24	Smart Link Communications	6/14/10	
25	Goodyear Tire and Rubber Company	7/6/10	
26	Domtar Industries Inc	7/13/10	
27	Anheuser Busch Canadian Rebanding	8/23/10	
28	Whicker, Stanley H Canadian Rebanding	9/13/10	
29	Northern Border Pipeline Company	10/5/10	
30	Lubrizol Corp	10/12/10	
31	Key Center Properties, LP	10/19/10	
32	Petes Communications Inc	10/19/10	
33	Futronics Inc	10/27/10	
34	Riverview School District 407, WA	10/29/10	
35	Eastman Kodak	11/1/10	
36	ProComm Alaska, LLC	11/9/10	
37	Bahner, Spencer L	11/17/10	
38	Earl Mondry & Sons	1/4/11	
39	T & M Asphalt Paving Inc	1/5/11	
40	Knutson, O R	1/6/11	
41	Katahdin Paper Company LLC	2/2/11	
42	JPJ Electronic Communications Inc	2/4/11	
43	Gary Merlino Construction	2/28/11	
44	North Kitsap School District 400, WA	3/15/11	
45	Fraser Papers Limited	4/15/11	
46	Bristol Myers Squibb Co	6/28/11	
47	Miles Sand & Gravel Co Inc	7/8/11	
48	APT Wireless	8/3/11	
49	Portage Point Farms	8/29/11	
50	Central Maine Power Company	10/7/11	
51	Stones Mobile Radio Inc	10/7/11	
52	Salmon Bay Sand and Gravel Co Inc	11/14/11	
53	Information Transfer Systems	1/6/12	
54	Federal Express Canadian Rebanding	2/24/12	
55	ConocoPhillips Communications Inc.	4/20/12	
56	Columbia Falls Aluminum CO LLC	5/11/12	
57	Professional Communications Messaging Service Inc	5/23/12	
58	Hazelton Industries Inc	7/9/12	
59	Miller, Judith & Wayne A	8/22/12	
60	Chrysler Corporation	9/5/12	
	Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		60
Mexican Border Region			
	Subtotal FRA count for Mexican Border Region		0
	Total FRAs		940

Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		0
Wave 2			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3			
1	MYRTLE BEACH COMMUNICATIONS INC	9/17/09	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		1
Wave 4			
1	HOOPER, STEVEN E	7/7/09	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		1
Canadian Border Region			
	Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		0
Mexican Border Region			
	Subtotal FRA count for Mexican Border Region		0
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			2

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Roanoke, County of (VA)	12/15/06	
2	Illinois Power Company	7/12/07	
3	Chesterfield County, VA	1/15/08	
4	Boston, City of - Police (MA)	5/12/08	
5	Chevron USA Inc	5/6/09	
6	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, DC (MW-COG)	2/18/10	
7	Henrico County Public Schools VA	8/17/10	
8	WCCCA	8/27/10	
9	Reading, City of (2)	8/30/10	
10	Baltimore, County of	6/16/11	
11	Richmond, City of VA	1/20/12	
12	Virginia Beach, County of	2/6/12	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		12
Wave 2			
1	Atofina Petrochemicals Inc	12/1/06	
2	Duke Energy (rebanding)	7/17/09	
3	CHATTANOOGA, CITY OF, TN	1/7/10	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		3
Wave 3			
1	LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS	9/28/07	
2	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA	10/15/07	
3	JONES, COUNTY OF, MS	4/14/08	
4	COCONUT CREEK, CITY OF, FL	4/17/08	
5	Duke Energy Company - Rebanding	4/22/08	
6	BOCA RATON, CITY OF, FL	4/23/08	
7	DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL	4/29/08	
8	TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA	5/12/08	
9	Pasco, County OF, FL	7/7/08	
10	DeKalb County Police Department, GA	8/29/08	
11	Chevron USA Mississippi	9/29/08	
12	Rockdale County of, GA	11/10/08	
13	HERNANDO, COUNTY OF, FL	8/20/09	
14	BROWARD, COUNTY OF, FL	9/1/09	
15	WALTON, COUNTY OF, GA	10/21/09	
16	GEORGIA, STATE OF	7/13/10	
17	PENSACOLA, CITY OF, FL	9/24/10	
18	WEST PALM BEACH, CITY OF, FL	7/27/11	
19	CITRUS, COUNTY OF, FL	12/19/11	
20	GREENSBORO, CITY OF, NC	12/22/11	
21	MORGAN, COUNTY OF, AL	3/20/12	
22	BIBB, COUNTY OF, GA	4/30/12	
23	MANATEE, COUNTY OF, FL	8/20/12	
24	MIAMI BEACH, CITY OF, FL	10/15/12	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		24

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 4			
1	SLIDELL, CITY OF, LA	1/18/08	
2	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	1/24/08	
3	MIAMI, TOWNSHIP OF, OH	2/25/10	
4	MISSISSIPPI, STATE OF	7/6/10	
5	SAINT JAMES, PARISH OF, LA	7/29/10	
6	Choice Phone ESMR rebanding	12/16/10	
7	RANKIN, COUNTY OF, MS	5/23/11	
8	LOUISIANA, STATE OF	5/11/12	
9	EAST BATON ROUGE, PARISH OF, LA	6/11/12	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		9
Canadian Border Region			
1	Central Maine Power Company	5/13/10	
2	Chrysler Corporation	9/24/10	
3	The Boeing Company	10/25/10	
4	Ford Communications Inc	4/11/11	
5	FELHC Inc	10/10/11	
6	United Telephone Company of Ohio	8/24/12	
	Subtotal PFA count for Canadian Border Region		6
Mexican Border Region			
	Subtotal PFA count for Mexican Border Region		0
Total PFAs			54

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Milwaukee Area Tech College	9/15/06	
2	NEW ALBANY, CITY OF, IN PH II	10/2/06	
3	BLUFFTON, CITY OF, IN PH II	1/17/07	
4	PENDLETON, TOWN OF, IN PH II	1/17/07	
5	BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, IN PH II	3/15/07	
6	WILMINGTON, CITY OF, DE PH II	3/15/07	
7	ELWOOD, CITY OF, IN PH II	5/17/07	
8	WALWORTH, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	7/2/07	
9	ADAMS, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	7/10/07	
10	POUGHKEEPSIE, TOWN OF, NY	8/6/07	
11	WISCONSIN CENTER DISTRICT, WI PH II	8/13/07	
12	BRISTOL, CITY OF, CT PH II	9/12/07	
13	SOUTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH, IL PH II	1/25/08	
14	RIVERSIDE REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY, VA PH II	2/19/08	
15	WATERFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	5/15/08	
16	NEWTON, CITY OF, MA PH II	6/11/08	
17	Monterey, County of (CA) PH II	6/27/08	
18	PORTER, TOWN OF, IN PH II	7/16/08	
19	MIDDLETOWN, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	7/22/08	
20	WHITE PLAINS, CITY OF, NY PH II	7/22/08	
21	HOWELL, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	7/25/08	
22	WESTPORT, TOWN OF, CT PH II	8/4/08	
23	Campbell, City of, CA PH II	8/11/08	
24	BLACKSBURG, TOWN OF, VA PH II	8/19/08	
25	NORTON, TOWN OF, MA PH II	9/3/08	
26	New York, State of PH II Metro 21	9/3/08	
27	Wilbraham, Town of, MA PH II STA	9/8/08	
28	LAGRANGE COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS, IN PH II	9/11/08	
29	Emeryville, City of, CA PH II	9/16/08	
30	FAIR LAWN, BORO OF, NJ PH II	9/17/08	
31	GURNEE, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	9/29/08	
32	SOUTH HADLEY, TOWN OF, MA PH II	10/1/08	
33	San Bruno, City of, CA PH II	10/7/08	
34	MISHAWAKA, CITY OF, IN PH II	10/9/08	
35	Oregon, State of - OR PH II	10/9/08	
36	New York, State of PH II OCFS	10/23/08	
37	SCARSDALE, VILLAGE OF, NY PH II	10/27/08	
38	WALLINGFORD POLICE DEPARTMENT, TOWN OF, CT PH II	11/7/08	
39	Redwood City, City of, CA PH II	11/21/08	
40	Contra Costa County, CA PH II	11/24/08	
41	Bolingbrook, Village of, IL PH II	12/3/08	
42	Lodi, City of, CA PH II	12/5/08	
43	Mountain View, City of, CA PH II	12/9/08	
44	NORTH ANDOVER, TOWN OF, MA PH II	12/9/08	
45	BURNS HARBOR, TOWN OF, IN PH II	1/9/09	
46	PORTAGE, CITY OF, IN PH II	1/16/09	
47	EASTON, TOWN OF, MA PH II	1/22/09	
48	KENOSHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	1/22/09	
49	Sunnyvale, City of, CA PH II	1/30/09	
50	Piedmont, City of, CA PH II	2/4/09	
51	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	2/17/09	
52	WATERTOWN, TOWN OF, MA PH II	2/17/09	
53	CHICOPEE, CITY OF, MA PH II	2/19/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
54	HASBROUCK HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF, NJ PH II	3/9/09	
55	Merced County, CA PH II	3/9/09	
56	BERKSHIRE COUNTY OF, MA PH II	3/13/09	
57	WESTFIELD, TOWN OF, MA PH II	4/1/09	
58	GROTON, TOWN OF, CT PH II	4/2/09	
59	Redding, City of, CA PH II	4/15/09	
60	Aurora, City of, CO Phase II	4/17/09	
61	BOSTON, CITY OF, MA PH II - Fire	5/4/09	
62	Modesto, City of, CA PH II	5/13/09	
63	Alleghany, County of, VA PH II	5/21/09	
64	Fresno, City of, CA PH II	6/1/09	
65	Fairfield, Town of, CT	7/8/09	
66	PARSIPPANY TROY HILLS, TOWNSHIP, NJ PH II	7/8/09	
67	DAYVILLE FIRE DISTRICT, CT PH II	7/10/09	
68	NEW CASTLE COUNTY OF, DE PH II	7/17/09	
69	Hartford Hospital, PH II STA	8/4/09	
70	NEW HAVEN, CITY OF, CT PH II	8/11/09	
71	HARRISONBURG-ROCKINGHAM EMER COMMS. CENTER PH II	8/25/09	
72	CASS, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	8/31/09	
73	ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CA PH II	9/11/09	
74	SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF, IL PH II	9/17/09	
75	WEST SPRINGFIELD, TOWN OF, MA PH II	9/17/09	
76	BRIGANTINE, CITY OF, NJ PH II	9/22/09	
77	WESTMONT, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	9/24/09	
78	New Jersey Sports & Exposition Authority PH II	11/2/09	
79	Champaign, City of, IL PH II STA	11/4/09	
80	COLLEGE OF WILLIAM AND MARY PH II	11/4/09	
81	NEWARK HOUSING AUTHORITY, NJ PH II	11/4/09	
82	Housing Authority of Denver, CO Ph II	11/11/09	
83	MADISON, CITY OF, WI PH II	11/12/09	
84	Walnut Creek, City of, CA PH II	11/19/09	
85	Stockton, City of, CA PH II	12/1/09	
86	NORTHWEST DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE, MA PH II	12/7/09	
87	SOUTH BRUNSWICK, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	12/14/09	
88	MC LEAN, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	12/15/09	
89	Yamhill Communication Agency PH II	1/4/10	
90	CUMBERLAND, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	1/26/10	
91	Marion, County of, OR PH II	2/16/10	
92	Pikes Peak Regional Comm Network	2/16/10	
93	Las Vegas, City of, NV PH II	2/23/10	
94	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	2/24/10	
95	Palo Alto, City of, CA PH II	3/9/10	
96	FARMINGTON, TOWN OF, CT PH II	3/11/10	
97	BELLEVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	3/16/10	
98	HANCOCK COUNTY OF, IN PH II	3/19/10	
99	WESTMINSTER, CITY OF, CO PH II	3/19/10	
100	ORLAND PARK, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	4/9/10	
101	Ceres, City of, CA PH II	4/13/10	
102	North Las Vegas, City of, NV PH II	4/13/10	
103	McHenry, County of, IL PH II STA	4/21/10	
104	WHEELING, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	4/28/10	
105	San Joaquin, County of, CA PH II	4/30/10	
106	WOODCLIFF LAKES, BOROUGH OF, NJ PH II	5/20/10	
107	Saint Clair County Transit District, IL PH II	6/7/10	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
108	Lakewood, City of, CO, Phase II	6/8/10	
109	TRAHOS, MICHAEL C PH II	7/1/10	
110	American Red Cross Bay Area PH II	7/13/10	
111	SUDBURY, TOWN OF, MA PH II	7/19/10	
112	RHODE ISLAND, STATE OF PH II	8/6/10	
113	Turlock, Gustine City's of, CA PH II	8/17/10	
114	Oregon Department of Corrections PH II	8/27/10	
115	STATE UNIVERSITY OF NY MARITIME COLLEGE PH II	9/3/10	
116	Ogden, City of, UT PH II	9/14/10	
117	Livermore/Amador Valley Transit Auth PH II	10/12/10	
118	STEUBEN, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	10/12/10	
119	METROPOLITAN EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS AGCY PH II	10/20/10	
120	ROCK ISLAND, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	10/27/10	
121	BROWN, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	11/11/10	
122	ANDERSON, CITY OF, IN PH II	11/15/10	
123	San Jose, City of, CA PH II	12/6/10	
124	BOONE, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	12/9/10	
125	Minnesota, State of PH II - Wave 1	12/16/10	
126	Maui, County of, HI PH II	12/21/10	
127	UCAN - DFCM Equipment Only	1/5/11	
128	BETHLEHEM, CITY OF, PA PH II	1/6/11	
129	New York, State of, PH II Wave 1	1/14/11	
130	UCAN - IHC Equipment Only	2/18/11	
131	LASALLE, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	3/15/11	
132	BUCKS, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	3/21/11	
133	OCEAN CITY, CITY OF, NJ PH II	4/25/11	
134	ATLANTIC CITY, CITY OF, NJ PH II	4/29/11	
135	Petersburg, City of, VA PH II STA	5/23/11	
136	GREENFIELD, CITY OF, WI PH II	6/6/11	
137	ROANOKE, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	6/13/11	
138	New York State - Mobile Only	6/15/11	
139	SHELTON, CITY OF, CT PH II	6/27/11	
140	NAPERVILLE, CITY OF, IL PH II	8/2/11	
141	MERIDEN, CITY OF, CT PH II	8/3/11	
142	IRVINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	8/23/11	
143	TAZEWELL, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	8/24/11	
144	PEORIA COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT, IL PH II	8/31/11	
145	UCAN - WVC Equipment Only	9/15/11	
146	NEW BRITAIN, CITY OF, CT PH II	10/31/11	
147	Provo, City of, UT PH II	11/17/11	
148	UCAN - UDOT Equipment Only	11/17/11	
149	UCAN - Utah County Equipment Only	11/17/11	
150	PACE SUBURBAN BUS SERVICE OF THE RTA, IL PH II	11/30/11	
151	TYNGSBOROUGH, TOWN OF, MA PH II	12/13/11	
152	UCAN - Tooele	12/20/11	
153	FALL RIVER, CITY OF, MA PH II	1/5/12	
154	KENT COUNTY OF, MD PH II	1/9/12	
155	Santa Clara Valley Water District, CA PH II	1/23/12	
156	East Hartford, Town of, CT PH II MA only	2/2/12	
157	TERRE HAUTE, IN PH II	3/1/12	
158	UTAH COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY NETWORK, UT PH II	3/29/12	
159	EAST HAMPTON, TOWN OF, NY PH II	4/13/12	
160	Rampart Search and Rescue PH II MA only	4/24/12	
161	BERGEN, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	5/10/12	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
162	MONTGOMERY, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	5/11/12	
163	QUINCY, CITY OF, MA PH II	5/15/12	
164	Mashantucket Pequot Tribal Nation, CT PH II	5/30/12	
165	NORTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH SYSTEM, IL PH II	6/4/12	
166	NEW YORK, CITY OF, NY PH II	6/5/12	
167	Passaic, County of, Office of Emergency Mgmt PH II	6/11/12	
168	Santa Clara County, CA PH II	6/11/12	
169	NEWARK, CITY OF, NJ PH II	6/16/12	
170	DAVIS, CITY OF, CA PH II	6/27/12	
171	Woodridge, Village of, IL PH II MA only	7/13/12	
172	BABYLON, TOWN OF, NY PH II	9/10/12	
173	EAST CHICAGO, CITY OF, IN PH II	9/10/12	
174	WASHINGTON COUNTY CONSOLIDATED COMM AGY PH II	9/26/12	
175	CENTRAL VA PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION, VA PH II	10/10/12	
176	CLINTON, TOWN OF, CT PH II	10/15/12	
177	SCHAUMBURG, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	10/18/12	
178	MIDDLESEX, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	10/22/12	
179	HARTFORD, City of, CT PH II	11/8/12	
180	RHODE ISLAND PUBLIC TRANSIT AUTHORITY, RI PH II	11/8/12	
181	FAIRFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	11/19/12	
182	TRUMBULL, TOWN OF, CT PH II	12/10/12	
183	KANKAKEE, CITY OF, IL PH II	1/9/13	
184	NASHUA, CITY OF, NH PH II	1/22/13	
185	Honolulu, City and County of, HI PH II	1/25/13	
186	Salem, City of, OR PH II	1/29/13	
187	South Windsor, Town of, CT PH II MA	3/13/13	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		187
Wave 2			
1	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government - Police Div.	1/3/07	
2	Louisville, City of, KY PH II	3/20/07	
3	MADISONVILLE, CITY OF, KY PH II	7/2/07	
4	MOUNTAIN HOME, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/25/07	
5	JONESBORO, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/31/07	
6	BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/28/07	
7	LINN, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	10/16/07	
8	MOWER, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	12/10/07	
9	BENTON, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	1/18/08	
10	Sebastian, County of, AR PH II	3/11/08	
11	Conway, City of, AR Phase II	4/11/08	
12	SEVIER, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	4/11/08	
13	DUNCANVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/9/08	
14	KINGSPORT, CITY OF, TN PH II	5/27/08	
15	KENTON COUNTY AIRPORT BOARD, KY PH II	6/10/08	
16	SCOTTSBLUFF, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	6/13/08	
17	Liberty, City of, MO PH II	7/8/08	
18	SHERBURNE, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	7/9/08	
19	Tonganoxie, City of, KS PH II	7/9/08	
20	GARFIELD, COUNTY OF, OK PH II	7/14/08	
21	COUNCIL BLUFFS, CITY OF, IA PH II	7/16/08	
22	Saline, County of, NE PH II	7/21/08	
23	City Utilities of Springfield, MO PH II	7/30/08	
24	Crawford County, KS PH II	8/5/08	
25	KENTUCKY, COMMONWEALTH OF PH II	8/8/08	
26	BROWN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	8/11/08	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
27	Nemaha, County of, NE PH II	8/20/08	
28	CAMPBELL, COUNTY OF, KY PH II	8/27/08	
29	SHERMAN, CITY OF, TX PH II	8/28/08	
30	GREENVILLE, CITY OF, TX PH II	9/9/08	
31	LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II	9/9/08	
32	Parker County, TX PH II	9/9/08	
33	Fremont, City of, NE PH II	9/16/08	
34	KENTUCKY STATE POLICE PH II	9/16/08	
35	WILLIAMSON, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	9/22/08	
36	ASHLAND, CITY OF, NE PH II	9/29/08	
37	York, County of, NE PH II	9/29/08	
38	Richardson, County of, NE PH II	10/1/08	
39	Fillmore, County of, NE PH II	10/8/08	
40	Finney County, KS PH II	10/10/08	
41	JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, AR PH II	11/3/08	
42	York, City of, NE PH II	11/10/08	
43	Middle TN State Univ PH II	11/11/08	
44	LEXINGTON FAYETTE URBAN CTY GOVERNMENT PH II	12/2/08	
45	WATERLOO, CITY OF, IA PH II	1/7/09	
46	CEDAR PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	1/12/09	
47	Franklin, City of, TN PH II	1/26/09	
48	City of Hendersonville Fire Department, TN PH II	2/6/09	
49	Stearns, County of, MN PH II	2/13/09	
50	Gladstone, City of, MO PH II	3/13/09	
51	UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN IOWA, IA PH II	3/17/09	
52	COVINGTON, CITY OF, KY PH II	3/18/09	
53	BRENTWOOD, CITY OF, TN PH II	3/23/09	
54	KENTON, COUNTY OF, KY PH II_Police	3/25/09	
55	WRIGHT, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	4/1/09	
56	BUFFALO, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	4/6/09	
57	Dakota, County of, MN PH II STA	4/8/09	
58	University of Texas Medical Branch Police PH II	4/15/09	
59	CASPER, CITY OF, WY PH II	4/29/09	
60	WEST HELENA, CITY OF, AR PH II	4/29/09	
61	PARKERSBURG, CITY OF, WV PH II	5/14/09	
62	CHEYENNE, CITY OF, WY PH II	5/21/09	
63	Prairie Band of Potawatomi Nation, KS PH II	5/21/09	
64	Iowa State Police PH II FB2T	6/4/09	
65	MINNESOTA, STATE OF PH II	6/9/09	
66	OLMSTED, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	6/16/09	
67	DALLAS, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	6/29/09	
68	GEORGETOWN, CITY OF, KY PH II	6/29/09	
69	SAUNDERS, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	7/7/09	
70	Americas Center, MO PH II	7/31/09	
71	City of North Richland Hills, TX PH II	9/3/09	
72	Wyoming, State of PH II	9/17/09	
73	SAINT CLOUD, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/22/09	
74	MINNEAPOLIS, CITY OF, MN PH II	10/5/09	
75	IOWA STATE FAIR, IA PH II	10/6/09	
76	BAPTIST MEMORIAL HEALTH CARE CORPORATION PH II	11/2/09	
77	Hennepin, County of, MN PH II	12/2/09	
78	RICE & STEELE, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	12/2/09	
79	KENTON, COUNTY OF, KY PH II_Sanitation	12/9/09	
80	RAMSEY, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	2/25/10	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
81	Douglas County, KS PH II	3/2/10	
82	Saint Louis, City of, MO Airport PH II	3/10/10	
83	Rockwall, City of, TX PH II STA	3/25/10	
84	Buchanan, County of, MO PH II	6/29/10	
85	Knox County Sheriff TN PH II	10/19/10	
86	Cedar Rapids, City of, IA PH II	11/1/10	
87	Fort Dodge Correctional Facility, IA PH II	11/16/10	
88	Matagorda County, TX PH II	11/16/10	
89	Saint Peters, City of, MO PH II	1/14/11	
90	GOODLETTSVILLE, CITY OF, TN PH II	2/2/11	
91	Belmont County, OH PH II	2/14/11	
92	MARSHALL, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	5/5/11	
93	CASS, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	6/1/11	
94	JOHNSON CITY, CITY OF, TN PH II	6/14/11	
95	DALLAS AREA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY, TX PH II	8/2/11	
96	SAPULPA, CITY OF, OK PH II	9/15/11	
97	DYERSBURG, CITY OF, TN PH II	10/7/11	
98	Houston, City of, TX Fire PH II	12/20/11	
99	Arkansas Children's Hospital	2/10/12	
100	Mid-America Regional Council PH II	3/19/12	
101	OELWEIN, CITY OF, IA PH II	3/27/12	
102	JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	4/2/12	
103	SIOUX CITY, City of, IA PH II	4/10/12	
104	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER, TN PH II	4/20/12	
105	ELLSWORTH, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	5/15/12	
106	SCOTT, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	5/15/12	
107	JACKSON, CITY OF, TN PH II	6/1/12	
108	Metro Gov of Nashville AND Davidson Cty, PH II	6/1/12	
109	BLACK HAWK, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	6/4/12	
110	Baylor Health Care System, TX PH II	6/27/12	
111	MC KINNEY, CITY OF, TX PH II	8/8/12	
112	Russell, County of, KS PH II	8/22/12	
113	McCracken, County of, KY PH II STA	8/24/12	
114	MONTGOMERY, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	9/19/12	
115	BI STATE INFORMATION CENTER, TX PH II	9/27/12	
116	Knox County Schools, TN PH II	10/15/12	
117	Beaumont, City of, TX Phase II	10/22/12	
118	BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF, KY PH II	1/7/13	
119	LUBBOCK, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/15/13	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		119
Wave 3			
1	HATTIESBURG, CITY OF, MS	7/23/07	
2	Crisp County School System, GA	9/4/07	
3	Washington, County of, MS PH II	1/14/08	
4	GRANVILLE, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	3/10/08	
5	Pickens County, SC PH II	3/20/08	
6	Rock Hill, City of, SC PH II	7/3/08	
7	AVENTURA, CITY OF, FL PH II	7/10/08	
8	TAMPA, CITY OF, FL PH II	10/9/08	
9	HUNTSVILLE MADISON COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY, AL	10/21/08	
10	SIMPSON, COUNTY OF E911 COMMUNICATION, MS PH II	11/3/08	
11	Columbia, County of, GA PH II	11/10/08	
12	FOREST PARK, CITY OF, GA PH II	11/10/08	
13	NORTH CAROLINA DEPT OF CORRECTIONS PH II	3/9/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
14	Catoosa, County of, GA PH II STA	3/12/09	
15	ROME, CITY OF, GA PH II	3/18/09	
16	CHEROKEE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	5/13/09	
17	JACKSONVILLE BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	5/21/09	
18	WALTON, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	5/27/09	
19	TALLADEGA COUNTY EMA, AL PH II	7/7/09	
20	COWETA, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	7/22/09	
21	Marshall, County of, AL PH II	7/22/09	
22	STONE MOUNTAIN PARK PH II	8/1/09	
23	EMORY UNIVERSITY, GA PH II	9/8/09	
24	Rossville, City of, GA PH II	9/14/09	
25	CLEVELAND, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	11/25/09	
26	Charleston, City of SC Mount Pleasant (MO) Ph II	12/7/09	
27	Thomasville Fire Rescue, GA PH II	12/9/09	
28	Western Area Regional RSA PH II STA	2/15/10	
29	TARBORO, TOWN OF, NC PH II	3/26/10	
30	DALLAS, CITY OF, GA PH II	4/20/10	
31	Hall, County of, GA PHII	4/21/10	
32	CRISP, COUNTY OF, GA	4/23/10	
33	FORSYTH, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	6/10/10	
34	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, MS	7/21/10	
35	DUKE UNIVERSITY PH II	8/9/10	
36	ALBANY, CITY OF, GA	10/12/10	
37	OPELIKA, CITY OF, AL PH II	10/25/10	
38	PALM COAST, CITY OF, FL PH II	11/1/10	
39	Florida, State of - House & Senate PH II	12/16/10	
40	Augusta Richmond, County of, GA PH II SED	1/25/11	
41	DOTHAN, CITY OF, AL	2/8/11	
42	JOHNSTON, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	2/16/11	
43	Lafourche Parish, LA PH II	3/30/11	
44	ALBERTVILLE, CITY OF, AL	4/15/11	
45	Hancock, County of, MS PH II	4/25/11	
46	ROCKDALE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	4/25/11	
47	Hardee, County of, FL PH II	6/7/11	
48	Apopka, City of, FL PH II Interop Only	11/14/11	
49	Saint Johns, County of, FL PH II	11/21/11	
50	Madison, City of, AL	12/12/11	
51	Putnam, County of, FL PH II MA	12/13/11	
52	Terrebonne Parish, LA PH II	1/9/12	
53	GADSDEN, CITY OF, AL PH II	1/18/12	
54	PITT, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	1/27/12	
55	CLEARWATER, CITY OF, FL PH II	1/30/12	
56	Washington, County of, AL PH II	2/6/12	
57	JUNO BEACH, TOWN OF, FL PH II	2/9/12	
58	Palm Beach, County of, FL School PD PH II	2/9/12	
59	ATLANTA, CITY OF, GA PH II	3/5/12	
60	LA GRANGE, CITY OF, GA PH II	3/22/12	
61	Charleston, City of, SC PH II	4/12/12	
62	OCEAN RIDGE, TOWN OF, FL PH II	4/12/12	
63	Hillsborough, County Of, FL PH II	4/17/12	
64	Atlanta, City of, GA (APS) PH II	4/20/12	
65	Cary, Town of, NC PH II	4/24/12	
66	Florida, State of, DOC PH II	6/4/12	
67	Palm Beach Cty Solid Waste Auth PH II	6/5/12	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
68	MAITLAND, CITY OF, FL PH II	6/26/12	
69	BAY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, FL PH II	7/9/12	
70	CENTER POINT FIRE DISTRICT, AL PH II	7/9/12	
71	JACKSON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	7/13/12	
72	WINTER PARK, CITY OF, FL PH II	8/21/12	
73	BOYNTON BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	8/22/12	
74	CHARLESTON, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	8/29/12	
75	TALLAHASSEE, CITY OF, FL PH II	8/29/12	
76	TOA BAJA MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT, PR PH II	10/15/12	
77	Athens-Clarke County, GA PH II MA	10/24/12	
78	LEE, COUNTY OF, MS	11/19/12	
79	REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT, FL PH II	12/10/12	
80	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	12/21/12	
81	SHELBY, CITY OF, NC PH II	1/8/13	
82	LAKELAND, CITY OF, FL PH II	1/9/13	
83	North Carolina, State of PH II Data System	1/15/13	
84	Flagler, County of, FL PH II	1/23/13	
85	MONTGOMERY, CITY OF, AL PH II	1/29/13	
86	Orange, County of, FL PH II	2/6/13	
87	PINEVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	2/15/13	
88	SPALDING, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	2/15/13	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		88
Wave 4			
1	VANCOUVER HOUSING AUTHORITY, WA PH II	1/25/08	
2	AUGLAIZE, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	7/25/08	
3	COLONIE, TOWN OF, NY PH II	8/8/08	
4	LONG BEACH, CITY OF, CA PH II	10/20/08	
5	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	11/17/08	
6	VICTORIA, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/25/08	
7	Carlsbad, City of, NM PH II	2/6/09	
8	WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, MI PH II	4/1/09	
9	ALASKA, STATE OF PH II	5/21/09	
10	SAN ANGELO, CITY OF, TX PH II	10/23/09	
11	GLENVILLE, TOWN OF, NY PH II	2/24/10	
12	SAN JUAN, COUNTY OF, NM PH II	3/2/10	
13	YAKIMA, CITY OF, WA PH II	3/26/10	
14	New York, State of PH II	4/7/10	
15	BEVERLY HILLS, CITY OF, CA PH II	8/24/10	
16	VIA METROPOLITAN TRANSIT, TX PH II	2/2/11	
17	HARRISON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	2/4/11	
18	SIGNAL HILL, CITY OF, CA PH II	3/28/11	
19	Benton, County of WA PH II	3/30/11	
20	Allegheny County Dept of Emerg Svcs PH II	3/15/12	
21	Whitehall, City of, MI PH II MA only	7/19/12	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		21
Canadian Border Region			
1	Jefferson Transit Authority, WA	12/22/09	
2	Minnesota, State of Canadian Rebanding	2/16/10	
3	Midland, County of, MI	2/25/10	
4	Marion, County of, OH	3/23/10	
5	Eaton, County of, MI	5/20/10	
6	Chelan, County of, WA	6/25/10	
7	Spokane Transit Authority, WA	8/6/10	
8	Trumbull, County of, OH	8/17/10	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
9	Saginaw County 911 Communications Authority, MI	8/23/10	
10	Erie County Water Authority, NY	9/22/10	
11	Oneida, County of, NY	11/8/10	
12	Cuyahoga, County of, OH	11/10/10	
13	Millcreek, Township of, PA	11/16/10	
14	Mahoning, County of, OH	12/7/10	
15	Newstead, Town of, NY	12/10/10	
16	Syracuse, City of, NY	1/5/11	
17	Kitsap, County of, WA	2/4/11	
18	New York, State of, OCFS	2/15/11	
19	Niagara, County of, NY	2/23/11	
20	Dublin, City of, OH	5/20/11	
21	Saratoga County of, NY	5/23/11	
22	Spokane, City of, WA	6/7/11	
23	Erie, City of, PA	7/19/11	
24	Buffalo, City of, NY	8/15/11	
25	Spokane, County of, WA	8/16/11	
26	Onondaga, County of, NY	2/2/12	
27	MENTOR, CITY OF, OH	5/11/12	
28	Anacortes, City of, WA	5/22/12	
29	Monroe, County of, NY	8/6/12	
30	New York, State of Canadian Rebanding	8/21/12	
31	Austintown, Township of, OH	9/6/12	
32	Lansing School District, MI	9/14/12	
33	Lancaster, Town of, NY	9/26/12	
34	New York OEM	10/8/12	
	Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		34
Mexican Border Region			
	Subtotal FRA count for Mexican Border Region		0
Total FRAs			449

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		0
Wave 2			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4			
1	Clinton County Sheriff Office, OH PH II	2/15/13	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		1
Canadian Border Region			
	Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		0
Mexican Border Region			
	Subtotal FRA count for Mexican Border Region		0
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			1

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Monterey, County of (CA)	5/11/07	
2	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	6/12/07	
3	VACAVILLE, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/14/08	
4	Colorado, State of PH II	3/10/08	
5	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	3/12/08	
6	SHEBOYGAN, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	3/20/08	
7	SPRINGFIELD, CITY OF, IL PH II	4/7/08	
8	Nashua, City of	4/11/08	
9	PORTSMOUTH, CITY OF, VA PH II	4/11/08	
10	UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, CT PH II	4/11/08	
11	Brown, County of WI	4/14/08	
12	EAGLE, COUNTY OF, CO PH II	4/14/08	
13	NORTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH SYSTEM, IL PH II	4/21/08	
14	ELK GROVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CA PH II	4/29/08	
15	LA CROSSE, CITY OF, WI PH II	4/29/08	
16	Maui, County of, HI PH II	5/21/08	
17	EAST CHICAGO, CITY OF, IN PH II	6/9/08	
18	SALEM, CITY OF, OR PH II	6/11/08	
19	University of California - Berkeley	6/11/08	
20	HOPEWELL, CITY OF, VA PH II	7/2/08	
21	WALLINGFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	7/9/08	
22	Cook, County of, IL PH II	8/1/08	
23	DAVIS, CITY OF, CA PH II	8/18/08	
24	WAUKESHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	8/19/08	
25	University of California - Santa Cruz	9/17/08	
26	ROANOKE, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	11/11/08	
27	University of California - Merced	11/24/08	
28	ALEXANDRIA, CITY OF, VA PH II	12/19/08	
29	EAST HAMPTON, TOWN OF, NY PH II	1/14/09	
30	ILLINOIS, STATE OF PH II	2/12/09	
31	KANKAKEE, CITY OF, IL PH II	2/12/09	
32	UPPER MARYLAND EASTERN SHORE CONSORTIUM, MD PH II	2/25/09	
33	MASSACHUSETTS BAY TRANS AUTHORITY PH II	3/17/09	
34	WILL, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	3/24/09	
35	CENTRAL VA PLANNING DISTRICT COMMISSION, VA PH II	5/18/09	
36	SALT LAKE CITY, CITY OF, UT PH II	5/18/09	
37	MC LEAN, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	5/20/09	
38	CAPITAL REGION AIRPORT COMMISSION, VA PH II	7/17/09	
39	PORTLAND, CITY OF, ME PH II	7/22/09	
40	CLARK, COUNTY OF, NV PH II	9/14/09	
41	Fairfield, Town of	9/25/09	
42	SAINT CLAIR, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	9/29/09	
43	HANOVER, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	10/20/09	
44	GREENFIELD, CITY OF, WI PH II	10/26/09	
45	NORFOLK, COUNTY OF, MA PH II	11/6/09	
46	HONOLULU, CITY AND COUNTY OF, HI PH II	12/1/09	
47	SCHAUMBURG, VILLAGE OF, IL PH II	1/19/10	
48	WICOMICO, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	3/2/10	
49	CARROLL, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	3/19/10	
50	NASSAU, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	3/23/10	
51	NAPERVILLE, CITY OF, IL PH II	4/6/10	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
52	University of Maryland PH II	4/19/10	
53	SANTA CLARA, CITY OF, CA PH II	4/20/10	
54	UCAN	5/4/10	
55	GRUNDY E-911, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	5/5/10	
56	STAMFORD, CITY OF, CT PH II	5/5/10	
57	STOCKTON, CITY OF, CA PH II	5/7/10	
58	METRO WASHINGTON AIRPORTS AUTH (MWAA) PH II	6/10/10	
59	SAN FRANCISCO, CITY & COUNTY OF, CA PH II	6/17/10	
60	HOWARD, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	7/6/10	
61	WARRENTON FAUQUIER JOINT COMM CTR PH II	7/6/10	
62	Bluffton, City of	7/19/10	
63	RICHMOND, CITY OF, CA PH II	7/22/10	
64	ROCKLAND, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	8/17/10	
65	JOLIET, CITY OF, IL PH II	8/17/10	
66	FREDERICK, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	8/25/10	
67	MASSACHUSETTS WATER RESOURCES AUTHORITY, MA PH II	8/30/10	
68	UNION, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	9/2/10	
69	YORK, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	9/22/10	
70	TIPPECANOE, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	9/29/10	
71	MILWAUKEE, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	10/6/10	
72	HARFORD COUNTY, MD, MD PH II	11/15/10	
73	HOWARD, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	11/17/10	
74	OAKLAND, CITY OF, CA PH II	12/16/10	
75	CALVERT, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	1/19/11	
76	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	2/24/11	
77	CULPEPER, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	2/28/11	
78	GREENWICH, TOWN OF, CT PH II	3/3/11	
79	ATLANTIC CITY, CITY OF, NJ PH II	4/27/11	
80	HARTFORD, City of, CT PH II	5/9/11	
81	WISCONSIN, STATE OF PH II	6/13/11	
82	NEW YORK, CITY OF, NY PH II	6/23/11	
83	BALTIMORE, CITY OF, MD PH II	7/7/11	
84	DELAWARE, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	7/12/11	
85	PACE SUBURBAN BUS SERVICE OF THE RTA, IL PH II	8/5/11	
86	University of California - Davis	10/20/11	
87	WETHERSFIELD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	11/4/11	
88	CHESTER, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	12/12/11	
89	CHESAPEAKE, CITY OF, VA PH II	1/5/12	
90	SANTA CLARA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT, CA PH II	1/23/12	
91	Chesterfield, County of, VA PH II	4/25/12	
92	NEWPORT NEWS, CITY OF, VA PH II	6/11/12	
93	WEST HARTFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	6/26/12	
94	Boston Public Health Commission, City of, MA PH II	7/16/12	
95	SUFFOLK, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	8/8/12	
96	VIRGINIA, COMMONWEALTH OF, VA PH II	8/8/12	
97	LOUDOUN, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	10/22/12	
98	PRINCE GEORGES, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	10/22/12	
99	MANASSAS, CITY OF, VA PH II	10/26/12	
100	RHODE ISLAND PUBLIC TRANSIT AUTHORITY, RI PH II	11/7/12	
101	Department of Correction, Massachusetts PH II	11/8/12	
102	Illinois, State of, PH II Criminal Justice Auth	11/8/12	
103	SPOTSYLVANIA, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	2/22/13	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
104	ELGIN, CITY OF, IL PH II	3/14/13	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		104
Wave 2			
1	DYERSBURG, CITY OF, TN PH II	7/30/07	
2	CARROLLTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/26/08	
3	Middle TN State Univ PH II	3/27/08	
4	RAMSEY, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	3/31/08	
5	BI STATE INFORMATION CENTER, TX PH II	4/18/08	
6	Knox County Sheriff TN PH II	4/29/08	
7	AUSTIN, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/1/08	
8	PLANO, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/5/08	
9	MINNESOTA, STATE OF PH II	5/9/08	
10	RICHARDSON, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/9/08	
11	East Texas Medical Center, TX PH II	5/14/08	
12	LONGVIEW, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/14/08	
13	JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	5/15/08	
14	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, AR PH II	6/10/08	
15	BUCHANAN, COUNTY OF, MO PH II	6/11/08	
16	BOWLING GREEN, CITY OF, KY PH II	6/13/08	
17	DALLAS AREA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY, TX PH II	6/17/08	
18	Sullivan, County of, TN	6/17/08	
19	WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, NE PH II	6/17/08	
20	BELMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	6/24/08	
21	LEE, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	6/24/08	
22	LOUISVILLE METRO GOVERNMENT, KY PH II	6/24/08	
23	BEAUMONT, CITY OF, TX PH II	6/30/08	
24	Franklin, City of, TN PH II	7/10/08	
25	COLLIN, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	7/25/08	
26	STEARNS, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	8/11/08	
27	OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT, NE PH II	8/12/08	
28	METRO GVT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON CTY PH II	8/14/08	
29	WEST DES MOINES, CITY OF, IA PH II	10/8/08	
30	North Kansas City Police	11/3/08	
31	Prairie Village, City of, KS PH II	11/11/08	
32	CEDAR PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/20/08	
33	HENNEPIN, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	1/7/09	
34	CEDAR RAPIDS, CITY OF, IA PH II	1/22/09	
35	DOUGLAS, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	2/3/09	
36	DALLAS, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/19/09	
37	LITTLE ROCK, CITY OF, AR PH II	3/9/09	
38	DENTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/24/09	
39	COPPERAS COVE, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/31/09	
40	FORT WORTH, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/18/09	
41	BEDFORD, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/21/09	
42	GRAND PRAIRIE, CITY OF, TX PH II	6/15/09	
43	Johnson City, City of	8/17/09	
44	CHEYENNE, CITY OF, WY PH II	9/14/09	
45	SAINT CLOUD, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/22/09	
46	CONWAY, CITY OF, AR PH II	9/24/09	
47	Saint PETERS, CITY OF, MO PH II	9/25/09	
48	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER, TN PH II	9/25/09	
49	NORMAN, CITY OF, OK PH II	11/3/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
50	UNIVERSITY PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/20/09	
51	OWASSO, CITY OF, OK PH II	12/1/09	
52	WICHITA FALLS, CITY OF, TX PH II	12/15/09	
53	RAYTOWN, CITY OF, MO PH II	1/21/10	
54	LUBBOCK, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/15/10	
55	CASPER, CITY OF, WY PH II	3/3/10	
56	SAINT LOUIS, CITY OF, MO Airport PH II	3/5/10	
57	HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT, TX PH II	3/26/10	
58	Knox County Schools, TN PH II	4/20/10	
59	GOODLETTSVILLE, CITY OF, TN PH II	4/26/10	
60	Bastrop, County of, Texas, TX PH II	4/28/10	
61	HARRIS, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	6/21/10	
62	TEXAS, STATE OF TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, TX PH II	7/19/10	
63	SAINT CHARLES, CITY OF, MO PH II	7/30/10	
64	Tennessee DOC PH II	8/3/10	
65	ORANGE, CITY OF, TX PH II	8/5/10	
66	OVERLAND PARK, CITY OF, KS PH II	8/6/10	
67	BELL, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	9/2/10	
68	HUTCHINSON-RENO COUNTY EMER COMM PH II	10/5/10	
69	SUMNER COUNTY OF, KS PH II	10/21/10	
70	COLLEGE STATION, CITY OF, TX PH II	12/7/10	
71	Russell, County of, KS PH II	2/15/11	
72	SAINT LOUIS, CITY OF, MO PH II	6/13/11	
73	SEDGWICK, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	6/16/11	
74	ELLSWORTH, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	6/27/11	
75	JOHNSON, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	7/7/11	
76	LINCOLN, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	7/7/11	
77	PADUCAH, CITY OF, KY PH II	7/28/11	
78	ELLIS, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	8/17/11	
79	KANSAS, STATE OF PH II	9/15/11	
80	HAYSVILLE, CITY OF, KS PH II	9/19/11	
81	WILLIAMSON, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	9/19/11	
82	WHEELING, CITY OF, WV PH II	11/14/11	
83	PORT NECHES, CITY OF, TX PH II	12/12/11	
84	HOUSTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/2/12	
85	Lewisville, City of, TX PH II	3/26/12	
86	LAKE QUIVIRA, CITY OF, KS PH II	4/19/12	
87	MONTGOMERY, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	5/16/12	
88	GARLAND, CITY OF, TX PH II	5/22/12	
89	CLARKSVILLE, CITY OF, TN PH II	5/23/12	
90	ARKANSAS, STATE OF PH II	5/29/12	
91	KNOX, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	6/4/12	
92	WACO, CITY OF, TX PH II	6/15/12	
93	MC KINNEY, CITY OF, TX PH II	8/8/12	
94	GRANDVIEW, CITY OF, MO PH II	8/31/12	
95	METROPOLITAN NASHVILLE AIRPORT AUTHORITY, TN PH II	9/14/12	
96	SMYRNA, TOWN OF, TN PH II	11/7/12	
97	MEMPHIS, CITY OF, TN PH II	2/11/13	
98	ARLINGTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/22/13	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		98
Wave 3			
1	PUERTO RICO, COMMONWEALTH OF PH II	12/31/69	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
2	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, MS	3/11/08	
3	JACKSONVILLE BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	3/21/08	
4	LAKELAND, CITY OF, FL PH II	4/4/08	
5	CHARLOTTE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	4/14/08	
6	CONCORD, CITY OF, NC PH II	4/14/08	
7	FORSYTH, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	4/14/08	
8	ALBANY, CITY OF, GA	4/18/08	
9	BAY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, FL PH II	4/18/08	
10	SARASOTA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	4/18/08	
11	ALBERTVILLE, CITY OF, AL	4/21/08	
12	OPELIKA, CITY OF, AL PH II	4/21/08	
13	PANAMA CITY, CITY OF, FL	4/21/08	
14	IBERVILLE, PARISH OF, LA PH II	4/23/08	
15	FAYETTE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	5/5/08	
16	FLORENCE, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	5/9/08	
17	HINDS, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	5/19/08	
18	MINT HILL, TOWN OF, NC PH II	6/2/08	
19	STONE MOUNTAIN PARK PH II	6/4/08	
20	CALCASIEU PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT PH II	6/11/08	
21	GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II	6/11/08	
22	CLEVELAND, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	6/30/08	
23	SULPHUR, CITY OF, LA PH II	7/1/08	
24	MOORESVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/9/08	
25	TARBORO, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/10/08	
26	DUKE UNIVERSITY PH II	7/16/08	
27	KERNERSVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	7/24/08	
28	MYRTLE BEACH, CITY OF, SC PH II	7/25/08	
29	SHELBY, CITY OF, NC PH II	9/3/08	
30	SCHOOL BOARD OF BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA PH II	9/25/08	
31	LEE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	9/29/08	
32	MARION, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	11/3/08	
33	SAINT CHARLES, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/19/08	
34	PITT, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	11/24/08	
35	CALCASIEU, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/26/08	
36	CHARLESTON, CITY OF, SC PH II	11/26/08	
37	DESOTO, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	12/2/08	
38	LENOIR, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	12/2/08	
39	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	12/8/08	
40	HORRY, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	12/8/08	
41	CADDO PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DIST 1, LA PH II	12/15/08	
42	EMORY UNIVERSITY, GA PH II	12/15/08	
43	Hall, County of	12/15/08	
44	PINEVILLE, TOWN OF, NC PH II	12/15/08	
45	MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	1/6/09	
46	PALM BEACH, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	1/14/09	
47	DELRAY BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	1/23/09	
48	BOSSIER, PARISH OF, LA PH II	1/30/09	
49	Atlanta, City of, GA PH II	2/3/09	
50	SALISBURY, CITY OF, NC PH II	2/3/09	
51	Athens-Clarke County, GA PH II MA	6/15/09	
52	New Hanover, County of, NC PH II	6/29/09	
53	HOLLYWOOD, CITY OF, FL PH II	7/29/09	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
54	FULTON, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	7/30/09	
55	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II	8/7/09	
56	HICKORY, CITY OF, NC PH II	8/12/09	
57	FAYETTEVILLE, CITY OF, NC PH II	8/25/09	
58	LAFOURCHE, PARISH OF, LA PH II	9/14/09	
59	CHARLESTON, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	10/2/09	
60	AVOYELLES PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT, LA PH II	10/19/09	
61	BOSSIER, CITY OF, LA PH II	10/23/09	
62	GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	10/28/09	
63	SAINT TAMMANY, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11/11/09	
64	HIALEAH, CITY OF, FL PH II	11/20/09	
65	CRISP, COUNTY OF, GA	11/25/09	
66	CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL PH II	12/10/09	
67	Orange, County of, FL PH II	1/12/10	
68	Hillsborough, County Of, FL PH II	1/27/10	
69	THOMASVILLE, CITY OF, NC PH II	2/24/10	
70	Rocky Mount, City of, NC PH II	2/25/10	
71	MARION, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	3/1/10	
72	City of Sumter and Sumter County, SC PH II	4/7/10	
73	HARRISON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	4/9/10	
74	Cary, Town of, NC PH II	4/21/10	
75	BIRMINGHAM, CITY OF, AL PH II	4/23/10	
76	YORK, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	4/28/10	
77	WINTER PARK, CITY OF, FL PH II	5/4/10	
78	GREATER ORLANDO PUBLIC SAFETY COOP, FL PH II	5/6/10	
79	LAKE CHARLES, CITY OF, LA PH II	5/20/10	
80	CALHOUN, COUNTY OF, AL PH II	5/27/10	
81	OSCEOLA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	6/7/10	
82	PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	6/7/10	
83	West Baton Rouge Parish Comm Dist PH II	6/15/10	
84	JACKSON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	7/6/10	
85	LA GRANGE, CITY OF, GA PH II	7/22/10	
86	MONTGOMERY, CITY OF, AL PH II	7/23/10	
87	Hancock, County of, MS PH II	7/29/10	
88	REEDY CREEK IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT, FL PH II	8/18/10	
89	RALEIGH DURHAM AIRPORT AUTHORITY, NC PH II	8/23/10	
90	BOYNTON BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	8/27/10	
91	OCALA, CITY OF, FL PH II	9/2/10	
92	RAPIDES, PARISH OF, LA PH II	9/22/10	
93	WINSTON SALEM, CITY OF, NC PH II	10/22/10	
94	GADSDEN, CITY OF, AL PH II	1/20/11	
95	FORT LAUDERDALE, CITY OF, FL PH II	3/14/11	
96	SAINT LUCIE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	5/4/11	
97	MOBILE, CITY OF, AL PH II	6/6/11	
98	JEFFERSON, PARISH OF, LA PH II	7/7/11	
99	HARTSELLE, CITY OF, AL PH II	8/18/11	
100	BROWARD, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	9/15/11	
101	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, NC PH II	10/5/11	
102	Maitland, City of, FL PH II	10/6/11	
103	HENRY, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	10/7/11	
104	Washington, County of, AL PH II	11/8/11	
105	ALABAMA, STATE OF, AL PH II	11/17/11	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
106	MIAMI-DADE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	11/17/11	
107	CLAY, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	12/13/11	
108	PLANTATION, CITY OF, FL PH II	12/22/11	
109	TALLAHASSEE, CITY OF, FL PH II	4/10/12	
110	BEAUFORT, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	5/1/12	
111	BULLOCH, COUNTY OF, GA	5/8/12	
112	MOBILE, COUNTY OF, AL PH II	6/1/12	
113	BATON ROUGE, CITY OF, LA PH II	7/6/12	
114	LOWNDES, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	7/6/12	
115	CONSOLIDATED GOVERNMENT OF COLUMBUS, GA PH II	7/11/12	
116	DURHAM, CITY OF, NC	8/6/12	
117	MARTIN, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	8/8/12	
118	ONslow COUNTY OF, NC PH II	8/10/12	
119	CORAL GABLES, CITY OF, FL PH II	8/20/12	
120	LEE, COUNTY OF, MS	8/24/12	
121	SPARTANBURG, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	8/27/12	
122	NEW ORLEANS, PORT OF PH II	10/18/12	
123	MONROE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	11/8/12	
124	SEMINOLE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	2/4/13	
125	SOUTH CAROLINA, STATE OF PH II	2/19/13	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		125
Wave 4			
1	WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, MI PH II	3/21/08	
2	CLARK, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	4/29/08	
3	LIVE OAK, CITY OF, TX PH II	11/25/08	
4	HARRISON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	11/26/08	
5	YAKIMA, CITY OF, WA PH II	12/8/08	
6	HOBBS, CITY OF, NM PH II	12/19/08	
7	CLERMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	1/5/09	
8	VIA METROPOLITAN TRANSIT, TX PH II	3/20/09	
9	BENTON, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	10/5/09	
10	SAN ANGELO, CITY OF, TX PH II	10/20/09	
11	CUMBERLAND, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	1/4/10	
12	Signal Hill, City of, CA PH II	1/7/10	
13	CORPUS CHRISTI, CITY OF, TX PH II	2/25/10	
14	BEXAR METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT, TX PH II	3/16/10	
15	CINCINNATI, CITY OF, OH PH II	5/19/10	
16	ALBUQUERQUE, CITY OF, NM PH II	5/27/10	
17	NEW MEXICO, STATE OF, NM PH II	7/29/10	
18	WARREN, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	2/25/11	
19	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	5/4/11	
20	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, PA PH II	11/21/11	
21	SOUTHWEST OHIO REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTH PH II	3/8/12	
22	WESTMORELAND, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	9/5/12	
23	Sante Fe, City of, NM PH II	11/28/12	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		23
Canadian Border Region			
1	DOWNRIVER MUTUAL AID, MI PH II	12/31/69	
2	Canton, City of OH	1/7/10	
3	SAGINAW COUNTY 911 COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY, MI	3/22/10	
4	PUYALLUP, CITY OF, WA PH II	4/9/10	
5	STARK, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	4/9/10	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
6	Grand Isle County Emer Svcs Assoc PH II	4/19/10	
7	SPOKANE TRANSIT AUTHORITY, WA PH II	6/14/10	
8	Regents of University of Michigan, MI	8/23/10	
9	Dublin, City of, OH	8/27/10	
10	Port of Seattle, WA	9/1/10	
11	WORTHINGTON, CITY OF PH II	9/20/10	
12	Huron County 911, MI	9/27/10	
13	Lansing School District, MI	10/22/10	
14	Warren, City of, MI	11/17/10	
15	CUYAHOGA FALLS, CITY OF, OH PH II	12/14/10	
16	TACOMA, CITY OF, WA PH II	1/14/11	
17	Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority, NY	1/31/11	
18	FINDLAY, CITY OF, OH PH II	2/28/11	
19	DELAWARE, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	6/6/11	
20	Onondaga, County Of, NY PH II	6/27/11	
21	LAKE, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	7/6/11	
22	Kitsap County Transit, WA	8/17/11	
23	Bellingham, City of, WA	9/19/11	
24	Bath, Township of, OH	11/15/11	
25	State of Ohio - Ohio State University PH II	11/17/11	
26	Warren, City of, OH	12/9/11	
27	VALLEY COMMUNICATIONS CENTER, WA PH II	2/8/12	
28	FRANKLIN, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	3/5/12	
29	AUBURN, CITY OF, NY PH II	3/19/12	
30	PARMA, CITY OF, OH PH II	4/2/12	
31	MIAMI, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	6/19/12	
32	EASTSIDE PUBLIC SAFETY COMM AGY, WA PH II	6/29/12	
33	Monroe, County of, NY	8/6/12	
34	TUSCOLA, COUNTY OF, MI	8/6/12	
35	MONTGOMERY, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	8/21/12	
36	MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, MI PH II	9/5/12	
37	Geauga, County of, OH	9/17/12	
38	SNOHOMISH COUNTY EMERGENCY RADIO SYSTEM PH II	9/21/12	
39	JACKSON, COUNTY OF, MI PH II	9/26/12	
40	GROSSE POINTE FARMS CITY OF, MI PH II	10/10/12	
41	SNOHOMISH, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	11/8/12	
42	SEATTLE, CITY OF, WA PH II	11/26/12	
43	OSWEGO, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	2/19/13	
44	LAPEER, COUNTY OF, MI PH II	3/14/13	
Subtotal PFA count for Canadian Border Region			44
Mexican Border Region			
1	CALIFORNIA, STATE OF PH II	3/10/11	
Subtotal PFA count for Mexican Border Region			1
Total PFAs			395

Appendix 8
Summary of FRAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

FRAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		0
Wave 2			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3			
1	American Beeper Company LLC	12/14/11	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		1
Wave 4			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		0
Total FRAs			1

Appendix 8
Summary of PFAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band that have Closed, as of March 31, 2013

PFAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		0
Wave 2			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		0
Wave 3			
1	Salado, Freddy	5/10/12	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		1
Wave 4			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		0
Total PFAs			1

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
Deal Name		Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	DeLasaux Thomas	84.33	
2	Newport News, City of	83.23	
3	Suffolk, City of	83.23	
4	Worcester, City of	79.53	
5	Allen Wireless Group 1	78.97	
6	Mobile Relay Associates	77.53	
7	Slater Communication & Electronic # 2	77.43	
8	WASHINGTON, STATE OF	67.37	
9	Chicago, City of (PAWS DATA)	63.10	
10	Boston, City of - Police (MA)	22.97	
11	Montgomery, County of	17.27	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		11
Wave 2			
1	CHATTANOOGA, CITY OF, TN	24.84	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3			
1	VAUGHAN, RAY J	76.73	
2	WEST PALM BEACH, CITY OF, FL	54.07	
3	BIBB, COUNTY OF, GA	52.43	
4	Florida, State of - Channel 96	50.57	
5	MANATEE, COUNTY OF, FL	50.57	
6	Consolidated Government of Columbus, GA	41.13	
7	Liberty Communications, Inc.	32.10	
8	JONES, COUNTY OF, MS	21.31	
9	Florida, State of	20.23	
10	PASCO, COUNTY OF, FL	16.44	
11	DeKalb County Police Department, GA	13.14	
12	PENSACOLA, CITY OF, FL	7.98	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		12
Wave 4			
1	MAYS, MYRON	68.03	
2	NEW ORLEANS, CITY OF, LA	67.63	
3	Plaquemines Parish Government, LA	63.53	
4	LOUISIANA, STATE OF	40.33	
5	Baton Rouge, City of, LA	27.83	
6	ORLEANS PARISH SCHOOL BOARD, LA	24.13	
7	LP Ganacias Enterprises, Inc	20.30	
8	RANKIN, COUNTY OF, MS	14.41	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		8

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed		
Deal Name	Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
Canadian Border Region		
1 Plymouth Canton Community School Dist, MI	43.80	
2 KIRO-TV, Inc.	32.50	
3 Spectrum Communications Inc	32.17	
4 United Telephone Company of Ohio	21.53	
5 ArcelorMittal Cleveland Inc.	13.97	
6 FELHC Inc	10.63	
7 Continental Airlines, Inc.	8.63	
8 Ford Communications Inc	8.33	
9 Radio Service Company Canadian Rebanding	5.03	
10 Northwest Airlines, Inc	4.57	
11 BP West Coast Products LLC	3.67	
12 Consumers Energy Company	1.50	
Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		12
Mexican Border Region		
Subtotal FRA count for Mexican Border Region		0
Total FRAs		44

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 1 PFAs that have not Closed		Deal Count
Deal Name		
Wave 1		
1	Boston, City of	
2	Rhode Island, State of - Pre Planning	
3	Seabrook - Planning Funding	
4	WASHINGTON, STATE OF	
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		4
Wave 2		
1	Hennepin County	
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3		
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4		
1	JEFFERSON, PARISH OF, LA	
2	SAINT JOHN THE BAPTIST, PARISH OF, LA	
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		2
Canadian Border Region		
1	Consumers Energy Company	
Subtotal PFA count for Canadian Border Region		1
Mexican Border Region		
1	ORANGE, COUNTY OF, CA	
2	San Bernardino, County of (1 to 120)	
Subtotal PFA count for Mexican Border Region		2
Total PFAs		10

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
Deal Name		Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	VACAVILLE, CITY OF, CA PH II	62.13	
2	WEST ORANGE, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	61.53	
3	Salt Lake City, City of, UT PH II	59.37	
4	HYANNIS, TOWN OF, MA PH II	59.33	
5	CAPITAL REGION AIRPORT COMMISSION, VA PH II	58.90	
6	EAGLE, COUNTY OF, CO PH II	58.74	
7	HOBOKEN, CITY OF, NJ PH II	58.07	
8	University of California - Merced PH II	57.97	
9	HOWARD, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	56.63	
10	JERSEY CITY, CITY OF, NJ PH II	54.67	
11	UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, CT PH II	53.13	
12	University of California - Santa Cruz PH II	53.10	
13	Kauai, County of, HI PH II	53.07	
14	CAMDEN, CITY OF, NJ PH II	52.61	
15	LA CROSSE, CITY OF, WI PH II	52.60	
16	Southern Nevada, RTC of	50.83	
17	University of California - Berkeley	50.53	
18	EGG HARBOR, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	50.04	
19	GALLOWAY, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	50.04	
20	Adams Twelve Five Star School District, Phase II	46.13	
21	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	45.40	
22	Clark, County of, NV PH II	44.70	
23	Sheboygan County, WI PH II	44.00	
24	UNION, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	42.58	
25	TIPPECANOE, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	41.63	
26	WEST HARTFORD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	39.53	
27	JOLIET, CITY OF, IL PH II	39.01	
28	Milwaukee, County of, WI - MCTS CAD/AVL System	38.80	
29	PRINCE GEORGES, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	37.53	
30	SPOTSYLVANIA, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	37.30	
31	Roseville, City of, CA PH II	36.30	
32	ATLANTIC, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	35.51	
33	Colorado, State of PH II	34.87	
34	WASHOE, COUNTY OF, NV PH II	34.48	
35	DENVER, CITY AND COUNTY OF, CO PH II	34.47	
36	NEW JERSEY, STATE OF PH II	34.27	
37	PORT AUTH OF NY AND NJ PH II	34.00	
38	OLD BRIDGE, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	33.48	
39	NASSAU, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	32.98	
40	SUFFOLK, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	29.93	
41	ALAMEDA, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	29.70	
42	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	29.44	
43	TRENTON, CITY OF, NJ PH II	29.10	
44	MILWAUKEE, CITY OF, WI PH II	29.03	
45	ORANGE COUNTY SHERIFF, NY PH II	28.74	
46	PORTLAND, CITY OF, ME PH II	28.53	
47	AURORA, CITY OF, IL PH II	27.97	

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
		Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
Deal	Name		
48	Department of Correction, Massachusetts PH II	27.93	
49	MILWAUKEE, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	27.90	
50	SAINT CLAIR, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	27.81	
51	OZAUKEE, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	27.33	
52	WAUKESHA, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	26.90	
53	LANCASTER COUNTY OF, PA PH II	24.23	
54	WISCONSIN, STATE OF PH II	23.00	
55	BOSTON, CITY OF, MA PH II - POLICE	22.97	
56	WETHERSFIELD, TOWN OF, CT PH II	21.43	
57	VANDERBURGH, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	21.40	
58	Cook, County of, IL PH II	20.82	
59	HANOVER, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	20.17	
60	WILL, COUNTY OF, IL PH II	19.41	
61	READING, CITY OF, PA PH II	18.53	
62	STAMFORD, CITY OF, CT PH II	18.53	
63	Livermore, City of, CA PH II	18.30	
64	Connecticut DOC PH II MA Only	18.27	
65	NARRAGANSETT, TOWN OF, RI PH II	17.30	
66	SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	16.23	
67	MILFORD, CITY OF, CT PH II	16.03	
68	CHERRY HILL, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	15.83	
69	WATERBURY, CITY OF, CT PH II	14.80	
70	Portland, City of, OR PH II	13.43	
71	PROVIDENCE, CITY OF, RI PH II	12.73	
72	GREENWICH, TOWN OF, CT PH II	12.70	
73	University of California - Davis	11.04	
74	Illinois, State of, DOC PH II	10.08	
75	SUFFOLK, COUNTY OF PH II	10.08	
76	MARYLAND, STATE OF PH II	9.87	
77	NORWALK, CITY OF, CT PH II	9.84	
78	University of Maryland PH II	9.23	
79	ARLINGTON, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	8.54	
80	RICHMOND, CITY OF, CA PH II	8.27	
81	Santa Clara, City of, CA PH II	8.21	
82	ALEXANDRIA, CITY OF, VA PH II	8.08	
83	BARNSTABLE, COUNTY OF, MA PH II	7.83	
84	NORFOLK, COUNTY OF, MA PH II	7.80	
85	MASSACHUSETTS PORT AUTHORITY, MA PH II	7.77	
86	MASSACHUSETTS BAY TRANS AUTHORITY PH II	7.53	
87	WORCESTER, CITY OF, MA PH II	7.20	
88	SAN FRANCISCO, CITY & COUNTY OF, CA PH II	6.50	
89	Boston Public Health Commission, City of, MA PH II	6.03	
90	North Providence, Town of, RI PH II STA	5.80	
91	DELAWARE, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	4.53	
92	CAMBRIDGE, CITY OF, MA PH II	4.37	
93	New Jersey AGO PH II	3.93	
94	MIDDLESEX COUNY OF, MA PH II	3.70	
95	ESSEX, COUNTY OF, NJ PH II	3.57	

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
	Deal Name	Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
96	MONTGOMERY, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	3.40	
97	Delaware, County Of, PA PH II	1.30	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		97
Wave 2			
1	Mc Pherson County, KS PH II	62.60	
2	EDEN PRAIRIE, CITY OF, MN PH II	60.48	
3	KANSAS CITY, CITY OF, KS PH II	57.93	
4	Southern Methodist Univ PH II	57.77	
5	SAINT LOUIS, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	57.23	
6	Saint Charles, City of, MO PH II	56.57	
7	UNIVERSITY PARK, CITY OF, TX PH II	47.57	
8	TEXAS, STATE OF TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, TX PH II	47.27	
9	Waco, City of, TX Phase II	41.03	
10	College Station, City of, TX PH II	40.57	
11	WEST DES MOINES, CITY OF, IA PH II	38.34	
12	SULLIVAN, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	38.33	
13	Wabaunsee County, KS PH II	35.43	
14	CARROLLTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	34.27	
15	Orange, City of, TX Ph II	33.50	
16	DUBUQUE, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	33.24	
17	GRUNDY COUNTY OF, IA PH II	33.24	
18	Joplin, City of, MO PH II	33.17	
19	CLARKSVILLE, CITY OF, TN PH II	31.43	
20	Tennessee DOC PH II	31.41	
21	CREEK, COUNTY OF, OK PH II	29.53	
22	Prairie Village, City of, KS PH II	28.98	
23	POLK, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	28.88	
24	Saint Louis, City of, MO Police PH II	28.77	
25	SMYRNA, TOWN OF, TN PH II	27.44	
26	LINCOLN, CITY OF, NE PH II	27.13	
27	Tennessee, State of PH II (TEMA)	25.78	
28	METROPOLITAN NASHVILLE AIRPORT AUTHORITY, TN PH II	25.01	
29	KNOX, COUNTY OF, TN PH II	23.84	
30	BELL, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	23.77	
31	LONGVIEW, CITY OF, TX PH II	23.73	
32	Riley, County of, KS PH II	23.40	
33	OAK RIDGE, CITY OF, TN PH II	22.77	
34	Wheeling, City of, WV PH II	21.30	
35	PLANO, CITY OF, TX PH II	21.13	
36	Grandview, City of, MO PH II	21.07	
37	ARLINGTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	20.40	
38	PORT NECHES, CITY OF, TX PH II	17.90	
39	Conroe, City of, TX PH II	17.88	
40	BIXBY, CITY OF, OK PH II	17.13	
41	Sarpy, County of, NE PH II	16.90	
42	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, AR PH II	16.74	
43	LINCOLN, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	16.70	
44	PADUCAH, CITY OF, KY PH II	16.28	

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
	Deal Name	Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
45	HOUSTON, CITY OF, TX Police PH II	16.23	
46	Ellis County, TX PH II	15.83	
47	WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, NE PH II	15.28	
48	Overland Park, City of, KS PH II	15.07	
49	HAYSVILLE, CITY OF, KS PH II	13.64	
50	LAKE QUIVIRA, CITY OF, KS PH II	13.64	
51	SUMNER COUNTY OF, KS PH II	13.64	
52	Hutchinson-Reno County Emer Comm PH II	13.58	
53	DENTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	13.34	
54	OWENSBORO, CITY OF, KY PH II	11.87	
55	OKLAHOMA CITY, CITY OF, OK PH II	11.40	
56	COPPERAS COVE, CITY OF, TX PH II	11.33	
57	ELLIS, COUNTY OF, KS PH II	11.14	
58	GARLAND, CITY OF, TX PH II	11.14	
59	MEMPHIS, CITY OF, TN PH II	10.61	
60	Lewisville, City of, TX PH II	10.31	
61	DOUGLAS, COUNTY OF, NE PH II	10.11	
62	Omaha Public Power District, NE PH II	9.94	
63	North Kansas City Police	9.90	
64	East Texas Medical Center, TX PH II	9.44	
65	KANSAS CITY, CITY OF, MO PH II	9.14	
66	LLANO, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	8.90	
67	MANSFIELD, CITY OF, TX PH II	8.24	
68	Blanco County, TX PH II	8.10	
69	MESQUITE, CITY OF, TX PH II	8.10	
70	GRAND PRAIRIE, CITY OF, TX PH II	8.04	
71	RAYTOWN, CITY OF, MO PH II	4.73	
72	WICHITA FALLS, CITY OF, TX PH II	4.03	
73	COLLIN, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	3.63	
74	RICHARDSON, CITY OF, TX PH II	1.83	
75	TRAVIS, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	0.90	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		75
Wave 3			
1	GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	51.30	
2	SAINT LUCIE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	48.37	
3	AVOYELLES PARISH COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT, LA PH II	46.77	
4	CLAY, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	45.98	
5	INDIAN RIVER, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	43.54	
6	COVINGTON, CITY OF, GA PH II	43.33	
7	Rocky Mount, City of, NC PH II	41.13	
8	BULLOCH, COUNTY OF, GA	41.11	
9	CALHOUN, COUNTY OF, AL PH II	38.54	
10	CONSOLIDATED GOVERNMENT OF COLUMBUS, GA PH II	36.11	
11	LOWNDES, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	34.44	
12	Iberville, Parish of, LA PH II OEP	33.68	
13	CORAL GABLES, CITY OF, FL PH II	33.41	
14	JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II	32.50	
15	HARNETT COUNTY OF, NC PH II	31.31	

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
	Deal Name	Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
16	West Baton Rouge Parish Comm Dist PH II	28.63	
17	St Martin Parish Sherrif, LA PH II MA only	27.73	
18	GEORGIA, STATE OF PH II	27.58	
19	NEW ORLEANS, PORT OF PH II	26.77	
20	SAINT TAMMANY, PARISH OF, LA PH II	26.41	
21	Iberville, Parish of, LA Phase II	25.53	
22	NOXUBEE, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	24.23	
23	BREVARD, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	23.90	
24	COBB, COUNTY OF, GA PH II MA	23.63	
25	BATON ROUGE, CITY OF, LA PH II	23.23	
26	SAINT JAMES, PARISH OF, LA PH II	22.97	
27	PALM BEACH GARDENS, CITY OF, FL PH II	22.31	
28	MINT HILL, TOWN OF, NC PH II	21.38	
29	Bossier Parish, LA PH II	21.14	
30	SHERIFF, PARISH OF POINTE COUPEE, LA PH II	21.08	
31	PUERTO RICO, COMMONWEALTH OF PH II	20.97	
32	DESOTO, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	20.34	
33	MONROE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	18.84	
34	Houma, City of LA, PH II STA	18.40	
35	Sarasota, County of, FL PH II	17.78	
36	MARTIN, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	17.40	
37	Bossier, City of, LA PH II	16.61	
38	MISSISSIPPI, STATE OF, DOC PH II	16.01	
39	MANATEE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	15.83	
40	Georgia, State of, PH II Metro	15.81	
41	CONCORD, CITY OF, NC PH II	15.80	
42	OCALA, CITY OF, FL PH II	15.54	
43	FAYETTE, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	15.21	
44	GREATER ORLANDO PUBLIC SAFETY COOP, FL PH II	15.21	
45	VOLUSIA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	15.08	
46	Lake Charles, City Of, LA PH II	14.98	
47	HENRY, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	14.84	
48	Puerto Rico State Police, PR PH II	14.78	
49	MARION, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	14.61	
50	BAYAMON, MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT OF, PR PH II	14.28	
51	Ascension, Parish Of, LA PH II	13.81	
52	HARTSELLE, CITY OF, AL PH II	13.70	
53	GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL PH II	13.60	
54	COLLIER, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	13.18	
55	OSCEOLA, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	12.94	
56	HARRISON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	12.70	
57	FULTON, COUNTY OF, GA PH II	12.54	
58	SEMINOLE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	12.18	
59	CALCASIEU, PARISH OF, LA PH II	11.14	
60	DELRAY BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	10.81	
61	HUNTSVILLE, CITY OF, AL PH II	10.40	
62	HERNANDO, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	10.11	
63	LOUISIANA, STATE OF PH II	9.43	

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
Deal Name		Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
64	MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	9.28	
65	NEW BERN, CITY OF, NC PH II	9.20	
66	SULPHUR, CITY OF, LA PH II	9.14	
67	Caddo Parish Comm Dist 1, LA PH II	8.23	
68	Lake, County of, FL PH II	8.21	
69	City of Sumter and Sumter County, SC PH II	7.78	
70	LEE, COUNTY OF, FL PH II	7.04	
71	Myrtle Beach, City of, SC PH II	7.04	
72	Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Trans Auth, GA PHII	6.83	
73	Mississippi, State of, PH II FB2T	6.77	
74	Calhoun, County of, AL TARS PH II	3.93	
75	KENNER, CITY OF, LA PH II	3.67	
76	Calcasieu Parish Communications District PH II	3.33	
77	SOUTH CAROLINA, STATE OF PH II	3.00	
78	Beauregard Parish Sheriff's Office, LA PH II	2.70	
79	New Orleans, City of, LA PH II	1.53	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		79
Wave 4			
1	BUTLER, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	60.87	
2	BEXAR METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT, TX PH II	48.60	
3	MIDLAND, CITY OF, TX PH II	46.74	
4	Hobbs, City of, NM PH II	46.57	
5	CLARK EMERGENCY SERVICES, COUNTY OF, WA PH II	46.37	
6	LIVE OAK, CITY OF, TX PH II	40.41	
7	ALBUQUERQUE, CITY OF, NM PH II	39.84	
8	CORPUS CHRISTI, CITY OF, TX PH II	36.48	
9	PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, PA PH II	31.70	
10	CINCINNATI, CITY OF, OH PH II	27.73	
11	SAN ANTONIO, CITY OF, TX PH II	23.01	
12	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	21.60	
13	CLERMONT, COUNTY OF, OH PH II	20.67	
14	CUMBERLAND, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	20.64	
15	CALDWELL, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	16.93	
16	DAYTON, CITY OF, OH PH II	16.00	
17	RENSSELAER, COUNTY OF, NY PH II	13.43	
18	WESTMORELAND, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	5.67	
19	Santa Fe, City of, NM PH II	1.30	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		19
Canadian Border Region			
1	Ontario, County of, NY	33.07	
2	Billings, City of, MT	25.40	
3	Fayette, County of, OH	23.21	
4	Rome, City of, NY	16.53	
5	Auburn, City of, NY	15.60	
6	Richfield, Village of, OH	14.28	
7	Niagara Frontier Transportation Authority, NY	13.23	
8	Tuscola, County of, MI	12.97	
9	Geauga, County of, OH	12.50	

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 FRAs with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
Deal Name		Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
10	Lake, County of, OH	12.07	
11	Seattle City Light Canadian Rebanding	9.38	
12	Medina, County of, OH	8.07	
13	Green, City of OH	6.87	
14	Montgomery, County of, OH	5.67	
15	Barberton, City of, OH	5.47	
16	Oswego, County of, NY	5.03	
17	Michigan State University	4.77	
18	Wayne, County of, NY	3.80	
19	Bloomfield, Township of, MI	0.83	
20	San Juan, County of, WA	0.60	
	Subtotal FRA count for Canadian Border Region		20
Mexican Border Region			
	Subtotal FRA count for Mexican Border Region		0
Total FRAs			290

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs that have not Closed		Deal Count
Deal Name		
Wave 1		
1	ALAMEDA, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	
2	ALBEMARLE, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	
3	ANNE ARUNDEL, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	
4	AURORA, CITY OF, IL PH II	
5	Baltimore Washington Int'l Airport PH II	
6	CAMBRIDGE, CITY OF, MA PH II	
7	CHARLES, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	
8	CHICAGO, CITY OF, IL PH II	
9	CONNECTICUT, STATE OF PH II	
10	Chicago, City of, IL PH II Aviation	
11	Colorado CalComm EA Swap	
12	DELAWARE, STATE OF PH II	
13	FAIRFAX, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	
14	HENRICO, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	
15	Indiana, State of	
16	KAUAI, COUNTY OF, HI PH II	
17	LANCASTER COUNTY OF, PA PH II	
18	MASSACHUSETTS PORT AUTHORITY, MA PH II	
19	MASSACHUSETTS, COMMONWEALTH OF PH II	
20	MONTGOMERY, COUNTY OF, MD PH II	
21	MONTGOMERY, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	
22	NEW JERSEY, STATE OF PH II	
23	NORWALK, CITY OF, CT PH II	
24	New Jersey Transit	
25	OLD BRIDGE, TOWNSHIP OF, NJ PH II	
26	PHILADELPHIA, CITY OF, PA PH II	
27	PORTLAND, CITY OF, OR PH II	
28	PRINCE WILLIAM, COUNTY OF, VA PH II	
29	RICHMOND, CITY OF, VA PH II	
30	ROSEVILLE, CITY OF, CA PH II	
31	SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	
32	SAN FRANCISCO BART PH II	
33	SUFFOLK, CITY OF, VA PH II	
34	SUFFOLK, COUNTY OF PH II	
35	Sacramento County, CA Regional PFA only	
36	Southern Nevada	
37	TRENTON, CITY OF, NJ PH II	
38	UNION CITY, CITY OF, NJ PH II	
39	VANDERBURGH, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	
40	WATERBURY, CITY OF, CT PH II	
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		40
Wave 2		
1	BIXBY, CITY OF, OK PH II	
2	BRYAN, CITY OF, TX PH II	
3	DALLAS-FORT WORTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, TX PH II	
4	DENTON, COUNTY OF, TX PH II	
5	EDMOND, CITY OF, OK PH II	
6	IRVING, CITY OF, TX PH II	
7	MESQUITE, CITY OF, TX PH II	

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs that have not Closed		Deal Count
Deal Name		
8 OAK RIDGE, CITY OF, TN PH II		
9 OBION, COUNTY OF - SHERIFF DEPT, TN PH II		
10 OKLAHOMA, STATE OF PH II		
11 SARPY, COUNTY OF, NE PH II		
12 TENNESSEE, STATE OF PH II		
13 TULSA, CITY OF, OK PH II		
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		13
Wave 3		
1 COBB, COUNTY OF, GA PH II		
2 FLORIDA, STATE OF PH II		
3 GEORGIA, STATE OF PH II		
4 GUILFORD, COUNTY OF, NC PH II		
5 HIGH POINT, CITY OF, NC PH II		
6 HUNTSVILLE, CITY OF, AL PH II		
7 JACKSON, CITY OF, MS PH II		
8 Jefferson Parish SO, LA PH II Region 1		
9 LAFAYETTE, PARISH OF, LA PH II		
10 Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority, GA P		
11 Mississippi, State of, PH II		
12 NEW BERN, CITY OF, NC PH II		
13 NEW ORLEANS, CITY OF, LA PH II		
14 NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF PH II		
15 OPELOUSAS, CITY OF, LA PH II		
16 POLK, COUNTY OF, FL PH II		
17 Puerto Rico State Police, PR PH II		
18 SAVANNAH, CITY OF, GA		
19 SHERIFF, PARISH OF POINTE COUPEE, LA PH II		
20 VICKSBURG, CITY OF, MS PH II		
21 WAKE, COUNTY OF, NC PH II		
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		21
Wave 4		
1 CALDWELL, COUNTY OF, TX PH II		
2 DAYTON, CITY OF, OH PH II		
3 MIDLAND, CITY OF, TX PH II		
4 SAN ANTONIO, CITY OF, TX PH II		
Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		4
Canadian Border Region		
1 ANN ARBOR, CITY OF, MI		
2 BROOK PARK, CITY OF, OH PH II		
3 CLINTON, COUNTY OF, MI PH II		
4 COLUMBUS, CITY OF, OH PH II		
5 Cleveland, City of, OH		
6 Clinton, County of, NY		
7 Genesee, County of, NY		
8 KING, COUNTY OF, WA PH II		
9 Lucas, County of, OH		
10 MICHIGAN, STATE OF PH II		
11 OHIO, STATE OF		
12 Ottawa, County of, OH		
13 Parma Heights, City of, OH PH II		

Appendix 9
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

Stage 2 PFAs that have not Closed		Deal Count
Deal Name		
14	Summit County Ohio, OH PH II	
15	WASHINGTON, STATE OF	
16	WESTLAND, CITY OF, MI PH II	
17	Wayne, County of, MI PH II	
	Subtotal PFA count for Canadian Border Region	17
Mexican Border Region		
1	CHANDLER, CITY OF, AZ	
2	IRVINE, CITY OF, CA, CA PH II	
3	LOS ANGELES, CITY OF, CA	
4	LOS ANGELES, CITY OF, CA PH II	
5	LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF, CA PH II	
6	MARICOPA, COUNTY OF, AZ PH II	
7	MESA, CITY OF, AZ PH II	
8	Phoenix, City of, AZ PH II Data System	
9	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN DIEGO, CITY OF, CA PH II	
11	San Bernadino, County Of, CA PH II	
12	University of California - Riverside PH II	
13	University of California - Santa Barbara PH II	
14	Yuma, City of, AZ PH II	
	Subtotal PFA count for Mexican Border Region	14
Total PFAs		109

Appendix 9

Summary of FRAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

FRAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band with Physical Reconfiguration Activities Complete that have not Closed			
Deal Name		Aging from Completion of Physical Reconfiguration Activities (In Months)	Deal Count
Wave 1			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		0
Wave 2			
1	C & W Communications ESMR swap	7.4	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3			
1	Canto, Lazaro J	18.53	
2	Davila, Jose	13.07	
3	Salado, Freddy	6.83	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		3
Wave 4			
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		0
Total FRAs			4

Appendix 9

Summary of PFAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band that have not Closed, as of March 31, 2013

PFAs for Licensees in the ESMR Band that have not Closed		Deal Count
Deal Name		
Wave 1		
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1	0
Wave 2		
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2	0
Wave 3		
1	R A Electronics & Communications	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3	1
Wave 4		
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4	0
Total PFAs		1

Appendix 10
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
Fees and Expenses through March 31, 2013

	Quarter Ending March 31, 2013	Year-to-Date
Fees		
Reconfiguration Management	\$293,368	\$293,368
Frequency Management	\$667,974	\$667,974
Financial Management	\$1,724,461	\$1,724,461
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	\$752,337	\$752,337
Stakeholder Implementation Management	\$2,119,357	\$2,119,357
TA Systems Support	\$335,740	\$335,740
Program Management Support	\$430,935	\$430,935
Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$164,266	\$164,266
<i>Fees Subtotal</i>	\$6,488,437	\$6,488,437
Expenses	\$194,174	\$194,174
Total Fees and Expenses	\$6,682,611	\$6,682,611